

# Index

- Actions, 55–56
  - in cultural context, 55
- Active participants, 95–96
- Activities, 55–56
- Actor-network theory (ANT), 13, 20–21
- Adaption, 12
- Adult-child relationship, 52
- Agency, 133, 151
- Aggressive approach, 50–51
- An Inquiry into Modes of Existence (AIME), 13–14, 23
  - meta-language of, 21
- Analytic understanding of perspectives, 55–56
- Anonymity, 97–98
- Anthropocene, 69
- Anthropology, 13
- Anxiety, 50–51
- ART-MAIL, 134
- Audit processes, 11–12
- Basque educational ethnographic research, ethics of
  - dialogue and negotiation between researched and researcher, 79–81
  - doubts cast by post-qualitative inquiry, 69–70
  - ethics in educational ethnography, 68–69
  - ethics in volatile research context, 71–72
  - method, 72–75
  - participant withdrawal from research, 75–76
  - unexpected characteristics of participants during fieldwork, 77–79
- Blackboard Collaborates, 118
- Blended online ethnography, 118–121
  - adaption of methods for blended ethnography, 121–123
  - changes, 121–122
  - ethical challenges in blended ethnography, 119–121
  - fieldnotes, 122–123
  - textual analysis, 121
- British Educational Research Association Ethical Guidelines, 4
- Case study methodology, 89
- Child/children
  - contradiction in positioning of, 59
  - ethical dilemmas with Latin American, 130
  - ethics management research with, 32–34
  - ethnographic research with children in India, 50–51
  - participation, 6, 52
  - rapport building with, 55–56
- Childhood
  - cultural notions of, 49–50
  - in India, 50–51
  - sociology of, 50–51
- Choreomundus research, ethical considerations during, 114
- ‘Co-laboured’ research, 59
- ‘Co-opted’ research, 59
- Co-players, 91–92
- Code of research ethics, 19–20
- Codes of ethics practice, 12
- Collaboration, 69
- Collaborative Autoethnography (CAE), 73, 152–153
- Collaborative research, 81

- ‘Collaborator’, 69
- Colonial heritage, 50–51
- Consequentialist ethical theory, 12
- Counter Strike: Global Offensive*  
(CS:GO), 90–91, 96
- Counter-terrorism strategy, 43
- COVID-19
- global impact stopped face-to-face dancing, 115–116
  - pandemic, 4–5
  - safe virtual research methods, 4
- Critical educational ethnography, 71–72
- Critical ethnographic practice, 152–153
- Cultural context, ethical decisions within, 50
- Cultural experiences, 134
- Cultural notions of childhood, 49–50
- Culture, 1
- Data bomb, 43
- Data collection techniques, 73
- Data ownership, ethical issues of, 36–40
- ‘Data providers’, 6–7
- Digital, expansion of, 153–154
- Digital research, 88
- Digital technologies, 87–88
- Digital *zeitgeists*, 154–155
- Digitally mediated practices, 87–88
- Disposition, 72
- Diverse methods, 72
- Doctoral fieldwork, 115–118
- Doubts cast by post-qualitative inquiry, 69–70
- Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), 29–30
- Edinburgh University New Scotland Country Dance Society (EUNSCDS), 115
- Education
- continuing enquiry, 158
  - ethics work, 156–158
  - ethnographers, 12–13, 33–34
  - ethnographies of education across place and space, 151–153
  - ethnography of, 19–20
  - expansion of digital, 153–154
  - ‘traditional’ anthropological ethnography, 154–156
- Education-based research, 1
- Educational Esports context, ethnographic case study in, 91–92
- Educational ethnographers, 29–30
- Educational ethnography, 34
- ethics in, 68–69
- Empiricisms, 69
- Ethical challenges, 1–2
- in blended ethnography, 119–121
- Ethical decisions, 34, 50
- Ethical dilemmas, 1, 50, 55
- contradiction in positioning of children, 59
  - ethnographic field, 132–135
  - ethnographic field in process, 135–138
  - experiences of time during pandemic, 138–140
  - intervene, 60–61
  - with Latin American children and youngsters, 130
  - letters/messages as means of communication and ethnographic documents, 142–145
  - participants and locations, 135
  - perceptions of field, 57–59
  - rapport building with children, 55–56
  - recruiting via kinship and friendship networks, 140–142
  - in situ*, 138, 152
  - time in pandemic, 139
- Ethical issues, 50
- Ethical regulation, 29–32
- Ethical review board, 6–7
- Ethics. *See also* Research ethics, 2, 5, 151
- AIME, 13–14
  - document, 17–18

- in educational ethnography, 2–3, 68–69
- of educational ethnography, 12–13
- emergent and unpredictable, 156–157
- as fiction and as technology, 16–18
- going back to actors and networks, 20–21
- intervene and stay silent, 157
- as knowledge, 14–16
- less-than-straightforward world of
  - ethics in ethnography, 11–13
- observing illegal, 157–158
- in online ethnographies, 153–154
- within online fieldwork, 93–101
- as politics, 18–20
- research with, not about, people and practice, 156
- in volatile research context, 71–72
- work, 155–156
- Ethics management, 4
  - dealing with sensitive data, 42–44
  - issues of consent, data ownership and intervention, 36–40
  - managing unpredictable in-situ, 41–42
  - personal, professional and political, 36
  - research with children and young people, 32–34
  - rise of ethical regulation, 30–32
  - studies, 34–36
- Ethno-case study
  - in educational Esports context, 91–92
  - framework, 89
- Ethnographer(s), 2, 29–30, 32, 34, 151
  - of education, 5–6, 12
- Ethnographic field, 132–135
  - in process, 135–138
- Ethnographic research with children in India, 50–51
- Ethnographic work with children, 49–50
- Ethnography/ethnographies, 2, 32–33, 154
  - of education, 19–20
  - of education across place and space, 151–153
  - less-than-straightforward world of
    - ethics in, 11–13
    - managing ethics in, 31–32
  - in online, 87–88
  - production, 68
- Euro-American scholarship, 50–51
- Everyday ethics in changing times, 2–5
- Evidence, 70
- External voice chat (EVC), 91–92
- Face-to-face fieldwork, 109–110
  - ethical considerations during
    - Choreomundus research, 114
  - fieldnotes, 114
  - interviews, 113
  - in Lyon and Edinburgh, 111–114
  - participant observation, 112
  - research diary, 114
  - textual analysis, 111–112
- Face-to-face interactions, 132–135
- Facebook, 153
- FaceTime, 118
- Feminist, 12
- Fiction mode (FIC), 16–17
  - ethics as, 16–18
- Fictional narrative, 16–17
- Fieldnotes, 114, 122–123
- Fieldwork, 7, 54, 68–69, 73, 132
  - unexpected characteristics of
    - participants during, 77–79
- First person shooters (FPS), 90–91
- Formal ethical approval, 35–36
- Gamertags, 97–98
- General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 4
- Good ethical research management, 1

- Half-Life* game, 90–91  
 Higher education, ethnography  
   production in, 81  
 Honesty, 69
- Ideal childhood, 49–50, 52  
 Idealised notions of childhood and  
   adult-child continuity in  
   India, 52–54  
 Implicit ethical dilemmas, 138  
 Improvisation, 12  
 In situ ethics, 130  
 In-person fieldwork, 115–116  
 Inclusion, ethical issues around, 4–5  
 Inclusive ethics, 69  
 Inclusive research, 69, 71–72, 81  
 India  
   ethnographic research with children  
   in, 50–51  
   idealised notions of childhood and  
   adult-child continuity in,  
   52–54  
 Indian childhood, 50–51  
 Informed consent, 2–4, 12, 36, 94  
   ethical issues of, 36–40  
 Institutional ethics, 11–12  
 Institutional review boards (IRBs), 4  
 Institutionalisation of ethical processes,  
   2–3  
 Internal voice chat (IVC), 91–92  
 International Network of Ethnography  
   with Children and Young  
   People (RIENN), 136  
 Internet technologies, 119, 131  
 Interpersonal communication (IC), 119  
 Intervention, ethical issues of, 36–40  
 Interviews, 113
- Knowledge, 70  
   ethics as, 14–16  
   transformation, 69
- Latin America, ethnographic study in,  
   130, 138  
 Latour, Bruno, 13, 151  
 Law, 13
- Letters/messages as means of  
   communication and  
   ethnographic documents,  
   142–145  
 ‘Local school’, 50–51  
 ‘Lurking’, 110–111
- ‘Mass problematisation’, 152–153  
 Massive multiplayer online role-  
   playing games  
   (MMORPGs), 90–91  
 Massive online battle arenas  
   (MOBAs), 90–91  
 Materialisms, 69  
 Matter of concern, 18  
 Meta-language of AIME, 21  
 Methodological approach, 73–74  
 Mode of existence, 13–14  
 Modernity, 152–153  
 Modes of participation, 36  
*Moholla club*, 50–51  
 MS Teams (online platform), 118  
 Multimodality in online ethnographies,  
   153–154  
 Multiplayer video game, 88
- Non-anthropological ethnographers,  
   14  
 ‘Normal child’, 52  
 Not in Employment Education or  
   Training (NEET), 32–34
- Offline data collection, 119  
 ‘Offline’ ethnography, 134–135  
 One-size-fits-all approach, 4,  
   124–125  
 Online data collection, 119  
 Online ethnographic research, SCD  
   adapting to, 125–126  
 Online ethnography, 109–110  
   blended, 118–121  
   ethics and multimodality in,  
   153–154  
 Online game in educational context, 89  
 Online SCD classes, 124–125  
 Online teaching, 117

- Online video games, ethics in  
 (re) negotiation process, 101–102  
 conducting online fieldwork, 95–96  
 data analysis, 97–98  
 ethics within online fieldwork,  
 93–101  
 ethno-case study in educational  
 Esports context, 91–92  
 participant-centred research  
 approaches online, 89–91  
 practical-ethical challenges, 101  
 reporting findings, 98–101  
 ‘Online’ ethnography, 134–135  
 ‘Online’ itself, 88  
 Ontological toolkit, 13–14
- Pandemic, time experiences during,  
 138–140
- Participant integrity  
 ethics within online fieldwork,  
 93–101  
 ethno-case study in educational  
 Esports context, 91–92  
 participant-centred research  
 approaches online, 89–91
- Participant(s)  
 and locations, 135  
 observation, 112  
 unexpected characteristics of  
 participants during  
 fieldwork, 77–79  
 withdrawal from research, 75–76
- Participatory approach, 35–36
- Passive participants, 95–96
- Personal education ethnographers, 36
- Philosophical anthropology, 24
- Philosophy, 13
- Place, ethnographies of education  
 across, 151–153
- Political education ethnographers, 36
- Politics  
 ethics and, 18, 20, 72  
 of research, 156
- Positionality, 123–124
- Positivism, 152–153
- Post-colonial approaches, 22
- Post-qualitative approaches, 22
- Post-qualitative ethnography, 152
- Post-qualitative inquiry, 68–69  
 doubts cast by post-qualitative  
 inquiry, 69–70
- Post-qualitative method, 12
- Post-structuralist method, 12
- Power dynamic, 29–30, 51
- Practice of ethics, 50
- Practise ethics, 2
- Pre-digital research practice, 87–88
- Pre-position (mode PRE), 20–21
- ‘Prevent Strategy’, 43–44
- Professional education ethnographers,  
 36
- Qualitative research, 71
- Quality of online relationships, 124
- Questionnaires, 122
- Rapport building with children, 55–56  
 (re) negotiation process, 101–102
- ‘Real school’, 50–51
- Recruiting via kinship and friendship  
 networks, 140–142
- Reference mode (REF), 14–15
- Reflexivity, 55
- Relational ethics, 130
- Relational spaces, 134–135  
 between face-to-face and virtual  
 interactions, 132–135
- Religion, 13
- Representation  
 ethical issues around, 4–5  
 of participants, 1  
 politics of, 156
- Research context, 101–102
- Research diary, 114
- Research ethics, 5–6, 15–17, 21,  
 24–25  
 behaving ethically in field, 62  
 child participation, 52

- ethical dilemmas, 55
- ethnographic research with children
  - in India, 50–51
- framework, 5–6, 29–30
- idealised notions of childhood and adult-child continuity in India, 52–54
- study, 54–55
- Research ethics committees (REC), 4
- Research processes, 71–72
- Research project, 68
- Researcher positionality, 75, 83
- Researcher-respondent hierarchy, 22
- Responsibility, 98–101
- Royal Scottish Country Dance Society (RSCDS), 109–110, 115, 117, 121
  - RSCDS Autumn Gathering, 110
- Scottish Country Dancing (SCD), 109–110
  - adapting to online ethnographic research, 125–126
  - adaption of methods for blended ethnography, 121–123
  - blended online ethnography, 118–121
  - doctoral fieldwork, 115–118
  - face-to-face fieldwork in Lyon and Edinburgh, 111–114
  - ongoing ethical considerations, 124–125
  - standpoint and positionality, 123–124
- Screen recording, 89
- Semi-structured interviews, 113
- Semiotics, 13
- Skype, 118
- Social interactions, 87–88
- Sociology, 13
  - of childhood, 50–51
- Space(s), 133
  - ethnographies of education across, 151–153
  - for fieldwork, 136
- Storytelling, 55–56
- ‘Sustained shared thinking’, 35–36
- Team members, 91–92
- Technology mode (TEC), 17
  - ethics as, 16–18
- Tensions, 4
- Text-based chat (TBC), 91–92
- Textual analysis, 111–112, 121
- ‘Thick description’, 90
- Time experiences during pandemic, 138–140
- Traditional ethnography, 72
- ‘Traditional’ anthropological ethnography, 151, 154, 156
- Transformation of knowledge, 69
- Transparency, 98–101
- Trust, 32–33
- Twitter, 94
- UN convention of 1989, 52
- United Nations Human Rights Council, 42
- University of Edinburgh Research Guidelines, 116
- Unstructured informal interviews, 35–36
- Verification, 70
- Video ethnographic research, 90
- Virtual ethnography (VE), 119–121
- Virtual interactions, 132–135
- Virtual learning environment, 23–24
- Virtual meeting, 139–140
- Visuality, 91
- Voices, 1
  - of children, 52
- Volatile research context, ethics in, 71–72

WhatsApp, 136–137  
World Wide Web, 118–119

Young people  
  ethical dilemmas with Latin  
    American, 130  
  ethics management research with,  
    32–34

Youth Offending Team (YOT),  
  34–35

Youth-centred representations,  
  33

Zoom (online platform), 118, 120  
  SCD on, 115–116