Supplementary Table 1: Scoping review results

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
Need for achievement	• Entrepreneurship incentives (financial and	• Difficulties establishing a new business	• Engagement in translational research
Desire for independence	academic status)	• Poorly	• Publications
PersonalityEntrepreneurial	Generous intellectual property policies	implemented government science and technology policy	• Academic position in university
Internal locus of control	 Local knowledge promotion A functional research platform 	Low government expenditure on science and	• Awareness of technology transfer
ExtraversionSelf-esteem	• Foreign	technology research	• Prior industry work experience
• Knowledge	• Strong regulatory	• Lack of government incentives for	Academic reputation
Business / Marketing acumenAbility	Ability to work in groups to solve	joint university and business research collaboration	Collaboration with industryResearch funding
AptitudeEntrepreneurial experience	To form entrepreneurial teams	• Import substitution industrialisation	• Supervising post- graduate students
Motivation	• Funding resources	• Reliance on	Research workload in relation to total
• Resilience	• Workforce resources	imported solutions to local problems	workload
Openness to suggestions / criticism	• Entrepreneurial ecosystem	Restrictive trade barriers	
Hunger for success	Donor fundingVenture capital	• Poor socioeconomic context	
• Creativity	funding	High-technology	

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
• Innovation		challenges	
Leadership ability		• Research skills shortages	
• Futuristic thinking		 Role definition challenges with industry partners Fragmented environment / economy Poor / weak entrepreneurial ecosystem Crime Full burden of commercialisation placed onto individual researchers Institutional factors (poor reward systems for innovation, absence of collaboration with private sector) 	

Supplementary Table 2: Round 1 unranked consensus opinions on Characteristics, Enablers, Barriers, and Behaviours related to Entity academic entrepreneurship

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
(Question 1)	(Question 2)	(Question 3)	(Question 4)
Perseverance and resilience	Networks and collaborators	Lack of appreciation for the work of the	• Communicate effectively
• Displays professional confidence	• Reduced Bureaucracy	science leader • Financial	Manage teams effectively
Building collaborative	• Organisation brand (Entity)	sustainability of Entities	Be determined and tenacious
relationships • Calculated	• Wide and varied funding options	• Limited funding opportunities –	Demonstrate leadership ability
chance-taker	• Research infrastructure	low success rate • Bureaucracy	• Manage projects with discipline
Curious and intellectually adventurous	Seed fundingAssociation with	• Inefficiencies when both the	• Publish in order to develop reputation
• Creates and innovates	a prestigious university	Wits and the Consortium are involved as parties to the contract	Take risks mindfully
• Research competence	Manageable balance between research, clinical,	• Shortage of discretionary	• Acts with courage
 Acts ethically, applies ethical judgement, displays honesty 	administration and teaching obligations	funds for ongoing, unfunded activities	• Identify, choose and master academic niche
and personal integrity	Available and willing experienced	• Non-responsive shared services support	work hard and consistently
 Drive for results (includes ambition) Networking and 	Waluesintellectualindependence	• Innovation not valued or prioritised	Be open-minded about opportunity and ideas
connecting • Coaches and	and freedomEntrepreneurial organisation	internallyFinding and employing	• Act with integrity and be trustworthy in

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
(Question 1)	(Question 2)	(Question 3)	(Question 4)
mentors • Decision to lead	culture • Administrative	talented staff • Inefficient	relation to other research leaders
Programme and project management	and operational training and support	research regulatory environment	• Act to influence people and situations
• Displays wide- ranging expertise	Works as part of an established unit	Academic politicsEven though the Consortium is	• Support, value and reward innovation
Big picture, holistic and connective thinking	• Structured systems and operating procedures	wholly owned by Wits it operates according to its own rules with the risk of	• Align and work with the best, collaborate with those you admire the most
• Engages and empowers	• Aligned research team with	misalignment	• Think
Anticipating and managing change	complimentary skills mix	• Lack of business and operational advisory support	independently, beyond current constraints
Social activism	• Early and frequent	• Increasingly	• Prioritise
• Displays entrepreneurial leadership	publicationExperience of and compliance	complexity of research environment	personal development and make use of opportunities
• Applies a design- thinking mindset	with funder administrative requirements	• Organisational growth expectations are	• Acts with optimism
• Focused for sustained periods	• Early success	relentless • Inadequate	• Act with humour, be prepared to
• Realistic	• Strong Entity leadership	academic credentials	smile and laugh
	Clear and valued benefits from researchAppropriate	• Organisational limitations on remuneration levels	 Maintain a steady, functional and calm work environment Assist others
	rewards and	Departmental and	Assist others regarding how to

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
(Question 1)	(Question 2)	(Question 3)	(Question 4)
	Access to information	teaching workload compromises time available for research activities	work with the Consortium
	 Presence of compelling career path Gender sensitive organisation support 	• Institutional culture preferring conformity over independent thinking and entrepreneurialism	
		• Competition from within Wits or from other universities	
		• Inappropriate conflicts of interest	
		• An organisational environment apparently lacking in values, principles and accountability	
		• Inaccessible role models or mentors	
		• Lack of motivation	
		• Lack of leadership support	
		Opaque administrative and operational organisational	

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
(Question 1)	(Question 2)	(Question 3)	(Question 4)
(Question 1)	(Question 2)	processes Clashes of ideas and duplicated work Paucity of South Africans wanting to collaborate as there is a preference for international collaborations Entity leadership directs opportunities to be pursued, at times without regard for	(Question 4)
		skill set realities or staff preference • Too many big and small obstacles • The Wits name, as a powerful brand, can dissuade other South African institutions from working with us • Paucity of entrepreneurial training for	
		academics • Establishing individual credibility is incredibly difficult and time	

Characteristics	Enablers	Barriers	Behaviours
(Question 1)	(Question 2)	(Question 3)	(Question 4)
		consuming	
		 Funds are controlled by the Consortium and not the Entity The Consortium is not fit for purpose Closed networks It takes too long to establish an Entity in the Consortium Organisational leadership is inaccessible Inadequate support or guidance for young female scientists Registry of collaborators and networks not available 	

Challenges

personal involvement and decisionmaking, as a key barrier to effectiveness.

 Virtually all of the group experienced being underfunded and in the context of busyness, they describe having no time to prioritise important non-funded tasks.

Solutions

support around activities such as "National Research Foundation (NRF) self-ratings, submitting to publications, yearly reports... some admin help would be valuable. None of us have NRF rankings because it just takes too much time"

Project management support and training
 Entity leaders considered that they would benefit from specific project management skills development.
 Although there is a project management module available as a masters course component, it would be valuable to project leaders in a more flexible and specific form

Shared Services Support

- Entity leaders describe a generally positive relationship between them, and the Consortium's Shared Services Centre.
- There were requirements for extensions to the services offered
- Criticisms of current service levels, particularly with regard to routinely occurring operational mistakes.
- Insufficient funding was used by the group to explain why they felt the Consortium was unable to successfully address operational issues.
- Additional operational services The following additional services were suggested: "the SSC should offer a nontechnical grant writing support service (methodology, resources, tools). A library of biographies, budgeting tools, and online access to all of the documents required to support a grant application. A library of previous applications with cut and paste sections for inclusion in new applications would help".
- Expansion of shared support services beyond administration and grants management.
- Increase funding to the Shared Services Centre - "SSC needs more funding to move from reactive to proactive: they are overwhelmed, they keep making the same mistakes."
- Entities getting closer to their own grants
 Empowering Entities to manage their own grants more closely; calling for Entity leaders to be given more real-time

Challenges	Solutions
	access to information about their grants without always going through the shared service centre.
	• The Consortium as a funder – The group raised the idea of the Consortium raising a fund and operating as a funder of research and researchers along similar lines as The National Institutes of Health.
Wits structure relative to the	
entrepreneurial requirements of the Entities	
• Difficulties working with the differing policies and procedures of Wits and the Consortium where projects span both organisations.	Adapt the treatment of RINC funding - RINC funds should be allocated to Entity leaders' discretionary accounts centre in the Consortium.
• The group recognised the need for a level of governance and control by Wits, but they were unanimous in describing how its rules are not aligned to the manner in which Entities operate; and are often considered stifling and bureaucratic.	the Consortium.
• Difficulties accessing funding generated through Entity activity but earned into Wits. A specific barrier was noted involving the required use of university-approved vendors, who the Entities did not typically use in the Consortium. Wit's policy concerning research incentive (RINC) funding was discussed as a challenge. This includes revenues generated by a department as an award for publications or for graduating student supervision which goes directly to Wits. The rules for Entities accessing these funds are considered onerous.	
General Funding Constraints	
• There is unanimous acknowledgement that Entity leaders work in a resource-constrained environment. This impedes Entity development with regards to	Lobbying for additional discretionary funding - The group voiced a need for a mechanism to lobby for increased overhead support from international

Challenges

limited funding for non-project related programmes. The grant funding structures are restricted to the achievement of the contracted obligations and there are limited options for leveraging to build the Entity and teams.

- Lack of institutional funding to support Entities.
- Funding needs evolve but securing such remains a challenge irrespective of Entity maturity stage however being a well-known brand does mean that opportunities are more available than when in an earlier growth phase.

Solutions

funders; this would alleviate strain from Entity directors.

- The Consortium as a funder The group raised the idea of the Consortium raising a fund and operating as a funder of research and researchers along similar lines as The National Institutes of Health.
- Mentoring with specific reference to funding - Particular mentoring by experienced people around issues of funding and accessing funding specific to the Entity's tactical and strategic needs.

Growth as a Challenge

• The group spoke about the irony of how growth through spawning new Entities often means the loss of key people and talent. It can also mean the loss of funding attached to their projects and contacts or networks. Spawning is seen as a common, if not inevitable consequence of growth and Entity maturity. Spawning "looks like success if you are part of the newly formed entity, but it feels like a failure if you are left amongst the remaining team, with a loss of colleagues and a loss of funding". There is a reality that break-away units create more competition for the same sources of funding.

• Organisational adaptability "Organisations (Entities) need to be
adaptive to accommodate the changing
needs of maturing researchers so that
they don't leave to start spin-offs."

Wits as Commercial Entity

- Universities are not established as corporate entities and the common structures within, and which support, corporate structures are absent in universities.
- Not much attention given to developing a

• Need to consider establishing structures that support a commercially oriented university (such as customer relationship management, business development and specialist resources to drive initiatives such as business analysts).

Challenges	Solutions
commercial approach in universities nor how academics understand commerciality.	
• The above hinders entrepreneurial growth in an increasingly competitive landscape.	

Supplementary Table 4: Study Participant Profile

Academic Position	School / Department	Academic Discipline	Age Range	Sex	Journal Articles - Dec 2021	Attended Workshop
Professor	Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology	Pathology	50 - 59	M	> 200	
Professor	School of Clinical Medicine	Paediatrics and Child Health	50 - 59	M	100 - 200	
Professor	School of Public Health	Health Economics	60 - 69	F	50 - 99	
Professor	Wits Research Institute for Malaria	Malaria Vector Biology	50 - 59	F	100 - 200	Yes
Professor	School of Clinical Medicine	Infectious Diseases	50 - 59	M	> 200	Yes
Reader	Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute	Clinical Trials	40 - 49	F	100 - 200	
Associate Professor	Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care	Family Medicine	50 - 59	M	< 50	
Professor	Department of Paediatrics and Child Health	Noncommunicable Diseases	50 - 59	F	100 - 200	Yes
Professor	School of Public Health	Public Health	60 - 69	M	> 200	Yes
Professor	Department of Paediatrics and Child Health	Paediatrics and Child Health	50 - 59	F	100 - 200	
Associate Professor	Department of Paediatrics and Child Health	Medicine	40 - 49	M	50 - 99	Yes
Professor	Department of Obstetrics	Infectious Diseases	70 - 79	F	100 - 200	Yes

Academic Position	School / Department	Academic Discipline	Age Range	Sex	Journal Articles - Dec 2021	Attended Workshop
	and Gynaecology					
Scientific Coordinator	Wits-African Leadership in Vaccinology Expertise Consortium	Vaccinology	50 - 59	F	100 - 200	Yes
Professor	School of Public Health	Public Health	60 - 69	F	> 200	Yes
Medical Doctor	School of Clinical Medicine	Clinical Governance	60 - 69	M	< 50	Yes
Director	Office of the Deputy Vice Chancellor: Research and Innovation	Research Development	60 - 69	М	< 50	Yes
Professor	Department of Surgery	Surgery	60 - 69	M	50 - 99	Yes
Professor	School of Physiology	Endocrinology	60 - 69	M	< 50	Yes
Professor	Department of Internal Medicine	Oncology	60 - 69	M	100 - 200	
Professor	Centre of Excellence for Biomedical TB Research	Microbiology	40 - 49	М	50 - 99	
Professor	Department of Paediatrics and Child Health	Medicine	50 - 59	M	100 - 200	Yes
Professor and Assistant Dean	Faculty of Health Sciences	Molecular Research	50 - 59	F	> 200	Yes
Medical Doctor	School of Public Health	Health Economics, Policy & Systems	40 - 49	M	< 50	Yes

Academic Position	School / Department	Academic Discipline	Age Range	Sex	Journal Articles - Dec 2021	Attended Workshop
Medical Doctor	Department of Paediatrics and Child Health	Public Health and Psychology	40 - 49	F	< 50	
Senior Lecturer	Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office	Health Economics	50 - 59	F	< 50	Yes
Professor	Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology	Obstetrics and Gynaecology	60 - 69	F	100 - 200	Yes
Professor	Department of Internal Medicine	Endocrinology and Metabolism	60 - 69	M	> 200	
Senior Researcher	Faculty of Health Sciences	Health Economics	50 - 59	M	< 50	Yes
Emeritus Professor	School of Therapeutic Sciences	Therapeutic Sciences	70 - 79	M	100 - 200	
Medical Doctor	School of Public health	Noncommunicable Diseases	50 - 59	F	50 - 99	Yes
Associate Professor	School of Public Health	Biostatistics and Epidemiology	50 - 59	M	50 - 99	