SUBJECT INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by "n" indicate notes.

Academia, 163 Academic Capitalism, 8, 91, 94-96 Academic municipalities academic capitalism, 94-96 cybernetic model, 93 political models of governance, 91-93 previous university governance models, 91 regime politics, 100-101 regime politics and university governance, 100 role of culture, 93-94 theories of urban governance, 97-99 urban politics and, 96-97 Academic staff, 72 requirements, 62-63 Academic systems, 94 Acceleration, 184 Accountability, 10, 139 Administrator, 58-59 university, 58, 61 Appropriation, 37 Australia, HEIs in, 164-169 Bill of Rights, 132 Business models, 67-68 "Butterfly effects", 7, 58 academic staff requirements, 62-63 business models, 67-68 challenges in administrating universities, 58-59 enhancing research impact, 63-64 management aspects of higher education systems, 60-61 student-centered campus, 64-67

Capital, 90 Case study, 73 Centrality, 145 Centralization, 78 Change management, 4–5 Chief executive officers, 126 Chinks-in-the-Armor Framework, 7 Citizens, urban politics and, 99 Coaching and supporting roles, 195–196 Coercive isomorphism, 147 Collaborative risk management, 117 - 118"Collegiality", 74 Commercial managers, 116 Communication, 66 Comparative Religion, 67 Competency-based Education, 65 Competition, 4 Competitiveness Strategy, 161 Constituencies, 96 Contract management practices, 116 collaborative risk management, 117 - 118Vice Chancellor's team engagement, 118-120 Convergence, 41 across dislocated communities in college, 42-52 seeding conversation to developing, 50-52 Corporate sector, 182 adapting insights for talent development in strategic innovation from, 185-186 higher education adapting insights on strategic innovation from, 180-181

research sources on strategic innovation in, 197 Covid-19 pandemic, 111 Creating Connections Consortium [C3], 53*n*5 Cross-cultural Psychology, 67 Culture role, 93–94 Cyber liability, 14 Cybernetic model, 91, 93 Cybernetic system, 90

Data collection method, 48, 73 Department of Health and Social Care, 114 Digital competencies, 186 Digital textbooks, 65 Digital transformation, 186 Disciplinary units, 72 Discovery, 184 Distinctiveness, 145 Distributed leadership, 38 strategic planning defined by, 40 Diversity, 66-67 Diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI), 37, 51 Documentary research, 38 Dual-traditional model, 127 Durability, 145

Economics, 96 Education. 59 policy as practice, 37-38 Educational and Student Experience, 79 Elite theory, 97 Emotional intelligence, 66 English National Student Survey, 81 Enterprise Resource Planning systems, 114 Enterprise risk management, 15 enterprise-wide human resource participation, 19 Enterprise-wide participation in risk management program, 19 - 20

Enterprise-wide risk management (EWRM), 6, 15 need to review risk strategies in independent HE, 16-17 OLC, 18-29 OLC risk management PDCA cycle, 29-30 origins of regulating management risk in higher education, 15 - 16research study, 14-15 Entrepreneurial behaviour, 14 Entrepreneurial Discovery Process (EDP), 159 Episodic improvization, 112 Equity, 49 "Espoused values", 129 Ethical culture, 133 Ethnographic approach, 37-38 Ethnography, 38 European Commission, 158–159 European Higher Education Area, 126 Exacerbating tensions, 75 Excel, 114-115 Excellence, 5 Experiential learning, 9 External risks, 110

Faculty engagement with strategic innovation, 186-189 Faculty of Arts, 72 organizational chart, 78 Faculty Steering Committee, 41, 42 Failure, modes, effects and criticality analysis (FMECA), 22 Fallist Protests [2015–2016], 129–131 Feedback loops, 93 Field notes, 38 Finland, Smart Specialization strategy in. 159-164 "Flexible hub" model, 81 Focus groups, 73, 80, 82 document activity, 87-88 Full-time student equivalents (FTEs), 132

Gaming, 112 Garbage Can Model of Organizational Choice, 92 Globalization, 116 Governance of universities, 129-131 2015–2016 Fallist Protests accountability and acting in best interests. 139 analysis of statutes, 133-137 case of Rhodes University as Public South African HEI, 131–133 comparative analysis, 133 composition of institutional forum, 137 - 138evolution of governance in South African HEIs, 127-129 institutional culture, 129 relationship with government, 126-127 review process, 133 Governance[s], 5, 60 of higher education, 4 pattern of, 6 Governmental funds, 4 Greenfields University, 181, 182-189 Health, 59 Heartland State University

scenario, 189-190 sustaining strategic innovation at, 190-191 Heartland State University, 181 Heterarchy, 40 Heteroglossia, 52 Higher education (HE), 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 106-107, 126 academic space in, 5 adapting insights on strategic innovation from corporate sector. 180-181 background and relevant literature, 74 findings, 75 focus group document activity, 87-88

Governance Code of Practice and Principles, 16 implications for quality and quality assurance in, 152-153 isomorphism in, 147-149 isomorphism through institutional ranking systems in, 149-150 management aspects of higher education systems, 60-61 methodological reflections, 81-83 need to review risk strategies in independent HE, 16-17 in Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries, 176-177 origins of regulating management risk in, 15-16 professional services staff, 72 regulatory ownership and meaning, 76–77 strategic challenges for teaching and learning in, 178-179 strategic innovation in higher education teaching and learning, 179-180 strategic planning, 36 tale of two [stratified] teams, 79-81 TEF as regulatory policy, 74-75 threat of consistent inconsistency, 77-79 Higher Education Act, 9 Higher Education and Research Act, 24 Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), 15 Higher education institutions (HEI), 6, 10, 14, 60, 72, 106–107, 114, 126, 158 adopting principles of HEI risk management, 25 Australia, 164-169 developing HEI risk management program scope, 20-22 Finland, 159–164 Smart Specialization strategy, 158-159 Historically black institutions (HBIs), 130 "Homogeneity", 77 Human development, 59 Human dignity, 126 Human resources, 99 Huxley, 93 Implementation, 37 hierarchical power of, 36 top-down policy, 37 Inclusion, 66-67 of participants, 40 Inclusivity, 49 Income, 59 Incubation. 184 Individual risk perception, 113-114 Innovation, 8, 65, 186 leadership and management, 195 Innovation and learning ecosystems (ILEs), 10, 158 "Insiders". 5 Institutional culture, 129 Institutional isomorphism, 150 Institutional memory, 39-40 Institutional rankings implications for quality and quality assurance in higher education, 152-153 institutional types and differences, 146-147 isomorphism in higher education, 147-149 isomorphism through institutional ranking systems in higher education, 149-150 levels of pressure emanating from university rankings and isomorphism, 150-152 organizational identity theory as theoretical lens, 145-146 spatial approach for quality assurance in higher education, 153-154 Institutional Research, 48 Intellectual capital, 65-66

Inter alia, 126 "Interlocuter Framework", 83 Interviews, 38 Isomorphism, 77 in higher education, 147–149 through institutional ranking systems in higher education, 149–150 levels of pressure emanating from university rankings and, 150–152

Jovial mockery, 79

King IV[™] (*see* King IV[™] Report for Corporate Governance) King IV[™] Report for Corporate Governance, 132 Knowledge Exchange Framework (KEF), 106 Knowledge intensive communities (IKCs), 10, 158

LAB, 159-160 Language, 52 Latrobe Valley Authority (LVA), 165 Leadership, 49, 98–99 Learning, 62 strategic challenges for teaching and learning in higher education. 178-179 strategic innovation in higher education teaching and learning, 179-180 Learning beyond the classroom (LBC), 53n2 Local enhancement team, 81 Local Government Act, 115 Loose coupling, 92

Management, 7 aspects of higher education systems, 60–61 exploring management structures for sustaining strategic innovation, 191–194

Heartland State University Scenario, 189-190 issues in sustaining strategic innovation, 189 sustaining strategic innovation at Heartland State University, 190 - 191Managerialism, 110 Melbourne Sustainable Society Institute (MSSI), 165 Mimetic isomorphism, 148 Mission statement, 144 Multi-governance, strategic planning as. 38-42 Multi-leadership environment, strategic planning as, 38-42 Multidisciplinary research, 64 Multiplicity, 145-146 National Audit Office (NAO), 116 National Research Foundation (NRF), 151 National Student Financial Aid Scheme (NSFAS), 131 "Negative" resistive improvisation, 113 Negotiation, 36 "Neoliberal administrator", 74 "Non-academic" staff, 72, 74, 79 Non-racialism, 126 Non-sexism, 126 Normative isomorphism, 148-149 NVivo software, 83 Objective values, 64

Office for Fair Access (OFFA), 24 Office for Students (OfS), 24, 108 Online Learning Consortium, 65 Opportunity, 75 Organisational Learning Centre (OLC), 15, 18–19 developing HEI risk management program scope, 20–22 ensuring operational accountability for risk management program, 22–26 enterprise-wide participation in risk management program, 19–20 FMECA excerpt from risk register, 23 OLC risk management PDCA cycle, 29–30 PDCA, 26–29 Organizational culture, 93, 94 Organizational function, strategic innovation as, 196–197 Organizational identity theory, 9 "Outsiders", 5

Päijät-Häme Sports, Experiences and Well-being Road Map 2030 (P-HSEWR2030), 162-164 Participant observation, 38 Participation, 10 Plan, do, check and act (PDCA), 14, 26 OLC risk management PDCA cycle, 29-30 operational checking and monitoring, 28 operational risk treatment and risk action, 27-28 organization-wide meetings and communication, 28-29 preparing for risk management program deployment, 26 strategic risk management planning processes, 27 Pluralism, 97 Plurality, 145-146 Policy, 51 "Policy as a practice of power", 36, 37 Political model of governance, 91–93 of university, 90 "Positive" resistive improvisation, 113 Postgraduate Association (PGA), 136 Power dynamics, 129 origins of, 97-98 practices of, 37-38

Practice, 51 of power, 37–38 practice-based innovation model, 159 practice-oriented approach, 37 Practicum arrangements, 66 Preparation, Plan, Do, Check and Act (P2DCA), 6 Preventable risks, 110 Problem-solving approach, 65 Procurement, 109, 116 Professional services staff (PS staff), 72 Project management risk, 18

Qualitative research approach, 64 Quality, 72 checker, 78–79 management systems, 18 Quality Assurance Agency (QAA), 15–16, 18 Quality assurance in higher education, 152–153 spatial approach for, 153–154 Quality Code, 18

Recursive dynamics, 37 Regime politics, 100-101 and university governan ce, 100 Regime theory, 97 Republic of scholars model, 126 Research and development (R&D), 159 Research Excellence Framework (REF), 106 Resistive improvization, 112 Resource competition, 96 #Rhodesmustfall, 130 Rhodes University, 130 RIS3strategy, 164 Risk, 110 contract management practices, 116 - 120ensuring operational accountability for risk management program, 22-26 HEI. 106-109 in higher education, 15–16

improvizations to manage risk, 112-113 individual risk perception, 113-114 logs, 117 management, 15, 19 perception, 111-112 registers, 115 stakeholders and, 114–115 stakeholders and risk, 114-115 Risk assessment (RA), 21 Risks, Assumptions, Issues and Dependencies logs (RAID logs), 115 **Risks Assumptions Dependencies** Issues Opportunities log (RADIO log), 118 Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT), 10, 165

Scenario-based proof-of-concept tests, 181 - 182Science revisiting, 64 values and, 64-65 Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM), 64 Self-regulatory codes, 9 Semi-structured improvisation, 112 Shared governance, 38 Skype, 65 Small-and medium-sized enterprises, 61 Smart Specialization strategy [RIS3], 10, 158–159 Sociocultural activity theories, 37 Sociocultural theory, 37-38 Sociology, 64 South African HEIs, governance evolution in, 127-129 Spatial approach for quality assurance in higher education, 153-154 Staff engagement with strategic innovation, 186-189 Staff recruitment and development for strategic innovation, 183-184 Stakeholder organizations, 126 "Stakeholderization", 129

Stakeholders and risk, 114–115 STEAM. 64 Strategic innovation, 11, 177–178 higher education adapting insights on strategic innovation from corporate sector, 180 - 181in higher education teaching and learning, 179-180 management issues in sustaining. 189-194 next steps in testing adaptability of corporate insights on, 197 - 198scenario-based proof-of-concept tests. 181–182 scenarios to adapt corporate insights on, 194-197 across sectors, 176–177 sources for adapting insights on strategic innovation across sectors, 197 strategic challenges for teaching and learning in higher education, 178-179 talent management to initiate. 182-189 Strategic planning, 158 building participation, trust, and convergence across dislocated communities. 42 building response to community silos, 47–49 creating conditions to hear variety of voices, 49-50 culturally viable through negotiations within Williams' shared governance model, 40-42 defined by distributed leadership and multiplicity of expertise, 40 institutional memory, 39-40 internal needs, external review, 38-39 as multi-governance and multileadership environment, 38

seeding conversation to developing convergence, 50-52 sociocultural theory, 37-38 starting context with communities, 43-47 Strategic risks, 110 management planning processes, 27 Stratification, 79-81 Student Services, 79, 81 Student Transformative Learning Record (STLR), 189–190 Student-centered campus, 64 diversity and inclusion, 66-67 innovations, 65 intellectual capital, 65-66 revisiting science, 64 values and science, 64-65 Subject-level TEF, 76 Subjective values, 64 Subversive improvization, 112 Subversive language, 79 Supervisory neglect, 108 Surveys, 38 Sustainability, 51, 185 Sustainable governance, 79

Talent capability, 194 Talent management to initiate strategic innovation, 182 continued professional growth for faculty and staff engagement, 186-189 staff recruitment and development for strategic innovation at Greenfields University, 183-184 talent development in strategic innovation from corporate sector, 185-186 Teaching essentials of, 62 lecturing to, 62–63 staff. 72 strategic challenges for teaching and learning in higher education. 178–179

strategic innovation in higher education teaching and learning, 179-180 Teaching Excellence and Student **Outcomes Framework** (TEF), 72 as regulatory policy, 74-75 **Teaching Excellence Framework** (TEF), 7, 106, 108 Technical and further education (TAFE), 165, 169 Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency (TEQSA), 21 Threat, 75 of consistent inconsistency, 77-79 Timberton University, 72 Top-down policy, 37 Trust across dislocated communities in college, 42–52 Turing scheme, 108

Uncertainty, 75 Uniformization, 78 Universities of applied sciences (UASs), 159 Universities South Africa (USAf), 131 University of Cape Town (UCT), 130 University of Witwatersrand, 130 University/universities, 4, 5, 90–91 administrator, 58 challenges in administrating universities, 58–59 culture, 132

governance, 90 levels of pressure emanating from university rankings and isomorphism, 150–152 previous university governance models, 91 rankings, 144 Urban development, 91 Urban governance origins of power, 97-98 regime politics and, 100 theories of, 97 urban political structures, 98-99 urban politics and citizens, 99 Urban political structures, 98–99 Urban politics, 97 and academic municipalities, 96-97 and citizens, 99 Urban Social Movements, 99

Values and science, 64–65 Vice Chancellor's team engagement, 118–120

Webinars, 65 Williams campus-wide strategic plan, 38 Williams College, 36 strategic planner for, 38 Williams' shared governance model, 40–42 Workforce, 72, 77, 81 Workplace innovation, 186

Zoom platform, 65