

INDEX

- Active travel, 55, 64
 - implementing EATF, 123–126
 - initiatives during COVID-19, 119
- Active Travel Neighbourhoods (ATN), 123
- AIDS Healthcare Foundation, 23
- Alliance for Community Transit-Los Angeles (ACT-LA), 8, 17, 19–22, 183

- Ballot-box, 22–23
- Barcelona, 170–172
- Barton Park, 57–58, 68–69
- Barton Underpass Mural Project (BU MP), 63, 68
- Black, Asian and minority ethnic population (BAME population), 127
- Black lives matter, 19
- Blame games, 130
- Brazil, 8, 34
 - public participation in, 38
- Bus rapid transit systems (BRT systems), 143, 147

- Change, 4–9
- City Growth Agreements (CGAs), 139, 146
- City logistics, 79–81, 83–84, 88, 90–91
 - efficiency, 81
 - policies, 83
 - stakeholders, 91
- Civic participation, 4, 9, 98–99, 105–106, 109, 111, 171
- Civic roads, 98, 100, 102, 105, 109
 - associations, 98, 100–101, 105, 111
 - in Sweden, 100–103
- Civil society, 35, 39, 99, 101, 110

- Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights of LA, 21
- Collaborative planning, 18, 35, 120, 184
- Collective action, 21, 47, 78, 140–141, 147–149
- Commoning as theory, 99–101
- Commoning roads, 105
 - civic participation, 105–106
 - commoner, 108–109
- Communicative challenge, 138, 140–141, 148–151
- Community Based Participatory Research (CBPR), 56
- Community benefits agreements (CBAs), 21, 24
- Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviews (CATI), 87
- Congestion tax, 167
- COVID-19 pandemic, 20, 62, 79, 121, 172, 187

- Department for Transport (DfT), 118, 121–122, 129
- Direito de ir e vir, 45

- Economic Opportunity Act (1964), 17
- Edinburgh, 164, 176
 - road pricing in, 165–168
 - tram lines in, 168–170
- Emergency Active Travel Fund (EATF), 118–119, 121, 130
 - implementing, 123–126
- England, 56, 131, 158
- Europe, 118, 138, 143, 170
 - SUM planning in, 165
- Favela Santa Marta, 8, 34, 38
- Free transit Toronto (FTT), 19

- Gothenburg, 104
 region, 167
 road pricing in, 165–168
- Governance challenges, 138–140, 142, 152–153
- Grass-root participation, 8, 183–185
- Healthy Urban Mobility (HUM), 54, 56–61
- Input legitimacy, 161, 187–188
- Input-oriented legitimacy, 161
- Interactive political leadership, 9, 138–141, 152
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 182
- Joint Facilities Act, 102–103
- Joint Property Units (Management) Act, 102
- Koreatown Immigrant Workers Center, 21
- LA Metro Transit-Oriented Communities Policy, 25
- Laboratory of Participation of Rio de Janeiro (Lab. Rio), 39–40
- Ladder of citizen participation, 18, 121
- Legitimacy, 4, 9, 67, 120, 138, 140–142, 161, 167, 170, 172, 175, 182, 187, 191
- Limited traffic zone (LTZ), 86, 88
- Logistics Living Lab (LLL), 186
- Logistics planning process, 88
- Los Angeles (LA), 20–21, 183, 189
 Alliance For Community Transit Los Angeles, 20–22
 ballot-box measures for regional transportation investments in, 22–23
- Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTN), 120, 126
- Lund, SUMPs in, 164–165
- Lyon, 168–170
- ‘Metro as Sanctuary’ policy platform, 20
- Mobility planning, 7, 34, 36, 119
 freight, 80
 participation in, 37–38
 practices, 6
 urban, 54
- Multilevel governance, 139, 150, 151
- National Urban Mobility Policy (NUMP), 39
- No to increased tolls (NIT), 138
- Nord-Jaeren Region, 139, 143–145, 147, 151
- Norwegian Public Roads Administration, 148–149
- Output legitimacy, 161, 173, 176, 187–188, 190
- Oxford, 56–58, 183
- Planning theory, 159
Plano Inclinado, 42
 Policy package, 148–149, 151
 Politics of dissensus, 18–19, 27
 Protests, 37, 44, 79, 119, 138, 182
 Public transport, 7, 16, 122, 141, 143, 182–183
 Public Works Company (EMOP), 42
- Reciprocity in perpetuity, 101, 109
- Representative and deliberative participation, 191
- Rio de Janeiro, 38, 40, 45, 183
 participation in, 39
- Road pricing in Edinburgh and Gothenburg, 165–168
- Roma Servizi per la Mobilità (RSM), 83
- Rome, 80, 84, 86–87, 91, 186
- Structural equation modelling approach (SEM approach), 81
- ‘Suggest a Scheme’ page, 122–123, 126

- Sustainable Development Goals, 182
- Sustainable Urban Logistics Plans (SULP), 186
- Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan (SUMP), 6–7, 39, 79, 84–85, 158, 173, 187
- Sweden
 - civic roads in, 101–103, 110
 - SUMPs in, 164–165
- Swedish Civic Road Associations, case of, 105–109
- Swedish Joint Facilities Act, 102
- Throughput legitimacy, 161, 173, 175–176, 187, 190
- Toll road, 141, 143
 - spatial complexity of, 145–147
- Toll systems, spatial complexity of, 145–147
- Transforming urban transport-role of political leadership (TUT-POL), 19
- Transit-Oriented Community Affordable Housing Incentive Program, 24
- Transparent communication platforms, 153, 187
- Transport planning, 119, 158
- Transport policy, 79
 - packages, 144
 - and planning, 78
- Trondheim, 144, 147, 149, 152
 - BRT, 146
 - municipality, 147
 - Region, 144
- UK's Local Transport Plan, 120
- Urban package in Nord-Jaeren, 147
- Urban transport packages, 138, 142–143
 - complexity of collective action, 147–148
 - Nord-Jaeren Region, 143–144
 - Norway, 138–139
 - spatial complexity of toll systems and transport infrastructure, 145–147
 - theoretical approach, 139–142
 - Trondheim Region, 144
- Urban transport systems, 16
- Vellinge municipality, 103–104
- Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain, SUMPs in, 164–165
- West Yorkshire Combined Authority (WYCA), 123