

INDEX

- Ability, 149–150
Adversity, nature of, 154
Affective conflict (*see* Relationship conflict)
Allostasis, 8
“Allostatic debt”, 9
Allostatic load, 8
Antecedents
 of engagement from teams perspective, 102
 of individual mindfulness, 81–82
 of mindfulness in teams, 81
 of team mindfulness, 82
Aphorism, 18
Artificial intelligence, 156
Assimilated PsyCap, 60–61
ASTMA model, 25
 of person-organization transactions, 24
Asymmetric risks, 176

Behavioral coordination, 158
Betweenness centrality, 107
Broaden-and-Build Theory of Positive Emotions, 55

Capacity, 149–150
Centrality, 107
Closed vocabulary natural language processing techniques, 11
Closeness centrality, 106–108
Cognitive conflict (*see* Task conflict)
Cognitive coordination, 158
Coherence, 166
Cohesion, 30
 and performance, 33
“Collaboration overload”, 132
Collective competitiveness, 24–26
Collective construct, 24
Collective efficacy, 59
Collective PsyCap, 58
Collective Well-Being constructs, 129–130
Communication, 31–33, 159
 analysis, 11
 methods for capturing, 11
Compassion, 78–79
Compassion, Presence, and Resilience Training (CPR-T), 79
Competition, 18–19, 87
Competition avoidance (CA), 21
Competition-inducing leadership (CIL), 28
Competitive approach, 87
Competitive conundrum
 cohesion and performance, 33
 collective competitiveness, 24–26
 competitive orientation, 21–22
 deviance, 33–34
 feedback loops, 36–37
 future research, 37–39
 goal structures and interdependency, 27–28
 individual difference factors, 21
 leadership, 28–29
 organizational culture, 26–27
 outcomes, 33
 perceived competitiveness, 23
 practical implications, 39–40
 situational influences, 26
 stress and related symptomatology, 34–35
 team competitive processes, 29–33
 team difference factors, 23
 theoretical background, 18–20
 trait competitiveness, 21
 Well-Being, 35–36
Competitive employees, 35

- Competitive environments, 29
- Competitive leaders, 29
- Competitive organizational cultures, 27
- Competitive orientation, 21–23
- Competitive processes, 39
- Competitive psychological climate (CPC), 23
- Competitive structures, 27
- Competitiveness, 34–35, 39
- Complexity science, 12
- Component teams, interdependence of, 181–182
- Conditional reasoning theory, 38
- Conflict, 30–31, 133–134
- Conservation of resources (COR), 103–104
 - based proposition, 109–110
 - theory, 55, 57, 103, 111
- Cooperation, 31–33, 87
- Cooperative approach, 87
- Cooperative structures, 27
- Coordination, 31–33
- Correlated mediators, 83
 - team cohesion, 84
 - team conflict, 83–84
- Counterproductive work behaviors (CWB), 19, 31, 133
- Crew, stress management of, 182
- Crew resource management team
 - skills approach (CRM team skills approach), 176
- Crossover model, 103
- Crossover theory, 108
- Cynicism, 124

- Degree centrality, 109–110
- Demands, teamwork as, 124–125
- Density, 108–109
- Depersonalization, 124
- Deutsch's approach, 88
- Deviance, 33–34
- Differentiation mindset, 31
- Direct crossover, 103
- Diversity, 111
- Down team processes, 159–160

- Dynamic organizational landscape, 148
- Dynamical systems approach, 159

- Eigenvector centrality, 107
- Elasticity, 8
- Emergent state, 150
- Emotion contagion, teamwork as source of, 126
- Emotion regulation, mindfulness and, 76–78
- Emotional contagion, 12, 75, 108
- Emotional exhaustion, 124
- Emotional regulation, 82
- Emotional resources, 180
- Employee selection process, 25
- Engagement, 78
- Environmental control and life support systems (ECLSS), 174
- Event appraisal, methods for capturing, 11
- Event System Theory (EST), 9–10
- Exercise, 177
- External traumatic events, 157
- Extravehicular activities (EVA), 174

- Feedback loops, 36–37
- Field research, 5
 - role in advancing team resilience research, 4–5
- Field-based research, 2
- Field-based team resilience research
 - conceptual challenges and opportunities in, 5
 - methodological challenges and opportunities in, 10
- Flow/engagement/neural synchronization, 78
- Formal specifications of events
 - eliciting resilient team performance, 9–10

- Gamification, 27
- Geographic proximity, 112
- Gratitude, 85
- Group-level effect, 126

Health

- individual-level PsyCap as predictor of, 55–57
 - team-level PsyCap and team-level constructs in relation to, 63–65
- Healthcare employees, 64
- Heart rate variability (HRV), 166
- High-quality connections (HQCs), 115
- Higher group cohesion, 33
- Higher temporal resolution, need for methods with, 11–12
- Homophily principle, 112
- Hypercompetitiveness (HC), 19, 22
- Incentive structures, 40
- Incivility, 134
- Indegree centrality, 110
- Indirect crossover, 103
- Individual competitiveness, 24, 34
- Individual constructs, 24
- Individual mindfulness, 75, 87–89
 - antecedents of, 81–82
- Individual trait mindfulness, 81
- Individual-level mindfulness, 86
- Individual-level outcomes, 74, 132
- Individual-level PsyCap, 62, 65
 - as predictor of health and Well-Being outcomes, 55–57
 - as predictor of safety outcomes, 57–58
- Individualistic structures, 28
- Input-mediator-output-input (IMOI), 83
- Input-process-output model (IPO), 83
- Integration mindset, 31
- Inter-team communication patterns, 183–184
- Interdependence of component teams, 181–182
- Interpersonal competition, 31
- Interpersonal counterproductive work behaviors (ICWBs), 35
- Interpersonal dynamics, 156
- Interpersonal flow, 78
- Interpersonal processes in service of psychological health, 160
- Interpersonal support, 160
- Interpersonal trust, 132
- Isolated, confined, and extreme environments (ICE environments), 174, 184
 - physical impact of, 176–177
 - psychological impact of, 177–178
 - social impact of, 178–179
 - spaceflight as, 176
- Job demands, 125
- Job demands-resources model (JDR model), 88, 102, 124–125, 131
- Job resources, 125, 131
- Latitude, 8
- Leadership, 28–29
 - research, 19
- Machiavellianism, 38
- Machine learning techniques, 156
- Management research, 18
- Managers, 40
- Manipulation, 26
- Mars mission, 174, 180
 - communication, 182
- Measurement, 165–167
- Megaproject, 88
- Member Well-Being
 - model linking teamwork to, 124–136
 - moderators of effects of teamwork on, 126–127
- Members, individual differences between, 128
- Meta-analysis, 102
- Meta-analytic evidence, 34
- Military units, 176
- Mindfulness, 74, 77
 - affective, 78–79
 - antecedents of mindfulness in teams, 81–82
 - cognitive, 78
 - consequences of, 84, 87

- consequences of individual mindfulness, 84
- correlated mediators, 83–84
- and emotion regulation, 76–78
- individual mindfulness, 88–89
- opportunities for future research, 91–92
- practical applications, 90–91
- practices, 74
- presenteeism, 88
- prosocial/helping behaviors, 85–86
- stress, 87
- task performance, 86
- team conflict, 87–88
- team resilience, 86–87
- team Well-Being as potential consequence of, 89
- in teams, 74–75, 79–81
- works, 78
- Mindfulness based stress reduction (MBSR) intervention, 79
- Mindfulness-to-meaning theory (MMT), 74, 77
- Mission control, 182–184
 - perceptions of, 183
 - personnel, 180, 183
 - responsiveness, 182–183
 - team, 176, 181–183
- Mission to mars, 179–180
 - leveraging spaceflight multiteam system to support, 180–181
- Model linking teamwork to member Well-Being, 124
 - collective Well-Being constructs, 129–130
 - individual differences between members, 128
 - moderators of effects of teamwork on member Well-Being, 126–127
 - poor team communication, 135–136
 - poor teamwork processes, 132–133
 - psychological safety, 131
 - team cohesion, 127–128
 - team conflict, 133–134
 - team motivation, 130–131
 - team trust, 132
 - teamwork as demand, 124–125, 132
 - teamwork as resource, 124–125, 128–129
 - teamwork as source of emotion contagion, 126
 - toxic behaviors, 134–135
- Moderators of effects of teamwork on member Well-Being, 126–127
- Multi-level theories of team resilience, 5
- Multi-method approaches, 2
- Multilevel model
 - of competitiveness, 20
 - of team resilience, 4
- Multiplexity, 113
- Multiteam systems, 174–175
- Mutuality, 113
- Narcissism, 38
- NASA, 175, 179
 - astronaut office's expeditionary skills model, 182
 - pre-mission training, 183
 - spaceflight missions, 174, 185
- Negative emotion contagion, 126
- Negative Well-Being spirals, 164
- Network density, 109
- Network-related concepts, 112
- Neural synchronization, 78
- Nonmonastic groups, 78
- Nuances, diving deeper into, 163–164
- Occupational Well-Being, 101
- Open vocabulary natural language processing techniques, 11
- Operationalizations
 - of TC, 38
 - of team-level PsyCap, 60–62
- Oppositional interaction, 30
- Organizational behavior researchers, 114
- Organizational climate, 25
- Organizational culture, 26–27, 63
- Organizational mindfulness, 76

- Organizational scholarship, 123
- Organizational science, 19, 150–151
- Organizational settings, 85

- Paralinguistic communication, 166
- PCI, 65
- Perceived competitiveness, 23
- Performance, 148, 151
- Person-organization fit (PO fit), 31–33
- Personal development (PD), 21–22
- Personal psychological resources, 61
- Personality, 23–25
- Physical resources, 180
- Physiological measurement, 166
- Physiological synchrony, 12
- Poor team communication, 135–136
- Positive emotion contagion, 126
- Positive teamwork, 74
- Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, 56
- Practitioners, 122
- Presenteeism, 88
- Process conflict, 83
- Proximity, 112
- Prosocial workplace behaviors (PWB), 85
- Prosocial/helping behaviors, 85–86
- Psychological capital (PsyCap), 54
 - individual-level PsyCap as predictor of health and Well-Being outcomes, 55–57
 - individual-level PsyCap as predictor of safety outcomes, 57–58
 - operationalization of team-level PsyCap, 60–62
 - research stream, 64
 - resource components, 63
 - strength, 61
 - team-level PsyCap, 58–60
 - team-level PsyCap and team-level constructs in relation to health, Well-Being, and safety outcomes, 63–65
 - team-level PsyCap as predictor of team health, Well-Being, and safety outcomes, 62–63
- Psychological health, interpersonal processes in service of, 160
- Psychological resilience, 177
- Psychological safety, 131, 162
- Psychometric assessments of competitiveness, 38

- Qualitative work, 12

- Regulatory flexibility, 184–185
- Relationship conflict, 30, 83, 133
- Research(ers), 8, 33, 122, 149, 178
- Resilience, 7, 87
- Resilient team performance
 - formal specifications of events eliciting, 9–10
 - trajectories of, 7–8
- Resistance, 8
- Resource-related theories, 102
 - conservation of resources, 103–104
 - crossover model, 103
- Resources, teamwork as, 124–125
- Robotic operations (RO BO), 174

- Safety outcomes
 - individual-level PsyCap as predictor of, 57–58
 - team-level PsyCap and team-level constructs in relation to, 63–65
 - team-level PsyCap as predictor of, 62–63
- Self-compassion, 78–79
- Shared mental models, 79
- Simulation studies, 10
- Social allostatic load, 12
- Social cognitive theory, 63
- Social exchange theory (SET), 58, 85
- Social network analysis (SNA), 100–101, 114–115
 - concepts, 101
 - conceptualizing work engagement through, 104–107
 - SNA-based work engagement proposition, 106

- Social networks, 105
 - challenges and opportunities, 113–114
 - closeness centrality, 106–108
 - implications, 114–115
 - research, 101
 - work engagement, 101–107
- Social resilience, 162
- Social resources, 104
- “Sociogram”, 105
- Spaceflight
 - component team, 178
 - crew, 181, 183
 - crewmembers, 178
 - inter-team communication
 - patterns, 183–184
 - interdependence of component teams, 181–182
 - leveraging lessons learned from spaceflight to advance multiteam systems research, 175–176
 - leveraging spaceflight multiteam system to support mission to mars, 180–181
 - mission control responsiveness, 182–183
 - mission to mars, 179–180
 - missions, 174
 - perceptions of mission control, 183
 - physical impact of ICE
 - environments, 176–177
 - psychological impact of ICE
 - environments, 177–178
 - regulatory flexibility, 184–185
 - social impact of ICE
 - environments, 178–179
 - spaceflight as ICE environment, 176
 - supporting stress management of crew, 182
 - teams, 181
- Spaceflight multiteam system, 176, 182
 - leveraging spaceflight multiteam system to support mission to mars, 180–181
- Spaceflight resource management (SFRM), 176, 182
- Status conflict, 83
- Stress, 87, 177
 - management of crew, 182
 - and related symptomatology, 34–35
- Summated PsyCap approach, 60–61
- Sustainability in teams, 89
- Symptomatology, stress and related, 34–35
- Task conflict, 30, 83, 133
- Task performance, 86
- Team cognition, 79
 - constructs, 81
- Team competitive processes, 29
 - additional considerations, 31–33
 - cohesion, 30
 - conflict, 30–31
- Team resilience, 2–4, 9, 86–87, 148, 150–153, 155, 161
 - conceptual advances in, 3
 - conceptual challenges and opportunities in field-based team resilience research, 5
 - exploring consequences and costs associated with repeated episodes of, 8–9
 - formal specifications of events eliciting resilient team performance, 9–10
 - methodological challenges and opportunities in field-based team resilience research, 10
 - methods for capturing event appraisal and communication processes, 11
 - need for methods with higher temporal resolution, 11–12
 - processes, 158
 - resilience in individuals, 3
 - role of field research in advancing team resilience research, 4–5

- team resilience challenges, relevance
 - for field research, and future research directions, 6–7
- trajectories of resilient team performance, 7–8
- Team-level PsyCap, 58–60, 62
 - operationalization of, 60–62
 - as predictor of team health, Well-Being, and safety outcomes, 62–63
 - and team-level constructs in relation to health, Well-Being, and safety outcomes, 63–65
- Team-member exchange (TMX), 85
- Team(s), 19, 23–25, 29, 100–101, 149, 153, 174–177
 - adaptability, 150
 - adaptation process, 11, 150
 - antecedents of engagement from teams perspective, 102
 - autonomy, 64
 - burnout, 129
 - care, 182
 - cohesion, 30, 84, 127–128
 - conflict, 83–84, 87–88, 133–134
 - flow, 130
 - functioning, 157
 - learning, 161
 - members, 122–123, 125, 130
 - membership change, 155
 - mindfulness, 75, 81
 - motivation, 130–131
 - outcomes, 161
 - processes, 4, 150–151, 157
 - researchers, 29
 - stress, 175–176
 - team-level constructs in relation to health, Well-Being, and safety outcomes, 63–65
 - team-level efficacy, 62
 - team-level optimism, 60
 - team-level PsyCap as predictor team health of, 62–63
 - team-level resilience, 59
 - trajectories, 7
 - transactive memory, 80
 - trust, 132
 - viability, 89
 - vigor, 130
 - vitality, 129
 - Well-Being as potential consequence of mindfulness, 89
 - work engagement, 129
- Teamwork
 - and demands, 124–125, 132
 - future directions, 137
 - implications and future directions, 136
 - model linking teamwork to member Well-Being, 124–136
 - moderators of effects of teamwork on member Well-Being, 126–127
 - practical implications, 136–137
 - process, 132–133
 - research implications, 136
 - as resource, 124–125, 128–129
 - as source of emotion contagion, 126
 - Well-Being at work, 123
- Technological tools, 156
- Technology integration, 156
- Temporal dynamics, 159, 164–165
- Tie strength, 110–111
- Toxic behaviors, 134–135
- Traditional ASA model, 24
- Trait competitiveness (TC), 19, 21, 23
- Transformation, 25
- Trust, 132
- Unobtrusive measurement strategies, 12
- Vigor, 102
- Virtual reality, 182
- Volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environment, 55

- Wearable physiological sensing, 12
- Well-being, 35–36, 162–163
 - breaking down team processes, 159–160
 - capacity/ability, 149–150
 - diving deeper into nuances, 163–164
 - double down on time, 159
 - emergent state, 150
 - future directions, 163
 - individual-level psychcap as predictor of, 55–57
 - interpersonal dynamics, 156
 - interpersonal processes in service of psychological health, 160
 - literature review, 149
 - measurement, 165–167
 - nature of adversity, 154
 - nature of outcomes, 161
 - nature of processes, 157
 - outcome, 151–154
 - outside work environment, 157
 - process, 150–151
 - psychological safety, 162
 - social resilience, 162
 - spirals, 165
 - team membership change, 155
 - team-level PsyCap and team-level constructs in relation to, 63–65
 - team-level PsyCap as predictor of, 62–63
 - technology integration, 156
 - temporal dynamics, 164–165
 - Well-Being, 162–163
 - at work, 123
- Work
 - antecedents of engagement from teams perspective, 102
 - conceptualizing work engagement through SNA, 104–107
 - as construct, 101–102
 - degree centrality, 109–110
 - density, 108–109
 - dynamics, 23
 - engagement, 101, 125
 - environment, 157
 - resource-related theories, 102–104
 - tie strength, 110–111
 - Well-Being at, 123