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**Abstract**

**Purpose** – This study aims to analyse the way the internal resources and their attributes contribute to the competitive advantages in an intensive organisation in knowledge of the pharmaceutical industry in an emerging market.

**Design/methodology/approach** – This is a qualitative case study focused where the NVivo software was used for information analysis and thematic analysis.

**Findings** – The outcomes showed that from the VRIO framework (value, rarity, imitability and organisation), the plant and equipment and the technical knowledge of its workers are the resources that, due to their attributes, especially the rare, those that grant an advantage competitive position compared to other companies in its sector. Those findings highlight that the resource-based view (RBV) is a good approximation to explain the construction of competitive advantage (CA) and, in addition, the relevance of rare attribute in pharmaceutical companies was confirmed.

**Practical implications** – The study points out empirical evidence on the relevancy of RBV, from the VRIO framework and the competitive profile matrix (CPM) for the analysis of the management of organisations from the emerging market (economy) perspective. The study also provides competitive advantage analysis tools with which managers can identify strategic resources for their companies.

**Originality/value** – The VRIO framework and CPM were integrated in the study to analyse the role of internal resources and their attributes in achieving CAs. This integration is the first time that it has been carried out in companies in the context of an emerging market.
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1. Introduction

According to the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD, 2001) and the National Science Board (National Science Foundation National Centre for Science and Engineering Statistics [NSF/NCSES], 2008) one of the sectors that make up the industry of intensive knowledge is the pharmaceutical ones. It is estimated that for the year 2023, the size of the global pharmaceutical market will be beyond US$1.5bn (Clancy et al., 2019). In Latin America, Colombia is a medium pharmaceutical market in terms of turnover, the country is ranked the fourth position in the region behind Brazil, Mexico and Argentina (EMIS, 2019). Referring to the national industry, it is strongly influenced by the regulation and dominance of multinational pharmaceutical laboratories.

Although knowledge-intensive organisations (KIOs) are main players in the economy (Liu et al., 2020), for their significant contribution to the global economy’s growth (National Science Board National Science Foundation [NSB/NSF], 2022), their strategic management is a challenge in the academic area, as well as in the corporate world (Rehman et al., 2020). Rehman et al. (2021) indicate that their study has been really limited. For Murphy and Seriki (2021), little attention has been paid to study these companies, which is confirmed by Bandarian (2020), who affirms that more studies are required to explain the construction of competitive advantage (CA) in those businesses, mainly in emerging markets (Mathew et al., 2021).

In relation to the resource-based view (RBV), over the past 30 years, it has acquired major importance in the organisational context (Barney et al., 2021). This, in particular, is one of the main theories in strategic management area (Maket and Korir, 2017), especially to explain the achievement of CA (Barney and Mackey, 2018). In a complementary manner, the literature review has demonstrated the relevance of using the RBV as theoretical framework for the study of the KIO, because it allows us to analyse the different resources that those companies use to compete in the industry (Martin de Castro et al., 2007). However, from this theoretical perspective, little has been studied of the resources that contribute to the constructions of CA in that type of organisations (Harvey et al., 2017).

Although, the RBV has been implemented to analyse the CA, the major part of the investigations describes the relationship between a resource and its performance, which is assumed as a CA (Newbert, 2008). Consequently, the resources of the company are progressively defined as a result or in associated terms with the performance (Sigalas et al., 2013). Although, it is recognised that CA and performance are conceptually distinct (Barney, 1991). In this way, Gerhart and Feng (2021) emphasise the need to undertake empirical research on how to obtain CA.

On another hand, the value, rarity, imitability and organisation (VRIIO) framework has received a lot of attention from academics’ side to analyse the CA; likewise, this has been widely used in many empirical studies (Barney and Mackey, 2018). However, there is no clarity on how to use it, as the majority of the studies have a conceptual focus and do not provide some information on how to manage it (Lin et al., 2012). Arbelo et al. (2020) highlight the importance of conducting studies that have a purpose of enriching the empirical impact on the RBV, in particular from the analytical VRIO framework (Gutiérrez-Martínez and Duhamel, 2019).

2. Theoretical background

2.1 Resource-based view, the value, rarity, imitability and organisation framework and the attributes (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised)

One of the purposes of RBV is to identify the way companies use their internal resources to build CA (Barrales-Molina, et al., 2013). Regarding the definition of resources, Barney (2014) points out that the tangible and intangible assets that companies own or have access to are classified into three capitals (Barney, 1991):
(1) human: training, experience, intelligence and the vision of the managers and employees of the company; 

(2) organisational: formal and informal planning, corporate structure, control and coordination systems, and the informal relations between the internal groups of the company and their environment; and 

(3) physical: physical technology, plant and equipment, geographical location and raw materials.

From the RBV, a resource is strategic when it fulfils four attributes (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised), which can contribute to the success of the CA (Barney, 2014). Those attributes constitute the VRIO framework (Table 1).

Table 1 shows the four attributes of the VRIO framework; they are described as the following (Barney and Hesterly, 2019):

1. valuable: resources that are a strength for the company;
2. rare: resources that unique between competitors;
3. inimitable: resources that difficult get by the competitors; and
4. organisation: resources that are efficiently exploited by the company.

2.2 The resource-based view and the competitive advantage

In the current study, CA is understood from the RBV (Barney, 1991), which is differentiated from the organisational (Sigalas et al., 2013). For that reason, it is decided to use the developed concept by Sigalas et al. (2013), who defined it as the exploitation above the industry’s average of market opportunities and the neutralisation of competitive threats. Thus, terms used for its interpretation are the following:

- opportunity: set of positive realities or circumstances (Dutton and Jackson, 1987), those that can be used through combination and deployment of resources (Newbert, 2008);
- threat: negative situations, which involve mixing resources in various ways for the organisation to be able to defend itself (Newbert, 2008); and
- competitiveness: comparison between the main company and other companies that belong to the same strategic industry (Sigalas and Papadakis, 2018).

This is giving the fact that the purpose of recognising CA is to identify a benchmark against which competitors are related to (Barney et al., 2021). To analyse the competitiveness, the CPM (David, 2011), from a qualitative perspective, due to the fact that it is one of the strategic tools, most popular in the academic world (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2015), that supports managers in their decision-making process. Likewise, it provides necessary information about the CA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuable?</th>
<th>Rare?</th>
<th>Costly to imitate?</th>
<th>Exploited by organisation?</th>
<th>Competitive implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Competitive disadvantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Competitive parity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Temporary competitive advantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sustained competitive advantage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The VRIO framework by Barney (2014, p. 140)
Bygrave and Zacharakis (2011), as it allows to identify the company’s competitive position compared to the other competitors of a particular industry (León et al. 2020).

The CPM seeks to identify the strengths and weaknesses of company’s main competitors (David, 2011), from an evaluation of the critical success, that refers, for this research, to those factors that are of importance in the operation of the industrial sector. In addition, to provide significant advantages to the organisations that belong to the same (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2015). The foregoing is based on one of the four categories suggested by Larsen et al. (2003), who call the specific factors of the resource, which includes among other assets, the strategic resources and their attributes (valuable, rare, inimitable and organisation) (Barney and Hesterly, 2019), as they allow companies to exploit the opportunities around them (Nason and Wiklund, 2015).

On the other hand, Barney and Hesterly (2019) point out that the CA of a company can be two types:

1. temporal competitive advantages: they are faced when competitors duplicate them and remain a short period of time; and
2. sustained competitive advantages: it is the opposite.

However, it does not necessarily imply that the advantage obtained by a company remains indefinite (Barney and Mackey, 2018), nor does it refer to specific period (Barney et al., 2021). The foregoing, because it depends exclusively on the possibility of competitors to duplicate them (Barney and Hesterly, 2019).

2.3 The resource-based view and knowledge-intensive organisations
Regarding the definition of this type of firm, there is no consensus (Edvardsson et al.2021). In this paper, we follow the definition of Makani and Marche (2010), who a KIO as organisations “where sophistication and complexity are given for the reason that the worker knows and does, which means that ‘experienced workers and ‘innovators’ define the way out and success” (p. 72). This type of organisation is characterised by using different kinds of resources (tangibles and intangibles), to successfully compete in knowledge-intensive sectors (Martin de Castro et al., 2007), which is confirmed by Murphy and Seriki (2021), who manifest the relevance of the RBV as the reference framework to analyse the KIOs.

On the other side, the studies carried out in the KIOs have made relevant contributions, especially, in the general development of the RBV (Baia et al., 2020). Also, this perspective has been used as a reference framework to analyse this type of companies by Almor and Hashai (2004), Baia et al. (2020), Herrmann (2008) and Paixao Garcez and Sbragia (2013).

3. Methodology
This design matches to an intrinsic qualitative case study (Stake, 2005). The case study has been making important moves in scientific research, due to the fact that it allows the development of theory from the inductive process (Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007). Welch et al. (2011) affirm that the case study has prevailed because of its ability to generate new theoretical concepts.

Regarding the case study’s selection, the company named in this document “EFC Company” was chosen, because it is a pioneer in drugs manufacturing in the country and providing generic drug maquila service since the decade of the 19th (1990s) of the 20th century, with it headquarter in the capital city. A case is “paradigmatic when it is considered the exemplar for a certain class” (Given, 2008, p. 697).
The techniques used to gather the information were a semi-structured interview (Patton, 2001) and the documentary analysis (Mogalakwe, 2006). A triangulation was carried out between participants (directors and employees) and types of data (semi-structured interviews and document review) (Creswell, 2015).

The qualitative data obtained between 2018 and March 2022 were the following:

- the audio of the interviews carried out with the technical manager (TM) and two people who are part of the regulatory affairs (RA) and galenic development (GD) (182 min in total);
- the written transcription of this audio; and
- the documents of the organisation that were obtained during the study’s field work (internal and external files – 401 pages in total).

The data interpretation was developed with the support of the software NVivo (12th version) (Jackson and Bazeley, 2019). Thematic analysis was applied (Amaya et al., 2021; Braun and Clarke, 2006). So, for the information codification process, a deductive code creation was used (Arbeláez and Onrubia, 2014). During the process, the following categories were established to guide the analysis: resources (intangibles and tangibles), capital (human, organisational and physical), attributes (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised), threats and opportunities.

To analyse the competitiveness, the CPM was used, because it is one of the most popular tools in the academic field (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2015), and it provides necessary information on CA (Bygrave and Zacharakis, 2011). Thus, the steps to carry out the matrix were based on the approach of David (2011). They are:

**First step:** Identification of the companies considered as direct competitors of the focal company; for that, the proposal developed by Peteraf and Bergen (2003) was used, which is found through the resource-based vision, and that also allows analysing all kinds of competitive environments, especially those that are turbulent and complex, as is the case in the pharmaceutical industry; comparison of competing companies by using the market-correspondence indicator, with the purpose of evaluating whether or not these companies satisfied the same needs of the customers of the focal company; comparison of the competitors’ set of assets through the means of the similarity of resources-equivalence capacity indicator with the ones of the focal company, in terms of its capacity to satisfy similar customers’ needs. These indicators allow competitors to be classified into four quadrants, namely, Quadrant I, direct rivals-substitutes; Quadrant II, potential direct rivals-latent substitutes; Quadrant III, weak competitors–non-competitors; and Quadrant IV, vertically differentiated–vertical substitutes.

**Second step:** The specific factors of the resource were used, which are made up of the strategic assets their attributes (Larsen et al., 2003). The above-mentioned, as it is not in essence the strategic resource itself that influences the achievement of CA, but it its attributes that make differences between companies (Victer, 2014). Those were identified from the VRIO framework (Barney, 2014). It should be noted that these steps are not contemplated in the proposal developed by David (2011). That is due to the fact the author proposes the critical success.

In the third step, the weight of strategic resources was determined, which is their percentage’s importance that added together cannot be more than 100%. That activity was carried out through a double entry matrix, were the incidence’s degree of each of these resources on the others was initially identified. This is given the fact that the resources are interconnected (Barney et al., 2021). These are called co-specialised that are characterised by
their way of joint use, which makes them more productive (Barney, 2018). Thus, an evaluation value was assigned to the things following these codes above: 1 – there is an influence, and 0 – there is no influence. Then, for each one of the strategic resources, a simple average was calculated, adding the scores of those resources, then dividing them by their total.

In the fourth step, the attributes were graded (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised) that are extracted from the strategic resources identified earlier. At this level, a scale was used to evaluate each one of them of the company, namely, 3 major strength, minor strength, 2 minor weakness and major weakness. We referred to the secondary information collected from the pharmaceutical industry to compare and evaluate the company compare to the strategic standard of the industry. This task of processing the data was brought out from the Nvivo Plus 12 program.

The fifth step was developed in two stages. In the first, the individual score of each company was calculated by multiplying the qualification code by the weight of each strategic resource. And, for the second, we proceeded by adding the weighted scores of the resources, to determine the total weighted score of each, and from that, we obtained the final results shown by the organisations that obtain a high score. It means that these companies have a higher level of strength compared to the others and have a CA.

An executive summary of the outcomes was sent back to the organisation under this study, with the purpose of involving the participants in the identification of the emerging patterns, theories and explanations. In this study, the criteria for the research of qualitative studies (COREQ) of the researchers Miklian and Medina Bickel (2017) were incorporated. This was with the purpose of guaranteeing transparency, rigor and exhaustiveness.

4. Results presentation and discussion

4.1 Strategic recourses and their attributes that contribute to the construction of the competitive advantage in the EFC Company

About the resources inventory and its attributes that the EFC Company owns, Table 2 contains the actives of the studied company in three capitals: human, organisational y physical, according to the classification proposed by Barney (1991).

Table 2 shows that the plant and equipment of the physical capital and the technical knowledge of the human capital are the strategic resources of the Company EFC, as they comply with the four attributes.

Regarding the plant and equipment, this tangible asset is a strength for the organisation under analysis, it is challenging to obtain and to be copied by the competitor and it is being exploited in an efficient way, which contributes to the CA generation (Table 3).

As it can be observed in Table 3, the EFC Company builds SCA from the attributes extracted from the resource plant and equipment. They are described below, as indicated by TM and GD:

Valuable attribute: [. . .] then we have an advantage here, it is that our teas are dedicated exclusive [. . .]. They look for us, because they know that here, it can be done, that we have all the production part, dedicated to that (transcription TM, reference 2).

Rare attribute: [. . .] not many companies in the country have the availability of having separated factories (transcription GD, reference 1).

Inimitable attribute, the competition is hardly in the ability to copy: We work under a high dynamic, moving our operations to be focused on customer satisfaction. So, they can hardly copy us (transcription GD, reference 1-2).
Organised attribute refers to the fact the company has established some aspects that make the exploitation of the resource easier: Factory and laboratory (transcription TM, reference 2).

Regarding the technical knowledge of the human capital, this intangible asset is valuable, and at the same time, it is difficult to get and copy by the competition and be organisationally exploited by the analysed company, which contributes to the CA generation (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital</th>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Valuable</th>
<th>Rare</th>
<th>Inimitable</th>
<th>Organised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Technical knowledge</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource (employees)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managers’ vision and employees</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of the company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational</td>
<td>I + D activities</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strategic planning</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incremental innovation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reputation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality management</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customers relation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Informal relations-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal groups and their</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>surrounding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business model</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resources’ practices</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>Actives of technology and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access to raw materials</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographical location</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.
Resources inventory and their attributes of the EFC Company, established by authors from Barney (1991)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Valuable</th>
<th>Rare</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Organised</th>
<th>Competitive implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>13 references in 4 documents</td>
<td>5 references in 4 documents</td>
<td>2 references in 1 document</td>
<td>10 references in 3 documents</td>
<td>Sustained competitive advantage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.
Attributes (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised) of the resource plant and equipment and its competitive implication, elaborated by the authors from Barney (2014, p. 140)
Table 4 indicates that the EFC Company constructs the SCA starting from the attributes that are extracted from the technical knowledge. This becomes a manifest, through GD and RA:

Valuable attribute: Which is specific for […], that we have been doing the same for some time, developing this type of medicine (transcription GD, reference 2).

Rare attribute: […] we are the ones who have the complete portfolio of […] in three pharmaceutical forms each (transcription GD, reference 2).

Imitable attribute, it is difficult for the competition to be in the posture to copy: The products that we manufacture as such, the documentary resource, all the technical knowledge that exists, embodied in documents that become the bottom line to achieve goals (transcription RA, reference 4).

Organised attribute refers to the fact that the company owns some established aspects that favour the exploitation of the resource: Because all that knowledge, that expertise brought by people from outside, is what makes us innovate, that processes are changed (transcription RA, reference 4).

The results obtained in this study support the outcomes and proposals of Barney (2014) in the way in which the attributes (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised) that are part of the strategic resources contribute to the construction of the CA, due to the fact that these attributes allow companies to exploit opportunities from the surrounding (Nason and Wiklund, 2015). However, the lack of clarity about how internal resources contribute to the construction of a CA continues to be pointed out (Newbert, 2008).

This is due to the confusing and unclear way of conceptualising CA (Prasad, 2020), which has generated the fact that the company’s resources are often defined in terms associated with organisational performance and not related to the competitive (Sigalas et al., 2013). Although, it is recognised that the CA is conceptually different from organisational performance (Ray et al., 2004), which is confirmed by Sigalas et al. (2013), who developed a competitive rule that is characterised by not including performance antecedents.

4.2 Resources and attributes that contribute to the construction of competitive advantages with EFC Company

The process to interpret the way in which strategic resources and their attributes contribute to the construction of the competitive advantage of the Company EFC was developed in three stages, namely:

(1) recognise the threats and the opportunities;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Valuable</th>
<th>Rare</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Inimitable</th>
<th>Organised</th>
<th>Competitive implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical knowledge</td>
<td>4 references in 3 documents</td>
<td>2 references in 2 documents</td>
<td>1 reference in 1 document</td>
<td>4 references in 1 document</td>
<td>Sustained competitive advantage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(2) identify the competition according to the similarity of resources endowment (Peteraf and Bergen, 2003); and
(3) compare the organisation under study with its competitors through the CPM developed by David (2011).

In the first step, the opportunities and threats of the EFC Company were recognised (Table 5).

Effectively, the references (the ones that realise the level of foundation of the qualitative analytical category took into consideration) regarding the opportunities of the EFC Company, which are reported in the Table 5, refer to the importance of the regulatory framework and the maquila's service, as indicated by TM and RA:

Regulatory framework: Well, the fact of having two factories, [...] from 2013 a rule came out saying no, [...] in one factory and [...] in another. One of the strengths that the EFC Company had, from the beginning, since the existence of EFC Company, both factories are separated (transcription TM, reference 2).

Maquila service: So, the laboratories started to say, let’s go to the EFC Company assembly for us, then I will stay with [...] and that the EFC Company produces for me the [...] Thus, we started with several clients (transcription AR, reference 2).

Lastly, regarding the threaten situations of the EFC Company, in Table 5, it is highlighted that the majority of the references are associated to the competition (low prices rivalry), as indicated by TM:

Competition (low prices rivalry): Because right now the fight against India, China is not easy (transcription TM, reference 1).

In the second step, the identification of the competition of EFC Company was made according to the similarity of resources endowment (Peteraf and Bergen, 2003). In this way, two companies were identified as competing directly and latent substitutes with the organisation under study (Table 6). This data processing exercise is supported by the NVivo Plus12 program.

Table 6 indicates that the competitors of the EFC Company are located in Quadrant II, which means that those companies do not satisfy the same needs of the target market for the study, but they have a high score in terms of similarity of the similarity of resources-capacity equivalence. Regarding the market needs, the pharmaceutical companies CSAS and STGF are oriented to identify other types of market needs. This is evidenced by the following fragments of our empirical material:

EFC Company: dedicated to maquila […] under an exclusive production scheme (EFC Company document, reference 3).

Table 5.
Opportunities and threats of the EFC Company, established by the authors from Dutton and Jackson (1987)
CSAS Company: specialized in the development, manufacture and marketing of pharmaceutical and cosmetic products for human and veterinary use (CSAS Company document, reference 3). In addition, it provides a comprehensive maquila service with the development of its own brands (CSAS Company document, reference 1).

STGF Company: dedicated to the production of medicines and supplements and, with a cosmetics production plant (STGF Company document, reference 1). It is a leading national company in the pharmaceutical and cosmetics maquila industry (STGF Company document, reference 1).

In relation to the equivalence capacity of the CSAS and STGF companies, Table 7 shows the resources that are common among the companies that are potential direct rivals of the EFC Company.

Table 7 shows the common resources between the EFC, CSAS and STGF Companies, where it is evident that the analysed companies have a high score in terms of similarity of resources-equivalence capacity. The foregoing, given that these pharmaceutical companies stand out for having been in the market for more than 20 years, as well as the relevance that the knowledge and skills of their employees have within the organisation to develop innovative products and services. They are leading companies in the maquila service. This, given that they have specialised plants and equipment to develop, in particular, a certain type of drug. Said machinery and equipment are characterised by being duly certified and, furthermore, by being located in the capital of the country.

And, in the step, the CPM was used; Table 8 shows the EFC Company against its main competitors, taking into account its strategic resources and attributes. It is estimated to use the concept proposed by Johnson et al. (2008) on the critical success factors, as, for them, they

### Table 6.
Framework to identify the competitors of the EFC Company, elaborated by the authors from Peteraf and Bergen (2003, p. 1034)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market’s needs</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Quadrant IV</th>
<th>Quadrant I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quadrant III</td>
<td>Quadrant II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- CSAS and STGF companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Equivalence capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7.
Common resources between EFC, CSAS and STGF companies, prepared by the authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital</th>
<th>Common resources</th>
<th>EFC</th>
<th>CSAS</th>
<th>STGF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Technical information-knowledge and abilities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trajectory experience in the industry</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resources (employees) – relevant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational</td>
<td>Management of quality – certification</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marginal innovation – products and services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maquila service business model</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>Factory and specialised machines</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographical location in the capital of the country</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are some factors that provide significant advantages. That is interpreted as the strategic resources and its attributes.

The results of the CPM presented in Table 8 show that the classification among the companies analysed is narrow. However, Company EFC has a high score compared to the others, which is related, specially to the rare attribute of strategic resources plant and equipment (independents, separated geographically) and technical knowledge (complete portfolio of medicines). Taking into account the above-mentioned, it can be said that the company under study has a CA compared to its main rivals (Bygrave and Zacharakis, 2011). As TM and RA indicate:

Plant and equipment (rare): Well right now, it is to have both factories separated, because there no laboratory that has this geographical separation here in the country (transcription TM, reference 2).

Technical knowledge (rare): We are the number one maquila factory of [...] in the country [...]. We are the one who have the complete portfolio of [...] in three pharmaceutical forms each. The competitors, due to normative issue, own one portfolio of [...], but not both (transcription RA, reference 1).

The previous findings confirm that the highlighted attributes of the strategic resources (factory and equipment and technical knowledge) contribute the construction of CA of the EFC Company compared to its competitors (Figure 1).

In Figure 1, it is testified how the EFC Company has a CA compared to its competitors, namely, first of all, the organisation under study uses the opportunities of the surrounding, because the manufacturing factories are independents; it means that the production space is geographically isolated to avoid cross-contamination, according to the country regulatory framework (Resolución 3028 de, 2008), a condition that is used to have business model focused on the maquila service.

Secondly, it neutralises the threats from the competition (low prices rivalry), by the production factory and the short amount time of manufacturing. Likewise, they use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic resources</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>EFC</th>
<th>CSAS</th>
<th>STGF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>Valuable: exclusive for manufacturing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare: independents, separated geographically</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inimitable: work under a high dynamic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organised: exploited in an efficient way</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical knowledge</td>
<td>Valuable: specific about the medicines they are producing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare: complete portfolio of medicines</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inimitable: reflected in the products they manufacture with their respective documentation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organised: Pharmaceutical forms diversification</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. CPM of the EFC Company against its main competitors, elaborated by the authors.
technical knowledge to diversify the pharmaceutical forms and offer a wide portfolio of products to the customers. These results are consistent with proposal of Arbelo et al. (2020), who affirm that the competitive position of a company is conditioned by the strategic resources and their optimal use to create CA.

The results also show that the physical capital contributes to the construction of the CA in the EFC Company, which agrees with findings of the studies on the topic of Sachitra and Chong (2018). This outcome draws attention because of the tangible assets usually do not meet all the necessary attributes of a strategic resource (Cater and Cater, 2009), and the advantage earned by the companies is not enough in the long term, as rivals can buy identical tangible (Rockwell, 2019). The finding that was controversial by one of interviewees, as it is shown in the results of Table 3. Thus, regarding the discussion around the relevance of tangible and intangible resources in the construction of CAs, there is no consensus (Ying et al., 2019). In that logic, Ying et al. (2019) affirm that companies should focus on the acquisition of both types of resources, regardless of their characteristic (tangible or intangible).

The findings related to the rare attribute show consistency with what is indicated in the literature on the importance of the resources in which this type of attribute predominates and the achievement of competitive advantages (Baia et al., 2020). This is because, as Ferreira and Fernandes (2017) state, rare assets are limited and are characterised by being difficult to own, and in some cases, impossible to obtain. In the case of KIOs, Baia et al. (2020) highlight the strategic relevance of rarity as an attribute in this type of organisation. In this sense, Cho et al. (2021) highlight the importance of having and effectively using unique and rare resources.

5. Conclusions
The results gained in this study highlight the practical value of the RBV, of the VRIO framework (valuable, rare, inimitable and organised) and of the CPM, to identify the way in which internal resources contribute to the achievement of CA. In this logic, the application of the VRIO framework determined that the plant and equipment and technical knowledge are strategic resources KIOs, and also, it allowed to recognise that are extracted from those strategic resources contribute to the construction of advantages compared to its competitors.

Regarding the valuable attributes of the plant and equipment resource, it is an organisational strength for the company, due to the fact that it has exclusive factories for the

**Figure 1.**
Competitive advantage of the EFC Company compared to its competitors, elaborated by the authors.
production of medicines; the attribute rare, because, it is the only company in the country that
owns independents factories, geographically separated; the inimitable attribute because the
established mechanisms in the factories allow the short amount of time for the production, and
the organisation attribute, which favours the exploitation of the manufacturing factories.

The valuable attribute of the technical knowledge resource is an organisational strength
from the workers’ experiences; the rare attribute because only EFC Company owns a
complete portfolio of medicines, that it manufactures thank to the technical knowledge that
it possesses about the topic; the inimitable attribute due to the fact that the technical
knowledge is reflected in the products that are manufactured with their respective
documents; and the organised attribute because the technical knowledge and expertise of
the workers are used efficiently in the diversification of pharmaceutical forms.

On the other hand, using strategic resources and their attributes as critical success
factors in the CPM, it was discovered that EFC Company holds CAs compared to its
competitors, due to the fact it exploits the opportunities of the surrounding, and it
neutralises the threats of the competitors, by means of the attributes of its strategic
resources, namely:

- production factory (rare attribute: independents and geographically separated); and
- technical knowledge (rare attribute: complete portfolio of medicines).

Therefore, the rare attribute stands out above the others (valuable, inimitable and organised)
in the construction of the CA.

The current research is relevant in the KIOs management because:

- it allows understand and identify different types of attributes that hold strategic
  resources, to implement some differentiation strategies by improving the competitiveness
  of the company; and
- it contributes to properly managing the assets that this type of organisation owns,
  as it is the possession and the proper use of the strategic resources and their
  attributes that contribute to the CA construction.

It is worth indicating that, from its case study’s approach, the results found here are not
generalisable to companies based in other countries and operating in other industries, but
they are a benchmark for the companies in similar contexts. It is recommended for the future
investigations to carry out additional research in other cases or, also, in different types of
organisations, taking into consideration the criteria established in this work.
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