

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “*n*” indicate notes.

- Absolute change, 108
- Absolute polarization curve (APC), 140–141
- Adjusted headcount ratio, 111
- Age pension schemes, 14
- Aggregate effect, 117
- Aging, 7, 14–16
 - empirical analysis, 16–27
 - populations, 6
 - theoretical background, 7–14
- Alternative parametric models for Lorenz curves, 40
- Alternative solution, 32
- Annualized absolute change, 113–114
- Argentina
 - household characteristics of VRSII sample visá-vis city and urban, 273–277
 - microdata used for matching, 272
 - urban agglomerations of, 269
- Asignación Universal por Hijo (AUH), 280
- At-risk-of-poverty (ARP), 208
 - threshold, 252
- Atkinson indices, 79
- Attrition bias testing, 191–193, 201–205
- Average partial effects (APEs), 191
 - of state dependence, 191–193
- Bahia Blanca, 269–270
- Basic Monthly Current Population Survey, 249
- Bayesian framework, 2, 32
- Bayesian inference, 32
 - approach based on parametric assumptions of income distribution, 37–39
 - data and context, 45–46
 - direct approach based on parametric Lorenz curves, 40–43
 - empirical illustration using UK data, 45
 - GICs for subgroups, 48–49
 - GICs for whole population, 46–48
 - for log-linear regression model, 53
 - measuring pro-poor growth, 33–37
 - for mixture of log-normals, 54–55
 - Monte Carlo simulation, 43–45
 - and tests for GIC, 38–39
- Bennett and Hatzimasoura index, 2
- Bipolarization index, 139
- Brent algorithm, 50*n*2
- Cardinal entities, 2
- Cardinalized ordinal data, 9
- Cardiovascular disease, 78
- Cash transfer benefits, matrix of, 280–283
- Catholic Church, 270
- Censored headcount ratio (CH), 112
- Chile, 170
 - intragenerational income mobility in, 168
 - persistence at extremes of income distribution in, 176–182
- Chilean P-CASEN, 173
- China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), 15
- Classic descriptive statistics, 272
- Classic Mintzer-type equations, 16
- Combined *n*-poverty indicator, 250
- Complementary Social Salary, 287
- Confidence intervals, 32

- Consumption equation, 17–18
- Contextual predictors, 58
- Country-specific threshold, 252
- COVID-19, 249
 - basic household characteristics
 - of VRSII sample visá-vis city and urban Argentina, 273–277
 - living conditions in slum area
 - during lockdown, 277–289
 - lockdown measures, 268–269
 - methodology for analysis, 272–273
 - other microdata used for matching, 272
 - pandemic, 1, 3–4
 - pandemic, 208
 - Rosas II Area and Bahía Blanca at Glance, 269–270
 - survey design and data collection protocols, 270–272
- COVID-19, MEntal HEalth, Resilience, and Self-regulation (COME-HERE), 209, 210, 214, 226
- longitudinal survey, 210–211
- Cumulative density functions (CDFs), 10
- Cumulative distribution, 38
- Data quality, 271
- DCV, 11
- Demographic Health Survey (DHS), 106
- Dibao effect, 16
- Dibao program, 14
- Dibao receipt, 23
- Dimensional inclusiveness, 108, 116–118
- Direct approach based on parametric Lorenz curves, 40–43
- Distribution-free empirical PGC, 35
- Distribution-free estimator, 32–33
- Distribution-free inference, 34–37
- Distribution-free method, 43
- Distributional change, 150–154
- Distributional effect, 117
- Distributional Gini coefficient (DISGINI), 11
- “Divide by 4 rule”, 73*n*6
- Downward-looking status, 96
- Dynamic discrete choice models, 173
- Dynamic hierarchical model, 60
- Dynamic logistic hierarchical model, 2
- Dynamic logistic model, 63
- Dynamic ordered probit models
 - based on random effects specifications, 186–187
- Earned income tax credit (EITC), 148
- Econometric analysis, 224–226
- Econometric models, 58
- Econometric strategies, 169, 182
 - initial conditions and correlated random effects problems in short-period panel data, 185–186
 - modeling joint low-income and high-income persistence, 182–184
- Economic growth, 32
- Economic reforms, 14
- Economic security, 138
- Economic shocks, 170
- Economic strain, 249–250
 - measures of, 252–254
- Economic uncertainty, 170
- Education, 209, 289
- Elasticity, 108, 113–114
 - growth, 120–127
- Emergency family income (IFE), 280
- Employment, 209, 278–280
- Epidemic shock, 250
- Equivalization, 174
- Euro-area households, 250
- EUROMOD, 208
- Europe, poverty in, 208
- Europe 2020 strategy, 249, 252
- European households, 248
 - incomes, 252
- Eurostat, 79
- Eurosystem HFCS, 251
- Ex ante* harmonization, 251

- Explanatory variables, 58
- Extreme hypothetical shock, 250, 255
- Face-to-face activities, 268
- Family Expenditure Survey (FES), 32
- Finances of European households
 - economic strain, 249–250
 - empirical evidence, 254–260
 - HFCS, 251–252
 - measures of economic strain, 252–254
 - nationwide lockdown, 248
 - pandemic shock, 248–249
- Financial assets, 256
- First-order Markov process, 173
- First-order Utopia–Dystopia index (FOUDI), 10
- Food (in)security, 285–289
- Food insufficiency, 4
- Food poverty line, 73*n*2
- Food security, 284, 288
- Forecasts and mobility analysis, 71–72
- Foster–Greer–Thorbecke family (FGT family), 209
 - index, 78
- Foster–Wolfson bipolarization curve, 140
- Functional forms, 41–42
 - and implied quantile functions, 42
- Gini coefficient, 28*n*5, 283
- Gini decompositions, 20
- Gini indices, 79
- Gini’s transvariation, 11
- Greatest degree of precedence (PRE-G), 81
- Gross income, 251
- Gross national income (GNI), 106
- Gross national product (GNP), 58
- Growth incidence curve (GIC), 32–33
 - Bayesian inference and tests for, 38–39
 - Bayesian inference for, 42–43
 - for log-normal model, 37–38
 - for mixtures of log-normal densities, 38
 - pro-poor judgments using, 33–34
 - for subgroups, 48–49
 - for whole population, 46–48
- Harmonized survey, 4
- Hasse diagram, 83
- Hazard rate models, 172
- Headcount ratio, 208
- Health, 6
 - inequality, 78
- “Health poor” category, 81
- Health poverty, 80
 - bootstrap confidence intervals
 - for first-and second-order stochastic dominance, 93
 - on ordinal variables and health poverty measurement, 80–83
 - SAH category, 78–79
 - SAH in 29 European countries in 2009 and 2018, 83–91
 - Seth and Yalonetzky index, 79
- Heterogeneity analysis, 209, 219–224
- High-income persistence, 171–172
- Horizontal inclusiveness, 108, 116
- Household Wealth and Finance Consumption Survey, 4
- Households, 248
 - characteristics of VRSII sample visá-vis city and urban Argentina, 273–277
 - demographic variable, 272
 - deprivation indicators, 272
 - economic income, 157*n*4
 - income, 208–209, 211
 - labor incomes, 249
 - labor market attachment, 176
 - poverty status, 58
- Human capital, 16, 176
- Human development, 78
- Human Development Report*, 111
- Implied quantile functions, 42
- In-kind food aid, 283–285
- Incidence, 211
 - of multidimensional poverty, 112

- Inclusiveness, 111
- Income distribution
 - approach based on parametric assumptions of, 37
 - Bayesian inference and tests for GIC, 38–39
 - GIC for log-normal model, 37–38
 - GIC for mixtures of log-normal densities, 38
- Income inequality, 168
- Income losses for middle class groups, 268
- Income mobility, 168, 170–171
- Income of financially poor households, 256
- “Income poor” households, 254
- Income position in Chile
 - annual income position at t conditional of income position, 220
 - average partial effect of state dependence, 191–193
 - background, 170–173
 - data and definitions, 173–176
 - econometric strategy, 182–186
 - estimates of dynamic ordered probit models based on random effects specifications, 186–187
 - estimation results, 186
 - initial conditions and state dependence in both low income and high income, 187–190
 - intragenerational income mobility, 169–170
 - P-CASEN, 168–169
 - persistence at extremes of income distribution in Chile, 176–182
 - testing attrition bias, 201–205
- Income poverty, 4, 106, 110, 253
- Income quintile group (IQG), 174
- Income-based measures of poverty risk, 253
- India, 106
 - performance in income and other social indicators, 107
- Indices, 95–96
- Individual heterogeneity, 171
- Individual wellbeing, 14–15
- Inequality, 1–2, 32, 95, 139–140, 168, 211, 268
 - as distance from equality, 96–97
 - index, 96
- Intensity, 211
- Intensity of multidimensional poverty, 112
- Inter-temporal dependency, 170
- Intermediate bipolarization indices, 140
 - in Israel, 144–145
- Intermediate median income, 3
- Intermediate polarization, 140
 - pro-middle class growth using approach to, 141–144, 162–165
- Intermittent slowdowns, 106
- International poverty line, 106
- Intragenerational income mobility in Chile, 168
- Inverse probability weights (IPW), 169
- Israel, intermediate bipolarization in, 144–145
- Israeli equivalence scale, 144
- Kernel estimator, 35
- Kyrgyz Republic Integrated Sample Household Budget and Labor Force Survey (KIHS), 62
- Kyrgyzstan, modeling poverty dynamics in, 62–72
- Labor force participation, 139, 146
- Latin America, 168
- Life satisfaction, 100
- Likelihood function, 53
- Likelihood ratio tests, 18–19
- Livelihoods during lockdown, 277–278

- Living conditions in slum area
 - education, 289
 - employment, 278–280
 - food (in)security, 285–289
 - in-kind food aid, 283–285
 - livelihoods during lockdown, 277–278
 - during lockdown, 277
 - matrix of cash transfer benefits, 280–283
- Location, 7
- Lockdown measures, 268–270
 - living conditions in slum area during lockdown, 277–289
- Log-linear regression model, Bayesian inference for, 53
- Log-normal model, 38, 43
 - Bayesian inference for mixture of, 54–55
 - GIC for, 37–38
- Log-normal probability density function, 37
- Lorenz curve, 2, 32–34, 95
 - alternative parametric models for, 40
 - Bayesian inference for GIC, 42–43
 - direct approach based on parametric, 40–43
 - functional forms and implied quantile functions, 42
 - three usual functional forms, 41–42
- M-point Gauss–Hermite quadrature, 184
- Macro-conditions, 58
- Macroeconomic forecasts, 73*n*7
- Main respondent (MR), 15
- Marginal effects, 19
- Markov chain Monte Carlo techniques (MCMC techniques), 2, 59, 65
- Markov models, 185
 - of transition to poverty, 173
- Matching procedures, 273
- Material deprivation, 250
- Matrix of cash transfer benefits, 280–283
- Maximum inequality, 97–100
 - application, 100–102
- Maximum likelihood estimation, 61–62
- Mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature, 184
- meoprobit* command, 184
- Meta-regression, 60
- Micro-determinants, 58
- Middle class in economic development, 138
- Mincer equations, 16
- Minimum and maximum inequality, 2–3
- Minimum inequality, 96–97
- Mixture model method, 38, 139
- Mobility, 170, 195*n*1
- Monetary poverty, 106
- Monte Carlo simulations, 2, 32, 43–45
- MPI elasticity of censored headcount ratio ($e(CH_p; \text{MPI})$), 117
- Multidimensional distributional comparison techniques, 7
- Multidimensional indices, 7
- Multidimensional poverty, 106
 - (*see also* Health poverty)
 - data, 118
 - dimensional inclusiveness, 116–118
 - elasticities and semi-elasticities, 113–114
 - growth elasticities, 120–127
 - horizontal inclusiveness, 116
 - India’s performance in income and other social indicators, 107
 - India’s performance in various social indicators, 109–111
 - methodology, 112
 - methodology, 3, 111
 - MPI, 112–113, 118–120
 - MPI elasticity of censored headcount ratios across states, 135
 - per capita state domestic product and net national product, 134
 - relative changes in state-wise MPIs and incidences, 133

- results, 118
- uncensored elasticity of censored headcount ratios across states, 136
- vertical inclusiveness, 108, 114–116
- Multidimensional poverty index (MPI), 3, 106, 112
- indicators, 269
- Multidimensional wellbeing measurement, 6
- Multilevel/hierarchical models, 58
- n-financially poor* households, 253–254
- National Family Health Survey (NFHS), 111
- National growth
 - elasticity, 114
 - semi-elasticity, 114
- Nationwide lockdown, 248
- Net disposable income, 251
- Non-Dibao recipients, 19
- Non-parametric tool, 139
- Non-contributive pensions (NCP), 280
- OLS Regression analysis, 272
- Ordered probit Regression analysis, 272
- Ordering, 9–10, 12–13, 24
- Ordinal health inequality, 79
- Ordinal monotonicity, 80
- Ordinal Poverty Index, 80–81
- Oster and Wolfson's index, 157*n*2
- Outcome value function (OVF), 8, 10
- Own-state traps/shields, 182
- Pandemic crisis, 249
- Parametric models, 32
- Partial orderings, 82–83
- Pensions, 253
- Percentage change, 108
- Persistence at extremes of income distribution in Chile, 176–182
- Personal income, 16
- Physical assets, 176
- Polarization curves, 139
- Polarization measures, 139
- “Population-average” coefficients, 66
- Positional income mobility, 171
- Positional inconsistency, 182
- Positional movement, 169–170
- Posterior predictive checks, 65
- PovcalNet dataset, 249
- Poverty, 1, 32, 138, 182 (*see also* Multidimensional poverty)
 - ARP rates by NUTS 1 areas and time, 236
 - ARP thresholds by country and household type, 237
 - COME-HERE longitudinal survey, 210–211
 - in COVI D-19 era, 208
 - data, 210
 - econometric analysis, 224–226
 - in Europe, 208
 - heterogeneity analysis, 209–210, 219–224
 - household income, 208–209
 - indices, 41
 - main results, 214–219
 - measurement, 139–140
 - methodology, 211–213
 - persistence, 195*n*2
 - poverty across countries and interview dates, 235, 238, 246
 - poverty status and individual characteristics, 244–245
 - poverty-contributing factors, 57–59
 - relative TIP curves by country, interview date, and age, 232
 - relative TIP curves by country, interview date, and employment status, 233
 - selection in analysis sample, 234
 - sensitivity analysis, 226–228
 - in single explicative model, 58
 - subgroup decomposition of poverty measures in France, 239
 - subgroup decomposition of poverty measures in Germany, 240

- subgroup decomposition of poverty measures in Italy, 241
 - subgroup decomposition of poverty measures in Spain, 242
 - subgroup decomposition of poverty measures in Sweden, 243
 - traps, 171, 172
 - varying effects of poverty
 - determinants, 59–62
 - Poverty dynamics, 173
 - data and model choice, 62–66
 - main empirical results, 66–72
 - modeling in Kyrgyzstan, 62
 - Poverty growth curve (PGC), 35
 - Prioritarianism, 81
 - Pro-middle class growth, 138
 - on absolute measure of, 160–162
 - using approach to intermediate polarization, 141–144
 - database, 144
 - deriving absolute measure of, 140–141
 - distributional change, 150–154
 - downward trend in relative polarization, 145
 - economic security, 138
 - factors affecting change in polarization over time, 145–149
 - intermediate bipolarization in Israel, 144–145
 - intermediate polarization and, 162–165
 - intermediate polarization and crucial role of parameter, 145
 - intermediate polarization curves, 156
 - poverty measurement, 139–140
 - results, 144
 - upward trend in absolute polarization, 145
- Pro-poor growth, 47, 138
 - distribution-free inference, 34–37
 - GIC, 33
 - measuring, 33–37
 - pro-poor judgments using GIC, 33–34
 - Probability density function (pdf), 9, 12
 - Probability forecasts, 72
 - Quality of life, 78
 - Quantile functions, 32, 42
 - Quantitative variables, 78
 - Questionnaire, 251, 271
 - R* function *uniroot* searches, 50*n*2
 - Random effect dynamic ordered probit model (REDOP model), 170, 173, 182–183, 188, 191
 - Ravallion and Chen growth incidence curve, 1–2
 - Regression analysis, 272
 - Regression coefficients, 73*n*1
 - Relative distribution, 139
 - Relative index, 140
 - Relative polarization, 139
 - Repeated cross-sectional surveys
 - modeling poverty dynamics in Kyrgyzstan, 62–72
 - poverty-contributing factors, 57–58
 - structure of Kyrgyz society, 75–76
 - study of poverty dynamics, 58
 - varying effects of poverty determinants, 59–62
 - Residuals plot, 65
 - Responsiveness, 108
 - Restricted minimum inequality, 97
 - Restrictive property, 79
 - Root mean square error (RMSE), 43
 - Rural Dibao program, 14
 - Scale independent ordered categorical analysis, 21
 - Scarring effect, 171
 - Self-assessed health (SAH), 78
 - in 29 European countries in 2009 and 2018, 83
 - computing family of indices, 83–88
 - stochastic dominance analysis, 88–91
 - Self-rated health, 78
 - Self-reported health or happiness (SRH), 6

- Semi-elasticities, 113–114
- Sensitivity analysis, 226–228
- Seth and Yalowitzky index, 79, 83–87
- SF-6D index, 78
- Single-category deprivation, 80
- Slum households, 270
 - living conditions in slum area
 - during lockdown, 277–289
- Social assistance, 14
- Social distancing, 248
- Social indicators, 106
- Societal wellbeing calculus, 6
- Society, 169
- Socioeconomic Household Panel Survey (P-CASEN), 168–169, 173–174
- Stan (programming language), 73*n*5
- Static poverty analysis, 58
- Statistical tests, 32
- Status, 96
- Stochastic dominance analysis, 88–91
- Stochastic dominance conditions, 13
- Structural predictors, 58
- Subgroup decomposability, 81
- Surface separation index (SS), 11
- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 106

- Theil index, 45, 79
- Three “i”s of poverty (TIP), 211–212
- Time–space varying intercepts, 67–68
- Time–space varying slopes, 68–71
- Total gross income, 252
- Trickle-down, 138
- True state dependence, 171

- Un-modeled coefficients, 66
- Uncensored headcount ratio (UH), 113

- Unemployment
 - insurance, 171
 - rate, 67–68
- Uniform distribution, 97
- Union-based identification, 111
- United Nations Development Program (UNDP), 106
- Universal Child Allowance, 287
- Upper-middle-income, 175
- Upward-looking status, 96, 103*n*2
- Urban agglomerations of Argentina, 269
- US Current Population Survey (CPS), 209
- Utopia–Dystopia ordering, 9

- Vertical inclusiveness, 108, 114–116
- Villa Rosas II (VRSII), 269, 273
 - household characteristics of VRSII
 - sample visá-vis city and urban Argentina, 273–277
 - Rosas II Area and Bahía Blanca at Galance, 269–270
- Vulnerability as expected poverty (VEP), 72

- Wealth, 249–253
 - poverty, 4, 250
- Welfare policy, 149, 157
- Welfare programs, 6
- Wellbeing, 1
 - value function, 8
- Wellbeing evaluation function (WEF), 12
- Wooldridge’s method, 185
- World Health Organization, 78
- World Values Survey, 100

- Zoning laws, 172