

INDEX

- Australia, municipal resilience in
 - Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), 36
 - context
 - accounting standards, 38–39
 - New South Wales local government, 39–40
 - rural areas, 38
 - Victorian local government, 40–41
 - GDP growth, 36
 - GFC, 36
 - market failure, 37
 - methods
 - budgetary position and volatility matrix, 41–42
 - normalised budget positions, 41
 - Ward’s method cluster analysis, 41
 - results, 42
 - individual council responses to shocks, 45–48
 - inter-temporal analysis of jurisdiction level responses post-GFC, 43–44
 - trading partners, 36
- Australian Capital Territory (ACT), 37
- Austria local governments
 - accounting reforms and institutional changes, 20
 - collection of data and analysis, 21–22
 - context and conditions, 19
 - economic situation, before global financial crisis, 18
 - method, 20–21
 - resilience patterns of, 23
 - result
 - A, second-largest city, 22, 24–25
 - B, industrial community, 25–27
 - C, wealthy touristic community, 27–28
 - D, wealthy local government, 28–30
- Awareness (or preparedness), 5
- Brazilian municipalities, financial resilience
 - context, 54
 - compliance check-list, 56
 - fiscal decentralisation, 55
 - macroeconomic and political crises, 57
 - mayor model, 55
 - national accrual-accounting standards, 56
 - operational expenditures, 56
 - PFM framework, 55–56
 - prices of oil and iron, 56–57
 - regional audit bodies, 56
 - specific-purpose transfers, 55
 - sub-prime crisis, 56
 - transfer of funds, 55
 - unpaid commitments, 56
 - countercyclical initiatives, 54
 - drop in commodity prices, 54
 - methods
 - average budgetary position, 58
 - budgetary performance, patterns of, 57
 - budgetary position and volatility, 57

- decision-making process, 58
 - financial and budgetary reports, 60–61
 - interviews, 61
 - mayor's leadership, 61
 - mean and standard deviation of budgetary surplus for target sample, 58
 - municipality response and mayor leadership, pattern of, 59–60
 - vulnerabilities, 61
- political crisis, 54
- results
 - Araraquara city, case study, 67–68
 - Nova Friburgo city, case study, 63–65
 - Petrópolis city, case study, 65–67
 - Poços de Caldas city, case study, 61–63
- Care Commissioning Groups (CCGs), 80
- Carrathool, 47
- Central Darling Shire in NSW, 45–46
- Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA), 91*n*3
- CIPFA Prudential Code, 90*n*2
- Commonwealth Government's response to GFC, 43
- Consumer Price Index, 44
- Council Tax Support schemes, 91*n*4
- Country settings, and role of external environment
 - empirical resilience research, 232
 - GFC, 231
 - national policies, 232
 - policies for local governments, 231
- Department of Premier & Cabinet
 - Division of Local Government (DLG), 44
- Derbyshire County Council, 82–85
 - See also* English local government context
- Dillon's Rule, 209
 - See also* U.S. local level features
- Director of Economic and Environmental Services, 80–81
- Director of Finance and Information Services, 80–81
- Domestic Stability Pact, 155–156, 169*n*6
- English local government context
 - Brown/Darling handling, 75–76
 - capital spending, 75–76
 - central control, 74
 - financial management, 76
 - findings
 - Derbyshire County Council, 82–85
 - Manchester City Council, 77, 79–80
 - Warrington Borough Council, 80–82
 - Wigan Council, 85–87
 - methods, 76–77
 - net current expenditure, 75
 - onset and impact of financial crisis and austerity, 75–76
 - rate of VAT, 75–76
 - resilience patterns, 78
 - unitary or two-tier model, 75
- Essential Services Commission (ESC), 46
- Financial resilience, framework for exploring
 - anticipatory and coping capacities, 7, 233

- constrained adaptation, 234
- contentedness, 236
- different patterns, 233
- final remarks, 236–239
- financial shocks, 6, 233
- powerlessness, 235
- reactive adaptation, 234–235
- self-regulation/pro-active adaptation, 233–234
- vulnerability, 6–7, 233
- Fiscal decentralisation, 55
- French Republic local government context
 - local institutional framework, 95–96
 - local public finances framework, 95–97
 - 2008 Crisis in France, 97
 - discussion, 108–111
 - financial health, 94
 - French municipalities, resilience of
 - case study results and discussion, 99–111
 - comparison of dimensions, 106–107
 - general operating grant (DGF), 94
 - growing number of responsibilities, 94
 - methods, 97–99
- Germany local government
 - accrual-based approach, 120
 - cash-based approach, 120
 - economy, 116
 - financial resilience in
 - collection of data and analysis, 121–123
 - methods, 121
 - financial situation, 119–120
 - foreign citizens, 116–117
 - GDP per capita, 119
 - grants, 119
 - investment packages, 117–118
 - local autonomy, 118
 - municipalities' main functions, 118–119
 - national unemployment rate, 117
 - population per municipality, 118
 - principles of subsidiarity, 118
 - public finances, 117
 - results and findings
 - G1, economically favourable context, 123–125
 - G2, city located in German east, 125–126
 - G3, biggest city, 126–128
 - G4, fast growing city, 128–130
 - G5, located near two metropolitan areas, 130–131
 - revenue shares, 119
 - share of world exports, 116
 - social and cultural services, 118–119
 - two-layer administration, 118
- Global financial crisis (GFC), 7–8, 36
- Governments and crises
 - context of austerity, 2
 - events and shocks, 2
 - financial crises, research on, 230
 - global financial crises, 2
- Greater Manchester Combined Authority (GMCA), 77
- Greece austerity, 8
- Greek local governments
 - accounting system in, 140
 - bilateral financial pressures, 136
 - characteristics of, 138–139
 - domestic decentralisation policies, 136
 - economic situation
 - GDP, 137
 - growth rates, 137
 - indicators, 138
 - MTFS, 137

- European funding, 136
- financial resilience in
 - discussion and conclusions, 149–151
 - methodology, 140, 142
 - resilience dimensions of selected cases, 141
- results
 - City A, biggest Greek LG, 142–144
 - City B, medium-sized LG, 144–145
 - City C, working class city, 145–147
 - City D, medium-sized LG, 147–149
- state subsidies and regulations, 136
- Home Rule, 209
 - See also* U.S. local level features
- Independent Local Government
 - Review Panel (ILGRP), 39, 44
- Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART), 39
- Institute of Public Works Engineering Australasia (IPWEA), 47–48
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 8
- International Public Sector
 - Accounting Standards (IPSAS), 20
- Italian municipalities, patterns of
 - financial resilience in
 - comparison of resilience dimensions, 160–161
 - context
 - average per capita expenditure of municipalities, 155
 - cash-commitment basis of accounting, 156
 - Domestic Stability Pact, 155–156
 - fiscal policies, 155
 - population, 155
 - discussion and conclusion, 167–169
 - Financial Framework Report of Municipalities published by IFEL, 154
 - levels of government, 154
 - Lissone, contented resilience, 166–167
 - methods
 - case selection, 156–157
 - collection of data and analysis, 157
 - municipalities' financial performance, 158
 - New Public Management (NPM), 154
 - Piacenza, constrained resilience, 164–166
 - revenues, 154
 - Rozzano, powerless fatalism, 162–164
 - Sesto San Giovanni, proactive and internally driven, 159, 162
 - 2008 economic and financial crisis, 154
- Kallikratis reform, 139
- Local Government Act (1993), 39
 - See also* Australia, municipal resilience in
- Local government, defined, 9
- Local public finances framework, 95–97
 - See also* French Republic local government
- Loddon Shire, 47–48
 - See also* Australia, municipal resilience in

- Manchester City Council, 77, 79–80
See also English local government context
- Medium-term framework for financial strategy (MTFS), 137
See also Greek local governments
- Michigan local governments
 discussion and conclusion, 224–225
 fiscal stress, 208
 GFC, 208
 method, 210
 financial data of selected cases, 212
 functions and responsibilities, 211
 initial criteria, 211
 normalised financial performance, 211
 qualitative analysis, 213
 volatility, 211
 resilience patterns, 214–215
 results, 213, 216
 M1, old city, 216–218
 M2, landlocked by two surrounding townships, 218–219
 M3, suburb of Detroit, 220–222
 M4, located in Detroit, 222–224
- Monash Council, 46
See also Australia, municipal resilience in
- Municipal Association of Victoria (MAV), 44
See also Australia, municipal resilience in
- Netherlands local government
 buffering and adapting to crisis, 183, 185
- context
 accrual-based accounting standards, 176
 City Council (CC), 175
 Council of Mayor and Aldermen (CMA), 175
 local authorities and aftermath of the financial crisis, 176
 long-term finances, 176
 NPM-thinking, 176
 principal sources of income, 175–176
 spending, 175
- financial resilience, patterns of, 184
 local policies, 174
- methods
 case selection, 177–178
 data collection and interpretation, 178
 organisational-environmental fit, 174
- public sector organisations
 shocks and crises, 174
- results
 city of Ede, 178–180
 city of Hengelo, 181–182
 city of Hoorn, 182–183
 city of Zwolle, 180–181
- New Labour government, 75–76
- New South Wales local government
 local government, 39
 budgetary position and volatility, 43
- municipalities
 average population size of, 39
 grants, 40
 source of income, 39–40
See also Australia, municipal resilience in
- Normalised budgetary position, 169*n*8
- Northern Rock Building Society, 75

- Organisational resilience
 definitions and dimensions, 5–6
See also Resilience
- Public financial management (PFM)
 framework, 55–56
See also Brazilian municipalities,
 financial resilience
- Public sector, studying crises and
 austerity in, 3
 financial management and
 accounting, 4
 literature, 4
 public management and
 organisation theory, 4
 research, 4
- Public Works Loans Board (PWLB),
 81
- Reactive adaptation, 26
- Resilience
 across organisations, 5
 alternative conceptual lens, 4–6
 concept, 3
 literature on, 6
- Self-regulatory/proactive resilience,
 25
- Single Tier and County Councils
 (STCCs), 75–77
- Special rate variations (SRV),
 47
- Stability Pact, 20
- Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), 56
- Swedish Association of Local
 Authorities and Regions
 (SALAR), 187
 autonomy and self-sufficiency, 204
 context
 balanced budget requirement,
 192
 data from four municipalities,
 190–191
 decentralised structure,
 188–189
 fiscal distress, 192
 International Public Sector
 Accounting Standards, 192
 municipality's revenue, 189
 municipal sector, 188
 regulations and control, 192
 state grants, 189
 taxation, 189
 financial stability, 203
 method, 192
 criteria for selection for study,
 193–194
 document studies, 194
 interviews, 193
 research programme, 193
 municipalities, 188
 national research programme, 188
 results
 anticipatory capacities,
 198–199
 comparison of resilience
 dimensions across cases,
 202
 coping capacity, 199–201
 before crisis, 195–197
 2008/2009 financial crisis, 194
 impact on municipalities,
 194–195
 perceiving crisis, 198
 vulnerability and shocks,
 197–198
- 2008 Crisis in France, 97
- Two-tier system, 75
See also English local government
 context
- U.S. local level features
 Dillon's Rule, 209
 general-purpose governments, 209
 Home Rule, 209

- local government accounting
 - system and recent challenges
 - balanced budget requirements, 210
 - general fund revenues, 210
 - Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB), 210
 - legacy costs, 210
 - other post-employment benefits (OPEB), 210
 - pension and health care obligations, 210
 - revenue funds, 210
 - municipal bonds, 209
 - political and fiscal arrangements, 209
 - revenue sources, 209
- Victorian Auditor General's Office (VAGO), 40
- Victorian local government
 - average population size, 40
 - budgetary position and volatility, 43
 - de-amalgamation campaign, 40
 - metrics and contentious tax limitations, 40
 - municipalities, 40
 - revenues from taxes and fees, 40–41
 - See also* Australia, municipal resilience in
- Ward's method cluster analysis, 41
 - See also* Australia, municipal resilience in
- Warrington Borough Council, 80–82
 - See also* English local government context
- Wigan Council, 85–87
 - See also* English local government context