## INDEX

Adolescence, dating and mating in, 125 - 141Adulthood, emerging, 237-253 Adult romance, 126 Age at marriage, 13, 17, 104-105 Aggression physical, 126 psychological, 126 sexual, 126 **AKP**, 50 Ambivalence, 55, 73–90 in couple relationship, 79-80, 85-88 structural, 80 structured, 80 Amusement, 128 ANAP (Motherland Party), 49 Arranged marriage, 2, 4-6, 18, 20, 21.149 Atomization. 55 Authoritarian parenting, 51 Autocracy, 127 Autonomy, safeguarding, 201-203 Average power, 134 **Bayesian Information Criterion** (BIC), 106, 109-110 Breakup, 239 Bronfenbrenner's bioecological model, 230

Captivation, 55 Care, 126 Changes in the self, 246 Childbirth, 96, 98 Child bride, 49 China premarital cohabitation and first marriage, prevalence and timing of, 145-169 China Family Panel Studies (CFPS), 150, 155-160, 162, 163, 168 Chitwan Valley Family Study (CVFS), 10-11, 15 Chitwan Valley of Nepal, 9–10 Coercion, 126-127 Cognitive interdependence, 197, 209 Cohabitation, 74-79, 81-90 Mexican rural/urban women, 173 - 189paths to, 181–182 premarital, 145-169 reasoning behind, 182-185 unmarried, 74-79, 88, 89, 147 Communication, 218-222 and mate selection, 39 verbal, 219 Companionship, 203 Complicated grief/bereavement theory, 241 Control of sexuality, 47, 48, 52, 53, 58, 59, 61 Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), 46 Coping strategies, 244-245 Courtship, 30, 31, 34, 36, 41 length of, 41 Cultural regime, 146, 147 Cultural Revolution, 155 Culture, 146-169

Dating, 30-31, 33, 35, 36, 41 adolescence, 125-141 relationships, 237-253 Daughters social control of, by fathers, 58 - 59Demographic similarity, and mate selection, 32 Developmental systems theory, 251 - 252Disability or mortality trajectories, 106 Discrimination, 50 Dyadic measures of power, 129-130, 134-136 Dyadic Power-Social Influence Model (DPSIM), 127 Education in Nepal, 7-8, 12 and religion, relationship between, 9, 18 and spousal choice, 8-9, 19Education Act of, 1980, 8 Educational assortative mating, 95 - 120Educational attainment, 99, 104 Educational background, and mate selection. 33 Educational hypergamy, 97 Educational hypogamy, 97, 116 Emancipation, 55 Embarrassment, 128 Emerging adulthood, 237–253 Emotions negative, 128 positive, 128 Enthusiasm, 128 Equality, gender, 49, 75, 97 Estonia, 75, 78-80, 88-90 Ethnicity, 13 Ethnoreligions, 9 Ethno-religious group, 13

Facebook, 220 Face-to-face (FtF) interactions, 219-221, 232, 233 Family formation, 73–90 Family household, 3 Family migration, 96 Family mode of social organization, 3-4, 20Family Research Institute, 49 Fathers, social control of daughters by, 58-59 Fear. 128 Female breadwinner families, 95–120 age at marriage, 104-105 educational assortative mating, 104 marital duration, 103 patterns of change in status, 109 - 114patterns of, 97 previous research on, 100-101 race and, 99, 104 Fertility expectations, evolution of, 106 Filial piety, 148 First marriage in Germany and China, 146-169 prevalence and timing of, social group differences in, 152-155 Flexibility, 207-208 Freedom, 57 Free of coercion, 50 Friendships, 31 Gender, 104 and family relations, 48-50equality, 49, 75, 97

revolution, 74–77, 83 role attitudes, 73–90 Gender-gap reversal in education, 98, 119, 120 General strain theory, 241 Germany

## Index

changes in partnership formation patterns, 150–152 premarital cohabitation and first marriage, prevalence and timing of, 145–169 Great Leap Forward, 155 Group-based trajectory modeling, 95–120 Happiness, 128 Harassment behavior, 245–246

Head of household, 201–202 Honor, 46, 58 Housing market, 149 Hypergamy, educational, 97 Hypogamy, educational, 97, 116

Identity, 28, 202-203 Imam Hatip school (religious school), 51 - 52Information Communication Technologies (ICTs), 219-222, 233, 234 Inheritance, 203 Interaction, relationships as, 196-197 Intergenerational conflicts, 45-68 internal migration and, 55-58 Interpersonal connectedness, 218 Intimacy, 203-204 Intimate partner preference, among married couples, 27-41 communication and, 39-40 demographic characteristics and, 34 - 38educational background and, 33 length of courtship, 41 parental characteristics and, 33, 40 physical attractiveness and, 32, 39 Islamic-Turkish synthesis, 49

Johnson's addition of the technosubsystem, 230 Justice and Development Party, 50 Kindness, 126 Kinship system, 148–149 Land ownership, 13 Later life living-apart-together (LLAT), 194-195, 197, 209 Law of the Family 1917 (Turkey), 48 - 49Length of courtship, and mate selection, 41 Liberation, 57 Life course approach, 98–99, 101-103, 106, 109, 111, 116 - 120Living-apart-together (LAT), 193-211 Love, 126, 183-184 Love marriage, 2, 4–8, 19–22 Manhattan effect, 209 Manipulation, 127 Marital satisfaction, 29, 31, 41 Marriage, 29 arranged, 2, 4-6, 18, 20, 21, 149 first, in Germany and China, 146 - 169love, 2, 4-8, 19-22 Mexican rural/urban women, 173 - 189reasoning behind, 182-185 in Turkish society, 53–54 Mate selection. 28 communication and, 39-40educational background and, 33 parental characteristics and, 33, 40 physical attractiveness and, 32, 39 Mating adolescence, 125-141 educational assortative, 95-120 Mental constructions, relationships as, 197 Mental health consequences, 246 - 247

- Mexican rural/urban women, marriage and cohabitation in, 173–189 Migration, 96, 99 Moral communities thesis, 21 Mother–child relationship closeness, 4 Narratives, 182
- National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 (NLSY79), 95, 98, 101–103, 107–108, 112, 120 Negative emotions, 128 Nepal, 1–22 Non-planned pregnancies, 182–183
- Older adults, 194, 195, 198, 203, 209, 210 Ottoman Empire, 48

Pairfam (German Family Panel), 150, 155, 157, 159, 160, 164, 165, 168 Parental characteristics, 33, 35, 40 Parental characteristics, and mate selection, 33, 40 Parent-child attachment, 40 Parenting, 96 authoritarian. 51 Partners' relational resources. 128 - 134Partnership formation patterns, changes in, 150-152 Patriarchal domination, 52, 64, 67 Patriarchal family, collectivistic culture and, 50-53Personality, and mate selection, 33 Physical aggression, 126 trajectories of, 106 Physical attraction, 183–184 Physical attractiveness, and mate selection, 32, 39 Positive emotions, 128

Positive illusions, 200 Positive reinforcement, 219 Post-dissolution relationships, 239, 248 - 249Posttraumatic -or stress-related growth, 243 Poverty, and quality of family relationships, 184 Power average, 134 balance, 130, 135 differences, 127-128 dyadic measures of, 129-130 imbalance, 130, 134, 135 relative. 136 total, 136 Power dependence theory, 127, 129, 136 Premarital cohabitation, 145–169 prevalence and timing of, social group differences in, 152–155 Psychological aggression, 126 Race, 13, 99, 104

Rape within marriage, 46 Rational choice and social exchange framework, 242-243 RC-ECOMAP, 127, 129–134 Reasoning, 127 Reinforcement, positive, 219 Relational competence (RC), 130 **Relational Competence Theory** (RCT), 127-130, 139 Relational maintenance, 193–211 Relational modalities, 130 Relational resources, 128-129 Relational Resources Power Model (RRPM), 127–130, 134, 136 - 140Relationship dissolution, 239 Relationship outcomes, patterns of, 130, 136-138 Relationship(s)

## Index

as interaction, 196-197 as mental constructions, 197 satisfaction, patterns of change in, 106 termination, 239 violence, 126 Relative power, 136 Religion-education relationship, 9, 18 Religious salience, 11-12 and spousal choice, 4-7, 17-20 Renting, 149-150 Repartnering, 194 Reproductive health, 50 Reshaping expectations, 205-208 Resistance of daughters, 45-68 Respect, 126 Romantic breakup, 239 Romantic dissolution, 237–252 Romantic relationships, 31, 217-234 Romantic termination, 239 Rural women, marriage and cohabitation in, 173-189

Safeguarding autonomy, 201-203 Same-sex couples, 74 Self-expansion model, 242-243 Sexting, 218, 220, 231 Sexual aggression, 126 Sexual freedom, 46 Sexual health, 50 Shame, 46, 58, 128 Single mothers, 74 Social control of daughters, by fathers. 58-59 Social media sites, 220-222 Solidarity, 55 Spatial proximity, and dating relationships, 31 Spousal choice, 2-6, 12-14, 17-21 education and, 7-9, 19 frequency distribution of, 11 predicted level of, 18, 19 religious salience and, 4-7, 17-20 Stage model of relationship dissolution, 243-244 Stalking, 245-246 Stress-related growth, 247-248 Structural ambivalence, 80 Structured ambivalence, 80 Swiss Civil Law of, 1907, 49 Tanzimat (New Order) reformers, 48 Technology, 217-234 and communication, 218-222 Texting, 218, 219 Theory of coercive power in exchange, 127 Theory of power dependence and relational cohesion, 127 Time, 202 Total power, 136 Transition to adulthood, 146-169 Trust, 126 Turkish Civil Code, 46, 47 Turkish Constitution, 46 Turkish Family Law, 46 Turkish Penal Code, 46, 61 Twitter, 220 Uncertainty reduction theory, 241 - 242Unmarried cohabitation, 74-79, 88, 89, 147 Upholding separateness, 200, 203-205, 207 Urban women, marriage and cohabitation in, 173-189 Verbal communication, 219 Village Development Committees (VDCs), 10 Violence, 50 adolescent dating, 127 relationship, 126 Virginity, 53, 58, 67 fake, 64-66

lost, 62–64 test, 59–62

Welfare regime, 146, 147, 155, 166–168

Women's employment patterns, 106 Women's human rights, 46

Yoruba, 29, 33, 34