

INDEX

- Abuse, 38, 39, 338
 - child abuse, 73, 76
 - domestic abuse, 211–212
 - drug abuse, 75
 - sexual abuse, 73, 76
 - substance abuse, 74, 203
- Academic engagement, 19, 21
- Academic freedom, 13
- Adaptability, 4, 60
- Adolescents, 77, 105, 106, 108, 253
 - gender-based victimization, 42
 - limits and possibilities of
 - research with, 120–122
- Adult-dominated society, 228, 230
- Adult-youth binary, 228, 229
- Adulthood, 226, 228, 230, 244
- Adulthood-racism intersection, 224, 225, 230, 236
- Adult(s), 82, 340
 - continuities and particularities of studying children and, 351–353
 - gatekeepers, 91
 - ideological viewpoints, 306–307
 - perceptions of child privacy, 337–339
 - power differential between adults and children, 259
 - relationships in order to build rapport, 87
 - during research encounters, 231–235
 - status, 104
 - U.S. racial system, 234
- African-American population, 233
- Age-based power imbalances
 - and accommodating kids' embodiment, 284
 - at-home interviewing, 284
 - audio recorder, 285–286
 - sharing snacks, 285
- Agency, 63, 118, 121, 129–131, 133–137, 142, 150–155, 157, 159, 161, 163, 165–169, 177, 178
 - function, 49
 - in research process, 179
 - staff, 50
- “Agency routes” children, 152, 155
- Agentive children, 151
- America's racial structure, 304
- American Society of Criminology, 48
- American Sociological Association (ASA), 168, 257
- Anti-LGBTQ bias, 41, 42
- ASA. *See* American Sociological Association (ASA)
- Assent(ing)
 - children, 207–208
 - form for children, 274
 - in online and offline research, 335–337
- “Audit society”, 2
- Autonomous individualism, 134
- Behavioral/observational coding/
 - recording instrument, 24, 28

- Belmont principles of autonomy, 13–14
- Beneath equality rhetoric, visual techniques for, 286
- gender swap question, 293–295
- gendered jobs card sort exercise, 296–297
- girl power and popular music icon pictures, 295–296
- list generation strategy, 286–289
- mapping technique, 289–291
- race swap question, 291–293
- Blank back page interviews, 182
- deliberate risk, 190
- differences in individual *vs.* group interviews, 189
- during interview, 186–187
- subversive drawings and lists, 184
- use of child-friendly research techniques, 183
- Brent's experiences
- effects in field, 25
- IRB concerns and adjustments, 23–25
- research topic and initial proposal, 22–23
- Bullying, 22–25, 213, 298, 344
- among girls, 282
- cyberbullying, 241
- of LGBTQ students, 45
- Business community, 47–48
- C&I project. *See* Children & Internet project (C&I project)
- California State University (CSU), 118
- Caregivers
- consenting, 206–207
- contact with, 205–206
- gaining perspective outside caregiver's view, 213–215
- non-parental, 206
- parental, 206
- Certificate of Confidentiality from National Institute of Health, 44
- Cherished conceits, 4–5, 129–130
- agency, 133–137
- canonical components of contemporary ethical research with children, 131
- participation, 131–133
- participatory methods, 128
- responsibilities and obligations of children, 129–130
- voice, 137–141
- Chicago Public Schools (CPS), 107
- Child-centered ethnographies, 307
- perspectives, 253
- sociological research, 254
- techniques, 6
- Child-centered methods, 5, 305, 306
- celebrities in, 305
- “Celebrity Thing”, 318
- digital methods, 6
- ethnographic study, 304–305
- innovative visual method, 310–318
- methodological considerations for research with children, 305–308
- whiteness, 308–309
- research design, 310
- Child(ren), 63–64, 82, 83
- abuse, 73, 76

- adult perceptions of child
 - privacy, 337–339
- agency, 150, 178
 - and voice, 5
- assent form for, 274
- bad kids *vs.* good kids, 94
- communication, 353
- constructions of separate
 - congregations, 264
 - drawing of a church building, 265
 - First Christian Church, 264
 - from First Christian Church, 266
 - Kid Church, 266
 - from routine to ritual, 267–269
- cultures, 340
- developmental studies, 254–255
- framing, 6
- gaining and maintaining
 - rapport with teachers and, 94
 - attention seekers, 95
 - behavior management practices, 97
 - coding fieldnotes, 96
 - observer in classrooms, 98
- methodological considerations
 - for research with, 305
 - adult ideological viewpoints, 306–307
 - qualitative research, 305–306
 - visual research method, 307–308
- participants, 5, 130
- research with, 258
 - children's understanding, 260
 - establishing rapport with children, 261
 - power differential between adults and children, 259
 - researcher roles in participant observation, 83–86
 - understanding of researcher's role, 90
 - notebook, 92
 - reactive observer, 91
 - young children, 93–94
 - voices, 13
 - and youth, 5, 17–18, 306, 321
- Childhood, 228, 278
 - ethnographers, 83
 - material culture, 284
 - paradigm, 351, 353
 - researchers, 37–38
 - scholars, 340–341
- Children & Internet project (C&I project), 356, 358, 366, 368
- Children's digital practices at home
 - continuities and particularities of studying children and adults, 351–353
 - from general guidelines to particular research fields, 350–351
 - “giving voice” to children, 353–356
 - home settings and gatekeepers, 367–369
 - technology at home and gendered uses among children, 359
 - photograph of favorite objects, 363, 364
 - photograph of portable game console, 364
 - photograph of room, 361–362

- screenshots, 365–367
 - visual and ethnographic methodologies in
 - domestic setting, 356–359
- Children’s participation in parent interviews
 - defiant acts, 153
 - covert defiance, 155–156
 - overt defiance, 154
 - quiet defiance, 155
 - in high-structure setting of
 - parent interviews, 150
 - interviews with parents, 152–153
 - motivations for interview participation, 156
 - corrective participation, 158–160
 - expressive participation, 162–165
 - informative participation, 157–158
 - instructive participation, 160–161
 - investigative participation, 161–162
 - pragmatic actors, 151
 - relationships between children and parents, 151
- Classrooms, teachers mediating researcher’s role in, 86–90
- Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI), 50
- Collaborative partnerships, 51
- Collaborative relationships, 47–48
- Collaborative research teams, 49
- Collective memory, 17
- College coaches, 104, 105
 - negotiating ethical dilemmas, 117–120
- College-for-all era, institutional agents in, 105–106
- College-for-all norm, 111–113
- Colorblind
 - context, 315
 - racism, 309
 - rhetoric, 281, 288, 292
- Colorblindness, 281
- Common Rule, 333
 - regulations, 14, 15–16
- Community
 - agencies, 45, 46–47, 48
 - services, 46
 - centers, 44–45
 - organizations, 48, 49, 51–52
 - services, 47
 - outreach organizations, 41
 - partners, 41, 46
- Complementary methodological shift, 278
- Confidentiality, 73, 211–212
- Consent, 335–337
 - consenting caregivers, 206–207
- Contemporary childhood, 326
- Contemporary society, 354
- Corrective participation, 158–160
- Counter-storytelling, prioritizing youth’s, 235–236
- Covert defiance, 155–156
- CPS. *See* Chicago Public Schools (CPS)
- CR theory. *See* Critical Race theory (CR theory)
- Creativity, layer of, 179
- Criminological projects, 40
- Criminologists, 40
- Criminology, 36
- Critical Race theory (CR theory), 224–225, 229

- Critical-participatory paradigm, 354
- CSU. *See* California State University (CSU)
- Cultural assumptions, 27
- Data, 86
 analysis, 263, 282–284
 collection, 46
 principles, 49
 process, 179, 279–280
- Decision-making process, 14, 15
- Defiant acts, children's
 participation in parent interviews, 153
 covert defiance, 155–156
 overt defiance, 154
 quiet defiance, 155
- Developmental studies of
 children and religion, 254–255
- Digital ethnography, 327–328, 329, 365
 contemporary childhood, 326
 ethical dilemmas, 332–342
 in practice, 330–332
 research activity, 329–330
 social interaction, 328–329
 and youth culture, 327, 328
- Dilemmas, 104
 during conversations with educators, 115–117
See also Ethical dilemmas
- Diplomacy, 60
- Doing research with children
 process (DRC process), 224, 225, 230
- “Doing research with youth of color” approach, 225, 231
- Drawings, 132, 156, 177, 180–184, 193, 254, 260, 262–263, 263, 265–267, 269–270, 289–291, 293–295, 307–308
- Educators, 106
 dilemmas during conversations with, 115–117
- Embedded process, 70
- Emotional sensitivity, 209–210
- English as a second language (ESL), 18–19
- Ethical dilemmas, 111, 332
 adult perceptions of child privacy, 337–339
 as college coach and researcher, negotiating, 117–120
 consent, assent, and challenges in online and offline research, 335–337
- IRBs, 332, 333–335
 negotiating power and insider/outsider identity, 340–342
- Ethical motivations, 50–51
- Ethical responsibilities, 73–74
- Ethical standard of mandated reporter, 73
- “Ethics chill”, 13
- Ethnicity and youth peer cultures, 225
 race in school-based peer cultures, 225–226
 racialization of youth, 226–228
- Ethnographers, 67, 106–107
- Ethnographic/ethnography, 77, 84, 308–309, 375, 377–379
 methods, 67, 305, 307
 in domestic setting, 356

- photography, 357–359
 - observations, 22–23
 - project, 19
 - studies, 60
- “Everyday social reality”, 2
- Expressive participation, 162–165
- Expulsion, 62

- Facebook, 205, 241, 330–331
- Familiarity, lack of, 28
- Familiarizing tasks, 53
- Federal guidelines, 38–39, 43–44
- Federal Policy for Protection of Human Subjects, 14, 333
- Field
 - interactions and observations in, 113–115
 - researcher in, 109–111
- First Christian Church, 264, 266, 269
- First Communion, 256
- Flaws, 16–17
- Flexibility, 4, 6, 30, 60
- Focus group interviews, 261
 - advantages of, 261–262
 - Alexander’s drawing of train and party car, 260
 - assent form for children, 274
 - child-centered perspectives, 253
 - child-centered sociological research, 254
 - child-targeted approaches, 252
 - children’s constructions of
 - separate congregations, 264
 - drawing of a church building, 265
 - First Christian Church, 264
 - from First Christian Church, 266
- Kid Church, 266
 - from routine to ritual, 267–269
- data analysis, 263
- developmental studies of
 - children and religion, 254–255
- interpretive sociological child
 - religious studies, 255–256
- interview protocol, 275–276
- “listening” to pictures, 262–263
- methodological and ethical considerations, 256–258
- research with children, 258
 - children’s understanding, 260
 - establishing rapport with children, 261
 - power differential between adults and children, 259
- social science literature, 253–254
- See also* Follow-up interviews
- Focused coding, 263
- Follow-up interviews, 182
 - deliberate risk, 190
 - differences in individual *vs.* group interviews, 189
 - during interview, 186–187
 - subversive drawings and lists, 184
 - use of child-friendly research techniques, 183
- Foucault’s concept of governmentality, 130
- Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study, 202–203
- Frankel’s framework, 193–194
- Free/Reduced Price Meal program (FRPM program), 108
- Friend role, 82, 83

- Friend-like position, 107
 FRPM program. *See* Free/Reduced Price Meal program (FRPM program)
- Gatekeepers, 3, 4, 12, 82, 93, 99–100, 121, 208, 353, 368
 home settings and, 367–369
 institutional, 121, 305
 negotiating access with IRB gatekeepers, 18, 21
 Gatekeeping, 13, 18, 106
 Gender swap question, 293–295
 Gender-based victimization, 42
 Gender/sexuality studies, 36
 Gendered jobs card sort exercise, 296–297
 Gendered-White peer cultures, 225–226
 Girl power, 295–296
 Global Child Rights Online Research Toolkit, 339
 Goffman's framework, 326
 Greenville Cities, 231, 232, 239
 Grounded theory, 28, 63, 263
 Group interviews, 25
- Harmful experiences, 39
 Heterosexism, 226
 Heterotopia, 179–180, 190–191
 Hillside's counseling system, 111–113
 "Hispanic" students, 19
 Home settings and gatekeepers, 367–369
 Human subjects training, 50
 Hybridized research procedure, 42–43
- Hyper-protection and surveillance of youth, 2–3
- Iconographic language, 371*n*9
 ICTs. *See* Information and communications technology (ICTs)
- Immigrant males, 21–22
 In control model, 135
 In-depth interviews, 43–44, 120, 181, 201, 261, 309
 "Independent learners", 50
 Individual board members, 16, 17
 Inductive approach use, 28
 Information and communications technology (ICTs), 354–355
- Informative participation, 157–158
 Inner-city elementary school, 60
 Innovative research methods, 2
 Innovative visual method, 310
 photographs of celebrities, 310–311
 quality data, 317–318
 questions about race, 314–316
 shifting power dynamics, 311–314
 "Insider researcher", 27
 Insider/outsider identity, 340–342
 Institutional agents, 104–106
 Institutional gatekeepers, 121, 305
 Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), 2, 3, 12, 37, 85, 204, 218*n*5, 257, 305, 332, 333–335
 approval, 42, 43–44
 Belmont principles of autonomy, 13–14
 comparing experiences, 25–28

- differing researcher experiences
 - with, 18–25
- funding agencies, 50
- negotiating access with IRB
 - gatekeepers, 18
- practice, 14–18
- requirements, 52
- Instructive participation, 160–161
- Internet
 - based research, 3074
 - and technology, 6
- Interpretive sociological child
 - religious studies, 255–256
- Interventions, 24, 44, 45, 107, 334, 341
- Interview participation, motivations for, 156
 - corrective participation, 158–160
 - expressive participation, 162–165
 - informative participation, 157–158
 - instructive participation, 160–161
 - investigative participation, 161–162
- Interviewees, 52
- Interviewer positionality, 208–209
- Interviewing children, strategies for, 208
 - confidentiality, 211–212
 - incorporating emotional sensitivity, 209–210
 - interviewer positionality, 208–209

See also Focus group interviews
- Interviews, 70
 - with children, 204–205
 - interview-based study, 282
 - protocol, 275–276
 - qualitative, 279
 - sensitive topics, 73–77
 - strategies, 278–279
 - truth dilemma and performative construction of student narratives, 70–72
- Investigative participation, 161–162
- IRBs. *See* Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)
- Jail & Family Life Study, 201, 203, 208–209, 211
- interviews with children, 204–205
- study design, 203–204
- Kids, 23–24, 82, 94, 375
 - absence of intense ethnography in private spaces of childhood, 378
 - authoritative role, 84
 - inequality from
 - age-based power imbalances and accommodating kids' embodiment, 284–286
 - challenges of interviewing kids, 279–281
 - interview strategies, 278–279
 - sampling, data analysis, and negotiating accessing to fieldsite, 282–284
 - visual techniques for beneath equality rhetoric, 286–297
- kid-centered research, 278
- “new” sociology of childhood, 376–377
- research, 377–378
- world of childhood, 179–180

- Language gap, 22
- Latina/o students, 18–19, 21, 26
classroom observations of, 20
- Latino student, 23
- Laughing out loud (LOL), 241–242
- Least possible adult, 64–66
impossible least possible adult,
66–70
- Least white American middle-class
identity, 65–66
- Least-adult
position, 107
role, 60, 64, 82, 83, 84
status, 104
- Least-middle-class-identity, 65
- Least-possible-adult approach, 66
- LGBTQ youth, 37, 39, 40, 51–53
LGBTQ youth-serving agency,
43–44, 46
researching, 40–45
- List generation strategy, 286
African American kids, 287–288
kids' friendship groups, 286–287
visual techniques, 288–289
- “Listening” to pictures, 262–263
- “Local instance of interpretation”,
17
- LOL. *See* Laughing out loud
(LOL)
- Lying in interviews, 60
- Magnet students, 282
- Maneuvering stormy waters of
ethnography
of contradictory interests, 60
least possible adult, 64–66
impossible least possible
adult, 66–70
research site and central findings,
61–62
sensitive topics, 73–77
theoretical perspectives, 62–63
truth dilemma and performative
construction of student
narratives, 70–72
- Mapping technique, 289–291
- Melissa's experiences
effects in field, 21–22
IRB concerns and adjustments
made, 20
Melissa's research plan, 19
research topic and initial
proposal, 18–19
- Memorandum of Understanding,
53
- Methodological innovations, 5
- Methodological issues, 2, 3
- Methodological strategies, 2, 4
- Methodological tools, 225
- Minors, 36–39
- Modern communication, 327
- Modern organization, 2
- “Multicultural” play food, 97,
100n6–101n6
- Multiple-method research
techniques, 6
- Negative life occurrences, 47
- Negative peer interactions, 18
- Negotiating access
to fieldsite, 282–284
with IRB, 20
with IRB gatekeepers, 18
- “Neoliberal government of social
insecurity”, 62
- Neoliberalism, 134
- (n)ethnographic dimensions, 366
- “New social control regimes”, 62
- “New” sociology of childhood, 2,
3, 6

- Non-parental caregivers, 206
- Non-verbal communication,
212–213
- “Normative white femininity”, 309
- “Objective observer” role, 69
- “Observer effect”, 67
- Office of Human Research
Protections (OHRP), 333
- “Official” avenue of interaction, 22
- OHRP. *See* Office of Human
Research Protections
(OHRP)
- Online and offline research,
challenges in, 335–337
- Online spaces, 337
- Online worlds, 6
- Online-offline peer cultures, 242
- Organizations, 44–45
claims, 52
community, 48, 49, 51–52
modern, 2
training, 50
of volume, 3–6
youth, 48
- Ostracism, 39
- Overt defiance, 154
- Paramount reality, 185
- Parent Teacher Association (PTA),
238
- Parents, 42
immigrant status, 27
parent/child relationship, 38
parental caregivers, 206
parental consent, 43
passive, 23
securing, 36–37
standards, 38–39
parental incarceration, 200
intergenerational
consequences of, 202–203
parental permission, 26–27,
38–39
- Participants, 78*n*8
child, 5
observation, 19, 82, 83
researcher roles in participant
observation of young
children, 83–86
study, 43–44
See also Researcher positionality
in participant observation
- Participation, 95, 129, 131–133,
135
corrective, 158–160
expressive, 162–165
informative, 157–158
instructive, 160–161
investigative, 161–162
- Participatory
methods, 128, 128–130,
133–134, 136–137, 138,
139–143, 350
research, 279
with children, 129
- Paternalistic IRBs, 15
- “Performance of Badness”, 67
- Photography, 357–359, 361–362
- Piagetian-inspired model of
cognitive development,
254–255
- Police surveillance, racialized
peer cultures under,
239–241
- Power negotiation, 340
childhood scholars, 340–341
conducting research, 341–342
- “Pragmatic actors”, 151
- Praxis, 36

- Predominantly-White suburban communities, 236
- Preschool, 87
 - children in gendered-White peer cultures, 225–226
 - classrooms, 83
 - as fieldsite, 4
 - use of participant observation methods, 309
- Program staff, 42–43, 46
- Project collaborators, 51
- Project partners, 51
- Pseudonyms, 44
- PTA. *See* Parent Teacher Association (PTA)
- Public-racial scripts, 234
- Punitive school discipline, research on, 62

- Qualification screenings, 27
- Qualitative child researchers, 3
- Qualitative dissertation projects, 18
- Qualitative interviews, 279
- Qualitative methods, 305–306, 309, 320
- Qualitative research, 3, 12, 17–18, 36, 327
 - methods, 28
- Qualitative researchers, 12, 63
- Qualitative work, 17–18
- Quantitative studies, 253
- Quiet defiance, 155

- Race swap question, 291–293
- “Racetalk”, 281
- Racial and cultural preconceptions, 27–28
- Racialized adult-youth binary, 228–229, 243
- Racialized interpretive reproduction, 229, 243
- Racialized peer cultures, 236
 - under police surveillance, 239–241
 - sample demographics, 237
 - on social media, 241–243
 - in suburban schools, 236–239
 - See also* Youth peer cultures
- Racially enhancing theory, 228–229
- Racism, 243
 - and youth peer cultures, 225
 - race in school-based peer cultures, 225–226
 - racialization of youth, 226–228
- Rational individualism, 134
- Reactive observer, 85, 91
- “Real “Virtual World”, 330–332
- “Reflexive social agents”, 27
- Relational agency, 135
- Religion
 - developmental studies of children and, 254–255
 - interpretive sociological child religious studies, 255–256
- Research
 - ethics, 327
 - participation, 151
 - project, 108–109
- Research encounters
 - adult and white privileges during, 231–235
 - analyzing data, 190–191
 - blank back page and follow-up interviews, 182
 - deliberate risk, 190
 - differences in individual *vs.* group interviews, 189

- during interview, 186–187
- subversive drawings and lists, 184
- use of child-friendly research techniques, 183
- child agency, 178
- Frankel's framework, 193–194
- management, 179–180
- path model to aid in
 - interpretation of research data, 191
- qualitative methods, 181
- research with children, 176–177
- Research on children of incarcerated fathers
 - access to children, 205
 - contact with caregivers, 205–206
 - participation of children, 206–208
- incarceration rates in United States, 200
- intergenerational consequences, 201
- intergenerational consequences of paternal incarceration, 202–203
- Jail & Family Life Study, 201, 203–205
- opportunities, 212
 - caregiver's view, 213–215
 - verbal and non-verbal communication, 212–213
- parental incarceration, 200
- strategies for interviewing children, 208–212
- Research with children and youth, 2, 352
 - cherished conceits of
 - canonical components of contemporary ethical research with children, 131
 - participation, 131–133
 - participatory methods, 128
 - responsibilities and obligations of children, 129–130
 - voice, 137–141
 - organization of volume, 3–6
- Researcher, 66, 70
 - as college coach
 - adult status, 104–105
 - dilemmas during
 - conversations with educators, 115–117
 - hillside's counseling system and college-for-all norm, 111–113
 - institutional agents in college-for-all era, 105–106
 - interactions and observations in field, 113–115
 - limits and possibilities of research with adolescents, 120–122
 - negotiating ethical dilemmas as college coach and researcher, 117–120
 - potential and limitations of university researchers, 106–108
 - research project, 108–109
 - researcher in field, 109–111
- identity, 104
- negotiating ethical dilemmas as college coach and, 117–120

- positionality in participant observation
 - children's understanding of researcher's role, 90–94
 - data, 86
 - ethnographic research, 82
 - gaining and maintaining rapport with children and teachers, 94–98
 - researcher roles in participant observation of young children, 83–86
 - teachers mediating researcher's role in classrooms, 86–90
 - roles, 82
 - children's understanding, 90–94
 - in participant observation of young children, 83–86
 - in preschool classrooms, 83
 - teachers mediating researcher's role in classrooms, 86–90
- “Rhetoric of scandal” surrounding research, 13
- Risk of research, 333
- Rutgers LGBTQ and Diversity Resource Center, 47–48
- Rutgers University-Newark
 - LGBTQ and Diversity Resource Center, 42
- Sampling, 282–284
- Sanctioning children's behavior, 82
- Scholars, 37, 48, 51–52, 305–306
 - conducting research in schools, 4
 - facing challenges negotiating inherent power relations, 340
 - facing challenges regarding consent, 335–336
 - feminist, 140–141
 - knowledge among children, 343–344
 - qualitative, 343
 - race, 226, 227, 244, 270
 - studying social worlds, 63
 - theoretical importance of taking children's perspectives, 305–306
- School discipline, 61, 62
- School-based ethnography, 4
- School-based peer cultures, race in, 225–226
- Screening process, 23
- Screenshots, 365–367
- Sensitive topics, 73–77
- Sexism, 227, 229, 243, 281, 291
- Sexual minority, 41
- Sharpening research tools, 228
 - racially enhancing methodology, 230
 - racially enhancing theory, 228–229
- Shifting power dynamics, 311
 - celebrity exercise, 311–313
 - child-centered methodology, 314
- SIPP. *See* U.S. Census Bureau Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP)
- “Snitching”, 75, 78*n*8
- Social agency, 135
- Social and economic harms, 39
- Social class composition, 23
- Social inequalities, 5, 281
- Social justice orientation, 48
- Social media, racialized peer cultures on, 241–243

- Social minority group, 150
- Social science research, 17–18, 36, 38
- Social science researchers, 38
- Social scientists, 14, 37
- “Social theory”, 4
- Socialization, 228, 278
- childhood, 321
 - racial, 304, 305, 308, 321–322
 - religious, 256
- Socially stigmatized statuses, 38
- Socioeconomic status, 28, 256
- Sociological research, 304, 306
- Sociological Studies of Children and Youth (SSYC), 2
- Sociologists, 13, 328
- Sociology, 36
- “Spider diagram”, 291
- SSYC. *See* Sociological Studies of Children and Youth (SSYC)
- Stakeholders, 49
- “Student intern”, 109, 116, 117
- Student narratives in interviews, truth dilemma and performative construction of, 70–72
- Suburban schools, racialized peer cultures in, 236–239
- Subversion, 193
- “Supervisor” role, 109–110
- Suspension, 62
- Teacher, 89
- gaining and maintaining rapport with children and, 94
 - attention seekers, 95
 - behavior management practices, 97
 - coding fieldnotes, 96
 - observer in classrooms, 98
 - mediating researcher’s role in classrooms, 86–90
 - “Teacher/disciplinarian” role, 109–110
 - “Teasing”, 24
- Technologically mediated devices, 328
- Technologies of communication, 327
- Teens, 335, 341–342
- Textual analysis techniques, 331
- “Town and Gown” partnerships, 48
- Training organizations, 50
- “Transmedia culture”, 355
- Truth dilemma and performative construction of student narratives in interviews, 70–72
- UC. *See* University of California (UC)
- United Nations Convention on Rights of Child (UNCRC), 2, 131–132, 352, 354
- United States of America (U.S.), educational system in, 225
- University of California (UC), 113
- University of Southern California (USC), 119
- University researchers, potential and limitations of, 106–108
- U.S. Census Bureau Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), 253
- USC. *See* University of Southern California (USC)
- Utopia, 180

- Verbal communication, 212–213
- Virtual spaces, 336–337
- Visual methods, 353–354
 in domestic setting, 356
 photography, 357–359
 research method, 305, 307–308
- Visual techniques, 281
 for beneath equality rhetoric, 286
 gender swap question, 293–295
 gendered jobs card sort exercise, 296–297
 girl power and popular music
 icon pictures, 295–296
 list generation strategy, 286–289
 mapping technique, 289–291
 race swap question, 291–293
- Voice, 13, 15, 137–141, 138–143, 150–153, 156–159, 165–169, 176–179, 244, 253, 306–308, 319–322
 to children, 353–356
- Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), 365
- Vulnerability, 63
- Vulnerable populations, 14, 16, 20, 216, 217, 338
- Welfare approaches, 134
- White methods, 308
- White privileges during research encounters, 231–235
- White racial socialization, 308
- White-Black binary, 233–234
- White-dominated peer cultures, 238
- White-dominated societies, 228
- Young people, 6, 45–46, 183
- as gatekeepers, 21
- LGBTQ, 42, 43
- mistrustful of adults, 45
- relationships with practitioners
 or volunteers, 45
- victimization, 41
- Youth, 16, 27, 122*n*1, 279–280, 291, 295, 308, 321
- advocates, 4, 37, 39
 background and rationale, 37–40
 challenges of utilization, 49–53
 partners, 40–45
 promises of utilization, 45–48
- counter-storytelling, 235–236
- culture, 327–328
- negative school experiences, 41
- organization, 48
- racialization, 226–228
- research with youth of color, 231
 adult and white privileges during research encounters, 231–235
 prioritizing youth's counter-storytelling, 235–236
- violence prevention, 40
- youth-centered visual research methods, 6
- Youth peer cultures
 adult researchers, 243–244
 CR theory, 224–225
 DRC process, 224, 225
 race/ethnicity and, 225
 race in school-based peer cultures, 225–226
 racialization of youth, 226–228

- racialized adult-youth binary,
243
- research with youth of color,
231
 - adult and white privileges during research encounters,
231–235
 - prioritizing youth’s counter-storytelling,
235–236
 - sharpening research tools,
228–231
 - See also* Racialized peer cultures