

SUBJECT INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “n” indicate notes.

- Active learning, 144
- Active participation, 4
- Adaption, 97
- Adult learning, 145
- Agency, 81, 133, 140, 148, 155
- Agents of change, 79–80
 - 2019 cycle of JMS3 service-learning course, 79–80
 - communication as process, 84–89
 - contextualizing case study, 77
 - engaging with service-learning, 80–84
 - goals of course, 79
 - journalism education, 76–77
 - theoretical framing, 77–79
- Analytical skills, 30
- Animal Psychology, 104
- Antifoundational perspective, 106
- Applied research, 61
- Applied social research project, 61
- Arts Design and Humanities (ADH), 119
- Assessing learning, 154
- Assessment, 154
- Austrian Lifelong Learning Strategy, 99
- Avant-garde of certainty, 6
- Awareness, 26, 31–34

- Babes-Bolyai University, Romania, 101–102
- Becoming process, 28
- Belizean grade-school curriculum, 36
- Blogs, 151
- Business and Law (BAL), 119

- Career, 41
- Career aspirations, 144
- Career validation, 130
- Case study
 - contextualizing, 77
 - international service-learning case study, 31–39
- Charity, 5
- Citizenship, 115

- Civic education, 14
- Civic engagement, 5, 7, 14, 51, 97, 106, 117, 133, 139
- Civic learning, 130
- Civic-mindedness, 130, 133
- Civic-mindedness, 133
- Civil society, 64
- Class, 147
- Classroom
 - learning, 128
 - practices, 4
- Client, 100
- Climate change, 60
- Co-curricular activities, 13
- Co-curricular service-learning, 136, 139
- Co-production, 67–69
- College, 144
 - college-level literacy skills, 144
 - reading instruction and support, 143
 - reading support, 145–146
- Communal problems, 14, 19, 21
- Communication
 - dimensions, 78
 - discursive layer, 85–86
 - individual dimension, 87
 - as intervention, 87
 - mass media dimension, 88–89
 - organizational dimension, 87–88
 - as process, 84
 - social layer, 86
 - technological layer, 84–85
- Communicative dimensions, 76
- Communicative ecology, 7, 78, 79
- Communicative layers, 79
- Communicative practice, 7, 77
- Community activity, assessing, 122–123
- Community Based Experience
- Community benefits, 133–134
- ‘Community education’ approaches, 99
- Community engagement, 61, 63
- Community involvement, 128–129
- Community Link initiative, 61

- Community needs identification, 138–139
- Community organizations, 6
- Community partner, 129
 - engagement, 34
 - service-learning from community partners' perspectives, 80–82
- Community service, 4, 12–14, 57, 79, 118, 128–129, 133
- Community-based agents, 12
- Community-based learning, 60, 116
- Community-engaged teaching practices, 115
- Comprehensive Action Plan for SL (CAPSL), 110*n*3
- Computing Engineering and Media (CEM), 119
- Construction technology, 100
- Constructivism, 29
- Cooperative learning, 128
- Coronavirus pandemic, 64
- Cost effectiveness, 100
- Course assessment, 148
- Course file, 15, 17, 19
- Course knowledge, 128
- COVID-19, 24, 137
- Crick Report, 115
- Critical mass building, 103
- Critical reading skills, 148
- Critical reflection, 63, 130
- Critical Service-learning, 7, 77
- Critical thinking, 130
- Critical thinking skills, 30
- Cultural awareness, 132, 133
- Cultural competence, 133–134
- Cultural immersion and excursions, 34–35
- Cultural intelligence, 25, 31
- Cultural sensitivity, 30
- Cultural-based learning, 60, 69
- Curfew, 19
- Curriculum, 4, 14

- De Montfort University (DMU), 117
- Dearing Report, 115
- Demonstration, 154
- Didactic design, 107
- Discipline based curriculum, 115
- Discipline-specific experiences, 41
- Discomfort, 69
- Discursive layer, 85–86

- Diversity, 5
- Document analysis, 15, 17–19

- Education, 4, 76
- Education community, 79
- Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), 115
- Educational approach, 144
- Educational design research, 108
- Effective reflection, 131
- Emotions, 69
- Empathy, 63, 129, 132, 136
- Energy optimization, 100
- Equity, 5
- Ethics, 5
- European Observatory on Service-Learning in Higher Education (EOSLHE), 114, 118–119
- Experience, 128
- Experiential education theory, 30
- Experiential learning, 61, 66, 128
- Experimental learning, 134
- Expertise, 65
- Extra-curricular activities, 13

- Faculty development, 144
- Fall 2019, 15
 - extracts of instructors mapped courses to LiWC in, 18
- Field experience, 136
- Field placements, supplement, 136–137
- Field-education, 13
- First-year students, 145, 146
- Food insecurity, 60
- Framework, 124–125
 - for community walking survey, 35
 - ISL, 34
 - service learning, 8, 144, 148

- General education, 17–20, 146–147
- General reading strategies, 150
- Georgia Learning Outcomes of Students Who Study Abroad Project* (GLOSSARI Project), 26
- Global citizenship, 25–26, 31
 - insights, 41
 - pathway to, 29
- Global competence, 25
- Global competitiveness, 24
- Global cooperation, 24

- Global engagement, 27
 Globalization, 4, 25–27
 Good design practice, 25
 Google Search, 15
 Grahamstown, 86
- Hands-on learning, 128
 Health and Life Sciences (HLS), 119
 “Hidden” service-learning, 117
 High-impact learning practices, 146–147
 Higher Education (HE), 4–6, 8, 144
 in UK, 61
 Higher education institutions (HEIs),
 13–14, 96–97, 113
 Higher education service-learning
 best practices in higher education
 service-learning
 implementation, 134
 co-curricular, 139
 community benefits, 133–134
 experimental learning, 134
 identifying community needs, 138–139
 impact, 129
 implementation scenarios, 136
 joining partner events, 138
 service-learning implementation process
 and procedures, 134–136
 student benefits, 129–133
 supplement field placements, 136–137
 virtual service-learning, 137–138
 Host-country teacher impact
 assessment, 44–46
- Immersion, 132
 Immersive in-country service-learning
 experience, 28
 In-class academic coursework, 34
 Income inequality, 60
 Individual development, 105
 Individual dimension, 87
 Individual transformation, 78
 Information access, 146
 Information literacy, 9, 150
 Inquiry process, 4
 Institutionalization, 7, 103, 107, 109
 Institutionalization stage, 103
 Instructional approach, 50
 Instructors, 152
 Integrated approach
 accredited community-based learning
 at Wolve rhampton, 61–63
 co-production and social pedagogy
 enhance service learning,
 67–69
 current context, current concerns,
 64–65
 knowledge, 65–66
 service-learning framework, 60–61
 theoretical debates, 65
 Integrative curriculum, 128
 Inter-professional environment, 31
 Intercultural competence, 25
 Intercultural competence development,
 131–133
 Interdisciplinary approach, 31
 Interdisciplinary learning, 29–30
 International service learning (ISL), 6,
 30, 34
 all students on board construction
 project, 36–38
 awareness, 31–34
 case study, 31
 cultural immersion and excursions,
 34–35
 in-class teaching, 35–36
 participation, 34
 responsibility, 38
 Internships, 13
 Interpersonal skills, 30, 61
- JMS3 program, 77–78
 2019 cycle of JMS3 service-learning
 course, 79–80
 Joining partner events, 138
 Journalism and Media Studies (JMS), 7
 Journalism education, 76–77
- Knowledge, 66, 128
 Knowledge Exchange Framework
 (KEF), 118
 Knowledge of the powerful, 66
 Kolb’s model, 134
- Language, 5, 7, 17, 19, 21, 25, 57, 101,
 114, 117, 132, 138–139
 Leadership, 25
 Learning, 69
 assessing, 154
 in service activities, 154
 Learning communities, 149
 Learning in Workplace and Community
 (LiWC), 5, 14–15

- Lebanon, 5, 14
 Likert scale, 40, 42
 Literacy, 50
 Literacy skills, 144
 Lockdown, 19
- Mass media dimension, 88–89
 Media, 151
 Millennial generation, 25
 Mix-methods research, 6
 assessment, 24
 Mixed methods approach, 15
 Module assessment, 122
 Multi-dimensional course assessment, 39–40
 Multi-disciplined international service learning, 27–29
 360 Global Ed model
 assessment results and observations, 39
 catalog description, 50–55
 constructivism, 29
 host-country teacher impact
 assessment, 44–46
 illustrative syllabus, 50
 interdisciplinary learning, 29–30
 international service-learning case study, 31–39
 post-survey results, 40–42
 role of globalization, 25–27
 self-ratings in series of question, 42–43
 service learning, 30
 student reflections, 43–44
 theoretical underpinnings, 29
 transformational leadership, 30
 unintended impacts, 46
 walking survey, 56–58
 Mutual benefit, achieving, 121–122
- National Academy of Engineering (NAE), 24
 National Strategy for Tertiary Education, 102
 News, 151
 NextGen generation, 29
 Not-for-profit organization, 61
 Note-taking strategies, 150
- One-size-fits-all approach, 97
 Online learning, 4
 Open-access university, 146
 Open-ended questions, 44
 Organizational dimension, 87–88
- Pandemic, 4–5, 15–20, 21, 24, 60, 64, 66
 Participation, 34
 Participatory approaches, 76
 Partners, 100
 Pedagogical approach, 4, 14, 128
 Pedagogical practice, service-learning as, 121
 Pedagogy, 4, 6, 62, 68, 69–70, 131–132
 Personal growth, 41
 Phoenicia University (PU), 14
 Photovoice, 138
 Physical distancing, 19
 Policy, 67, 70, 116–117, 120, 155
 Popular reading, 148
 Positive design approach, 108
 Post-COV ID-19 pandemic, 13, 15
 Post-trip assessment, 40
 Powerful knowledge, 66, 68–69
 “PR Concept”, 100
 Practice-based learning, 13
 Practices of global citizenship, 28
 Practicums, 13
 Pre-planned readings, 150
 Pre-trip classroom, 31
 Problem resolutions, 134
 Problem-solving abilities, 130
 Profession validation, 130
 Professional programs of study, 136
 Professionalization of journalism, 76
 Project artifacts, 154
 Project management, 61
 Psycho-biology of sexuality, 104
 Public education, 85
 Public good, 76–79, 82
 Public policy, 120, 148
- Qualitative analysis of official documents, 99, 102–103
 Quality building, 103
 Quantitative data analysis
 document analysis, 17–19
 interest over time, 16–17
- Reading choice, 149–150
 Reading in service, 144–145, 146–147
 action, reflection, and demonstration, 153–154

- assessing learning, 154
 - first implementation of, 147–148
 - need for college reading support, 145–146
 - preparation, planning, and reading, 152
 - preparing to teach, 149–150
 - reading in service, as educational approach, 148–149
 - reading in service of investigation, 150–152
 - service learning stages and reading support, 150
- Reading instruction, 144–146, 150, 155
 - college, 143
 - explicit, 9
 - in service-learning framework, 8
- Reading logs, 151
- Reading remediation, 147
- Reading strategies, 9, 146, 148, 150–151
- Reading support, 144, 145–146, 149, 150
- Real-world learning activities, 114
- Reciprocity, 61, 63
- Reflection, 38–39, 128
 - activities, 13
 - log, 5
- Reflective activities, 4
- Reflective learning, 115
- Reflective practice, 130–131
- Research Excellence Framework (REF), 117–118
- Respect, reciprocity, relevance and reflection (4 R's framework), 4
- Responsibility, 38–39
- School of Journalism and Media Studies (JMS), 77
- Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM), 24, 26
- Self-empowerment, 87
- Self-evaluation, 130
- Self-ratings in series of question, 42–43
- Service-learning (SL), 4–7, 30, 60–61, 77–78, 96, 113–114, 143
 - 5Ws of, 13–14
 - achieving mutual benefit, 121–122
 - assessing community activity, 122–123
 - differing levels of service-learning adoption, 119–121
 - engaging with, 80
 - LiWC, 14–15
 - methodology, 15–16
 - quantitative data analysis, 16–19
 - service-learning as pedagogical practice, 121
 - service-learning from students' perspective, 82–84
 - survey findings, 119
 - from teachers' and community partners' perspectives, 80–82
 - in UK context, 114–117
 - uncovered practice and prospects for adoption, 123–124
 - uncovering service-learning at UK University, 117–119
- Service-learning experiences, 128, 136
 - best practices in higher education service-learning implementation, 134–139
 - higher education service-learning impact, 129–134
- Service-learning implementation
 - best practices in higher education, 134
 - process and procedures, 134–136
- Service-learning in Higher Education (SLIHE), 97
 - Babes-Bolyai University, Romania, 101–102
 - case studies, 97
 - characteristics of service learning, 96–97
 - comparison of different conceptualizations, 105–107
 - examples of courses with SL components, 99–101, 103–105
 - qualitative analysis of official documents, 99, 102–103
 - recommendations, 107–109
 - university for continuing education, Austria, 98–99
- Site report, 121
- Social agents, 12
- Social change, 5, 60, 68, 76
- Social dimension, 65
- Social geographers, 101
- Social intelligence, 31
- Social justice-oriented approach, 4

- Social layer, 86
- Social location of service-learning course, 77
- Social media, 151
- Social mobility, 144
- Social need, 7
- Social pedagogy, 60, 67–69
- Social practice, 76
- Social responsibility, 102
- Social science curriculum, 61
- Solidarity, 97
- Spring 2020, 15
- Student benefits, 129
 - application of higher-level thinking skills, 130–131
 - cultural awareness, 133
 - intercultural competence development, 131–133
 - personal development, 129
 - profession/career validation, 130
- Student Link (*see* Community Link initiative)
- Students' leadership development, 130
- Students' perspective, service-learning from, 82–84
- Supplement field placements, 136–137
- Sustained institutionalization, 103
- Systemic transformation, 78

- T-student approach, 29, 31
- Teachers' perspectives, service-learning from, 80–82
- Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF), 118
- Teaching reading, 146–147
- Teamwork, 41
- Technical rationality, 65
- Technical skills, 154
- Technological layer, 84–85
- Technology-based concerns, 25
- 360 Degree Model for Educating Socially Responsible Global Citizens (360 Global Ed model), 6, 24, 27–29, 31, 40, 43–44
- Trade publications, 151
- Traditional education models, 30
- Transformational leadership, 30
- Transformational learning, 128
- Transformative instructional approach, 27
- Transformative interdisciplinary learning, 28
- Triangle model, 134
- “Triangle of knowledge”, 102
- Triangulation, 15

- Uncovering service-learning at UK University, 117–119
- United Kingdom (UK), 8
 - current UK practice, 115–117
 - emerging practice in, 114
 - “hidden” service-learning, 117
 - service-learning in, 114
 - UK policy and sectoral influences, 115
 - uncovering service-learning at UK University, 117–119
- United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), 118
- United States (US), 114
- Universitatea Babeș-Bolyai (UBB), 101–102
- University for continuing education, Austria, 98–99
- University for Continuing Education Krems (UWK), 98
- University Learning Outcomes (ULO), 31–34
- University-based practices, 97
- University/universities, 12, 19, 64, 96–97

- Vice Chancellor (VC), 77
- Village Network framework, 50
- Virtual service-learning, 137–138
- Volunteering in Community (ViC), 61
- Vygotsky's framework, 129

- Walking survey, 56
 - Corozal/Chan Chen Walking Survey, 56
 - observations, 56–58
- Websites, 151
- What, Why, When, Where, and How (5Ws), 13
 - of service-learning, 13–14
- Wicked problems, 64