

INDEX

- Academic(s), 14
 - capitalism, 200
 - entrepreneurship, 57–58
 - inbreeding, 43
 - skills, 222
- Actor-Network Theory (ANT), 171
- Affective Learner Architecture,
 - 189–191, 194
- Agreeableness, 25
- Alumni clubs, 55
 - data analysis, 53–55
 - discussion, 55–56
 - research design, 52–53
 - support members, 50
 - universities, 61–63
- Alumni engagement, 50
- Alumni organisations, 51–52, 56
 - services to and from alumni,
 - 53–55
- American Marketing Association (AMA), 70
- Andragogical theory, 261–262
- Antecedents, entrepreneurial intention and, 124–125
- Attitudes, 119
- Auditorium survey methodology, 262
- Average variance extracted (AVE), 106
- Bacillus thuringiensis* (BT), 202
- Behavioural intention, 39
- Big 5 personality traits, 25
- Business
 - arena, 66
 - field of study and entrepreneurship intention, 126
 - schools, 155
 - and support services provider, 141
- Business Team Project Partnership Programme (BT-PPP), 100
- Candidate* threshold concepts (CTCs),
 - 226–227
 - in entrepreneurship, 229
- Capability of agency, 84–85, 91
- Career support to professional development, 54
- Centurion University of Technology and Management (CUTM),
 - 200
 - case study approach, 205–207
 - collaboration disciplines, 203–204
 - collaboration initiatives, 201–203
 - context, 200–201
 - discussion, 211–213
 - government support, 209–211
 - human capital, 208
 - industry partners, 209, 211
 - social orientation, 207–208
 - social ventures and subsidiaries,
 - 209–210
 - target constituencies, 208–209
 - theoretical background, 201
 - triple helix conceptual framework,
 - 204–205
- CFI index, 142
- Challenge-based Learning
 - methodology (*CBL* methodology), 186, 193
- Challenges*, 186–187, 191, 193–194
- Closing theory-practice gap, 231
- Coefficient of determination, 109
- Collaboration
 - disciplines of CUTM, 203–204
 - initiatives of CUTM, 201–203
- Collinearity issue, 109
- Communications landscape, 66
- Complexity, 168
- Conducive educational experience design, 191–193

- Conscientiousness, 25
- Content
 marketing, 70–71
 validity, 107–108
- ‘Context Is Opportunity’, 229
- ‘Context Is Resource’, 229
- Convergent validity, 108
- Coronavirus pandemic, 73
- Correlation, 108, 109
- Covid-19, 3, 73
- Critical thinking, 105, 110–112
- Cross-disciplinary boundaries, 2
- Cultural norms, 137, 139–140
 entrepreneurial education, *vs.*, 136
- Curriculum content (CC), 99–100,
 106, 109–110
- Customer involvement, 70
- Data analysis, 123–124, 156
- Data collection, 106–107, 123, 155
- De facto* agents, 200
- Delphi survey, 226–227
- Descriptive analysis of family
 background influence, 127
- Dewey’s theory, 186
- Digital age, 70
- Digital marketing (DM), 66
 digital skills and entrepreneurship
 education, 72–73
 entrepreneurship and digital
 marketing, 69–71
 essential competencies for
 entrepreneurs, 67–69
- Digital skills, 72–73
- Digital software products, 186–187
- Discourse, 225
- E-mail marketing, 70–71
- Economist Intelligence Unit, 221
- Education(al), 20, 118
 curriculum, 191–192
 and entrepreneurial intention,
 119–120
 entrepreneurship programmes, 99
 institutions, 72
 storytelling and emergent
 narratives in, 187–188
- Educator’s competence (EC), 99,
 111–112
- Educators, 56
 perspective on effective
 ways of educating in
 entrepreneurship, 230
- Emergent Narrative System, 187, 189,
 192, 194–195
 affective learner architecture, 189–191
 conducive educational experience
 design, 191–193
 in education, 187–188
 exemplary implementation, 193–194
- Emotional intelligence, 14–15, 20,
 22–23, 25
- Empirical *t*-statistics, 110
- Empirical *t*-value, 110
- Engagement, framework for, 230
- Entrepreneur, 141
 alternative depictions of, 241–242
 essential competencies for, 67–69
 orthodox depiction of ‘hero’,
 239–240
 personality, 257
 sample of student entrepreneurs,
 240–241, 242–245
 beyond stereotyped, 245–247
- Entrepreneurial, 20
 activities, 44
 agency, 229
 attributes, 118
 behaviour, 118
 career choice 25
 characteristics, 121
 clubs, 50, 56
 competencies, 67, 255–258
 culture, 137–138, 144
 experience, 85–86
 learning, 52, 58
 orientation effect in entrepreneurial
 intention, 157
 self-efficacy, 20
 skills, 186

- transformation of universities, 2
- university, 51, 83
- Entrepreneurial digital competencies (EDCs), 67, 69
- Entrepreneurial education, 26, 34, 44, 97–98, 136–137, 138–139
 - conceptualisation, 99–105
 - cultural norms *vs.*, 136–137, 139–140
 - data collection, 140
 - descriptive statistics, 37
 - discussion, 38–39, 110–112, 144–145
 - empirical results, 107–108
 - evolution, 42–43
 - impacts of entrepreneurial environment and education, 43–45
 - influencing environmental factors, 44
 - limitations and future research, 145–146
 - measurement, 142
 - method, 142
 - in Nigerian tertiary institution, 98
 - prediction quality of model, 108–110
 - programmes, 13s6
 - research design, 105–106
 - results, 142–144
 - in Russian higher education, 35–37
 - sample and data collection method, 106
 - sample characteristics, 141–142
 - sample composition, 141
 - social norms, 137, 139–140
 - Spearman's correlation matrix, 37
 - statistical analysis and findings, 37–38
 - studies about, 137
 - variables for emergence, 36
- Entrepreneurial Framework Conditions (EFCs), 137, 142
- Entrepreneurial identity, 238–239
 - alternative depictions of entrepreneur, 241–242
 - implications of study, 247–248
 - limitations of study, 248
 - orthodox depiction of 'hero' entrepreneur, 239–240
 - sample of student entrepreneurs, 240–241, 242–245
 - beyond stereotyped entrepreneur, 245–247
- Entrepreneurial intention, 20, 91, 118, 152–153
 - and antecedents, 124–125
 - based on different educational programmes, 125
 - and behaviour, 14, 23–25
 - business field of study and, 126
 - correlation matrix, 41
 - by different educational programmes, 120–121
 - education and, 119–120
 - entrepreneurial orientation effect in, 157
 - entrepreneurship course and, 127–128
 - towards gender, 126
 - hierarchical linear regression, 42
 - methodology based on TPB, 40
 - of Russian, higher education students, 39–40
 - statistical analysis and results, 40–42
 - students' background and, 126–127
- Entrepreneurialism, 20
- Entrepreneurship, 2–3, 14–16, 20, 34, 82, 136, 221–222, 254
 - basic training, 100
 - challenges, 3–4
 - curriculum, 99–101
 - and digital marketing, 69–71
 - education, 3, 71–73, 120–121, 220–223, 254–255
 - educator, 101–102, 230
 - environment, 92
 - formal education in, 85
 - intention model, 87
 - literature, 86
 - process perspective, 4–5

- programmes, 84, 248
- promise of threshold concepts in, 224
- promotion, 81–82
- in Russia, 34
- society's awareness of, 45
- Entrepreneurship Competence Framework, 258
- Entrepreneurship course
 - attending effect, 121
 - and entrepreneurship intention, 127–128
 - influence, 125–126
- 'Entrepreneurship Is a Practice', 230
- Entrepreneurship research, 238
 - article selection process, 16–18
 - authors actively involved in publishing, 20
 - classification framework for analysis, 17–18
 - frequency of keywords, 20–21
 - literature reviews, 16, 17
 - number of articles per journal, 19–20
 - number of articles per method, 21
 - number of papers per year, 18–19
- Entrepreneurship training, 82, 86
 - correlation matrix, 90–92
 - methodology, 87–90
 - scale design, 89
 - T*-student's test, 91
- Entrepreneurship-fostering environments, 145
- Environmental crisis, 168
- Ethical positioning of university, 169–170
- Exemplary implementation, 193–194
- Experience design, conducive educational, 191–193
- Experience-based measures, 257
- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA), 89–90
- Extraversion, 25
- Failure as valuable learning experience, 231
- Family background
 - attitude to start business based on gender and, 121–122
 - descriptive analysis of family background influence, 127
- Family support, 45
- Fear of uncertainty, 225
- Flexibility, 22
- Gender, 20
 - entrepreneurial intention towards, 126
 - stereotypes, 122
- Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM), 137, 140
- Government support of CUTM, 209–211
- Hard skills, 35–36
- Health Sciences, 82
- Higher education institutions (HEIs), 50, 56–57, 82, 87, 169–170
 - profile of participant HEI, 88
- Himalaya Drug Company, 209
- Human capital, 257
 - of CUTM, 208
- Humanities and Behavioural Sciences, 82
- Independence, 22
- Industry and government (IG), 200, 202–203, 217–218
- Industry partners of CUTM, 209, 211
- Information and communication technologies (ICT), 69
- Information Technology (IT), 15
- Innovation, 104, 173
- Innovative companies, 68
- Intention, 23
- Interpersonal relations, 22
- Interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA), 239
- Intolerance of ambiguity, 225

- Kargil, 206
 Knowledge production, 169, 172–173, 176
 Knowledge transfer, 170, 177

 Latin American countries, 155
 social ventures in Latin American economies, 152
 Learning environment, 102–103, 112
Learning Experience Design Model, 194
Learning Experience Designer engine, 191, 193
 Legitimacy for entrepreneurship, 231
 Lifelong learning, 260

 Mann-Whitney test, 126–127
 Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), 73
 Masters Entrepreneurship Management Course, 101
 Mexico, 82–83, 92
 Middle Ages, 168
 Modern university, 200

 Narrative progression, 189
 Narrative theory, 194
 National Expert Survey (NES), 137, 140, 142
 National Skill Development Agency (NSDA), 209, 213
 Networks/networking, 120, 221
 Neuroticism, 25
 Nigeria, 97–99
 challenges facing entrepreneurship development, 102, 112

 Odisha Milk Marketing Federation, 210
 Online advertising, 70
 Open innovation, 70
 Openness to experience, 25
 Operational model definition, 105–106
 Opportunities
 identification, 104
 for real-life learning, 230
 for reflection, 230

 Optimism, 23
 Ordinal logistics regression, 124, 127
 Ortony, Clore, and Collins model (OCC model), 190–191

P-values, 110
 Partial least squares (PLS), 156
 Partial least squares approach to structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM), 106–107
 Path coefficient model, 110–111
 Peer support, 45
 Perceived behavioural control, 41
 Personal attitude, 23–24
 Personal entrepreneurial competencies (PECs), 69
 Personality, 20
 traits, 20
 Policy-makers, 56, 141
 Polish universities, 255
 developed during studies in individual university courses, 263
 entrepreneurial competencies, 255–258
 results and discussion, 262
 teaching entrepreneurship, 258–261
 teaching methods, 264–265
 Positive emotions, 14
 Prediction quality of model, 108–110
 Primary and secondary school levels
 entrepreneurship, 137–138, 143
 Private companies, 172
 Problem solving, 22
 Public research organisations (PRO), 172

R-squared, 41
 Relational relevance of University, 175–177
 ‘Risk is Missed Opportunity’, 229
 RMSEA index, 142
 Russia’s entrepreneurial performance, 34–35

- Sample collection method, 106
- Schumpeterian entrepreneur, 254
- Search engine optimisation (SEO), 70–71
- Search engine results pages (SERPs), 71
- Second academic revolution, 2
- Self-confidence, 14, 22
- Self-determination, 14
- Self-efficacy, 20, 119
- Semi-structured Interviews
and Delphi survey with
entrepreneurs, 226–227
with entrepreneurship educators,
227–229
- Seminars and training courses, 44
- Small-and medium-sized enterprises
(SMEs), 38–39, 68
- Social
capital, 120
cognition, 223
discourses, 247
entrepreneurial intention, 155
entrepreneurial orientation, 156
environment, 138, 145
expectations on University of
Huelva, 173–175
function of university, 169–170
innovation, 200, 203–204, 212
norms, 137, 139–140
orientation of CUTM, 207–208
- Social pressure, 40
- Social responsibility, 22
support system, 44–45
- Social media, 70
revolution, 66
- Social media marketing (SEM), 70–71
- Social position in contemporary
society
towards ‘third mission’ and ethical
positioning of university,
169–170
approaches to guide
comprehension on
university’s role, 170–173
- Social Sciences and Technology
Sciences, 82
- Social ventures
of CUTM, 209–210
in Latin American economies, 152
- Society, 168, 176
awareness of entrepreneurship, 45
- Sociodemographic factors, 153–155
data analysis, 156
data collection, 155
demographic, academic, employment
and entrepreneurial history
information, 155
entrepreneurial orientation effect in
entrepreneurial intention,
157
measurement of variables, 155
as moderator variables, 157–159
social entrepreneurial intention, 155
social entrepreneurial orientation,
156
sociodemographic characteristics,
156
structural equations of variance,
158–159
structural variance equations,
157–158, 160
trajectory coefficients, 160
- Soft skills, 35–36
- Spearman’s correlation, 128
- Speed of change, 168
- SPSS, 142
- Stakeholder Theory (ST), 172
- Storytelling in education, 187–188
- Stress tolerance, 22–23
- Structural equation modeling (SEM),
103, 137
- Student-paid educational service
income and research
income, 43
- Students’ background and
entrepreneurial intention,
126–127
- Subsidiaries of CUTM, 209–210
- Substantial size, 226

- Superior levels entrepreneurship, 137–138
- Supporting measures, 50–51
- Target constituencies of CUTM, 208–209
- Teaching
 entrepreneurship, 258–261
 knowledge content, 231
 methods, 264–265
- Teamwork, 231
- Technical skills (*see* Hard skills)
- Technology-based businesses, 39
- Territorial Innovation Models, 171
- Theory of Entrepreneurial Event (TEE), 23–24
- Theory of planned behaviour (TPB), 20, 39, 84, 119, 153–154
 attitude to start business based on gender and family background, 121–122
 business field of study and entrepreneurship intention, 126
 data analysis, 123–124
 data collection, 123
 descriptive analysis of family background influence, 127
 education and entrepreneurial intention, 119–120
 entrepreneurial intention and antecedents, 124–125
 entrepreneurial intention based on different educational programmes, 125
 entrepreneurial intention by different educational programmes, 120–121
 entrepreneurial intention towards gender, 126
 entrepreneurship course and entrepreneurship intention, 127–128
 entrepreneurship course attending effect, 121
 hypothesis, 122–123
 influence of entrepreneurship course, 125–126
 limitations and future research, 129
 methodology based on, 40
 students' background and entrepreneurial intention, 126–127
- Theory of stakeholders, 171
- 'Third mission' of university, 169–170
- Threshold concept framework, 223–224
 criticism of, 224–225
 facilitating threshold concept understanding, 225–226
 promise in entrepreneurship, 224
- Triple helix conceptual framework, 204–205
- Two-tailed significance test, 38
- Universities, 2–3, 83, 145, 152, 168–169, 238
 alumni clubs, 61–63
 challenges, 3–4
 environment, 44
 learning environment, 102–103
 process perspective, 4–5
 relational relevance of, 175–177
 role as agents of social transformation, 200
 social expectations on University of Huelva, 173–175
 social position in contemporary society, 169–173
 students, 82–83, 85, 152–156
- University, Industry and Government (UIG), 201–203, 217–218
- University and Government (UG), 201–203, 217–218
- University and Industry (UI), 201–203, 217–218
- University of Huelva, social expectations on, 173–175
- University of Naples Federico II (Italy), 186
- University of Porto (UP), 123

University Portucalense (UPT), 123
Urban Micro Business Centre
(UMBC), 205
‘Value Is Determined by the
Customer’, 229–230
Venture intention, 98–100, 103–104
Vocational professional educational
level, 141

Web design, 70
Women
 facing difficulties in rural
 areas, 154
 female intention, attitude and
 perceived behaviour
 control, 126