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In a time when organizations and society have been changing immensely, the understanding of history and its role in shaping contemporary organizational studies has become more critical than ever. As scholars, we are called upon to question the ways in which history is constructed, narrated, and employed to produce knowledge. Recognizing that organizational practices are shaped by their past, historical research enables scholars to challenge hegemonic practices and normative organizational theory. According to Durepos et al. (2020), the growing variety of approaches in writing organizational histories, management thought, and researching management in historically conscious ways has led to the rise of critical organizational histories characterized by reflexivity, questioning of performativity, and a commitment to de-naturalizing hegemonic organizations and historiography. Such scholarship challenges the dominant history of management thought by exposing exclusion and marginalization in various domains. The incorporation of postcolonial, feminist, and queer scholarship into historical approaches highlights the ethnocentricity, gender-neutrality, and fixed identity categories in management education, emphasizing the importance of reflexivity and inclusion of marginalized voices (see Durepos et al., 2020). ANTi-History emerged as an important approach to understanding history in our field (Durepos & Mills, 2012a).

If we want to discuss ANTi-History, it is important first to present what I understand as actor–network theory (ANT). Based on the work of Bruno Latour and John Law, ANT is rooted in the Studies of Science and Technology field, presenting an alternative to approaches that focus solely on either humans or artefacts in analysing technological development and change. ANT emphasizes the importance of heterogeneous networks, arguing that both the social and the technical aspects of technology should not be divided into separate entities. This approach posits that entities are formed and acquire their attributes due to their relationships with others, existing only as continuous relational outcomes of ordering processes.

Central to ANT is the understanding that entities exist within networks of relationships, making it impossible to separate actors from networks. The primary focus of ANT is to investigate how networks are formed and maintained, with an emphasis on understanding the strategies that generate and sustain large actors. As a process-oriented perspective, ANT aims to analyse how order is achieved through the complex interactions of multiple materials, focussing on empirical settings and actions without imposing a priori definitions on the actors involved.
The application of ANT to organizational studies offers valuable insights by addressing how organizations are composed of and maintained through the networking of heterogeneous elements. This perspective views organizations as temporary stable products resulting from minute, contingent processes of ordering. ANT contributes to organizational studies by emphasizing reflexivity and focussing on how specific organizational aspects are sustained and maintained by networks.

ANT is ontologically relativist, acknowledging that the world can be organized in different ways, and empirically realist, offering no difficulty in producing descriptions of organizational processes. This combination renders ANT a relevant and useful approach to organizational studies. By refusing to accept dualisms and taking anomalies as its starting point, ANT provides a way of understanding how institutions work without relying solely on human agency or structural imperatives as explanatory tools. ANT offers a unique approach to understanding complex systems, developing its own notions and vocabulary in the process. By analysing the networking of heterogeneous elements, ANT contributes to the broader field of organizational studies by providing a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the processes, relationships, and interactions that shape and sustain organizations.

ANT is focussed on politics. ANT is presented as an approach that transcends ontology, enacting versions of reality that encompass the good, the epistemological, and the ontological, as well as their counterparts. Consequently, accounts emerging from ANT are inherently political. Ontological politics, as a concept, highlights the mutable nature of realities, which are shaped and contested through everyday practices. In this context, realities are intertwined with the political, challenging the presupposition of singularity and revealing the potential for alternative realities.

The implications of ontological politics in social science suggest that researchers contribute to the enactment of various realities, raising questions about which realities are being prioritized and which are being marginalized. Representations are understood as performative acts that generate divisions, distributions, and narratives, ultimately rendering some possibilities imaginable while leaving others unattainable. Furthermore, Mol (2002) posits that various aspects of life, such as sex differences, age, and cultural identity, are enacted alongside disease processes, resulting in complex, intertwined realities. Ontological politics, in this sense, challenges the notion of rational choice, emphasizing the importance of problem framing and the localized nature of solutions. ANT encourages a deeper understanding of the complex interconnections between realities and the role of researchers in enacting and contesting realities. ANT challenges the conventional understanding of organizations, provides critical performativity, and concurrently presents a reflexive approach to management and organizational knowledge, particularly by promoting a ‘political ontology’ of organizing (Alcadipani & Hassard, 2010, p. 419).

ANTi-History represents an adaptation of ANT to examine history within the disciplines of management and organizational studies. This innovative
approach seeks to incorporate the principles and methodologies of ANT in the analysis of past events, fostering a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the development and dynamics of organizations and their management throughout history.

Within this context, this book emerges as a timely and significant contribution to this intellectual endeavour. ANTi-History, an innovative approach conceptualized by Durepos and Mills (2012), challenges the conventional understanding of history as a singular and objective account of the past. Instead, it acknowledges the complex interplay between storytelling, social values, and the limitations of factual truth-telling. This book serves as a comprehensive guide to ANTi-History, presenting not only its theoretical foundations but also its potential applications and future directions within the realm of management and organizational studies.

ANTi-History emphasizes the imperative of examining prevailing perspectives and elevating the voices of disenfranchised groups. As a result, this methodology advocates for integrating diverse viewpoints into historical narratives, thus enabling a more accurate and comprehensive understanding of past epochs. By scrutinizing the biases and assumptions embedded in traditional historiography, ANTi-History generates a more refined interpretation of historical events and their elucidation. By challenging established historical accounts and methodologies, this approach nurtures critical thinking skills and motivates individuals to reevaluate commonly accepted concepts and beliefs. Moreover, ANTi-History highlights the significance of sociocultural and political contexts in shaping historical events and their subsequent analyses, ultimately producing a more intricate and profound comprehension of history. By offering a platform for marginalized communities to convey their experiences, ANTi-History can facilitate empowerment within these groups and foster a more equitable society.

The authors undertake an exploration of ANTi-History, delineating its development from its genesis to its present standing as an expanding area of investigation. The book is organized into five coherent chapters, each addressing a distinct facet of ANTi-History. Commencing with an introduction and the evolution of the approach, the authors supply a lexicon of essential terms, evaluate influential publications, and engage with ongoing discussions pertaining to ANTi-History. The following chapters probe the theoretical foundations, empirical implementations, and global contexts in which ANTi-History has been employed. The book culminates with a contemplative examination of the future potential of ANTi-History and its prospective contributions to the wider domain of organization studies.

This book serves as an attestation to the abundant and diverse scholarly engagement with ANTi-History, rendering it a valuable resource for researchers, practitioners, and students interested in examining the past from unconventional standpoints, contesting dominant historical accounts, and promoting critical thinking in management and organizational studies. Furthermore, it incites readers to participate in reflective and transformative dialogues regarding the nature of history, knowledge production, and its implications for organizational practices.
As you immerse yourself in the contents of this book, you will be engrossed in a captivating and intellectually stimulating endeavour that aspires to redefine your perception of history and its function in contemporary organizational studies. I encourage you to join the authors in investigating the potential of ANTi-History and embark on a quest towards a more discerning and multifaceted comprehension of the past.

– Rafael Alcadipani, Professor of Organizational Studies, FGV EAESP.
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Author’s Note

This book provides a research overview of ANTi-History in historical research of business, management, and organization. It is structured around a systematic, close reading of ANTi-History through its introduction to the field nearly two decades ago; the literatures that theorize it as an approach for ‘doing history’ and how others have contributed to its usefulness to scholars, practitioners, and students; an exploration of the empirical research areas, settings, and contexts – especially its position within an archival zeitgeist in critical management and organizational studies – that scholars have engaged in; revisiting the debates that concern ANTi-History and its theorization of the past; the international character that it has taken across numerous countries in six continents; and potential future research that present unique opportunities to further advance and refine ANTi-History and critical historiography scholarship.