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Style is a simple way of saying complicated things
–Jean Cocteau

INTRODUCTION

Steve Jobs is frequently invoked as an example of a ‘visionary’ leader in business. But what is it about his ‘vision’ that was so distinctive? In his famous speech at Stanford University in 2005, he gave a clue – the calligraphy lessons he took at Reed College in 1972. Seemingly devoid of any practical utility at the time, the accumulated skills and enhanced aesthetic sensitivity acquired from exposure to calligraphy were put into use a decade later when creating the first Macintosh computer. Its elegant design would give rise to a full-blown commitment to aesthetics that became the hallmark of Apple. Calligraphy is not merely a way of writing, but a philosophical process articulated through the careful configuration of brush strokes, which combines poetry, literature and painting. That this complex art form would imprint itself on one of the most celebrated narratives of business success is a testament to more than the role of chance in life. It embodies the propensity to reconsider the role of aesthetics in business, and the recognition that, to paraphrase Albert Einstein, the greatest managers are also artists.
Aesthetics is the art of appreciation of beauty (Levy & Czepiel, 1974). It underlies value judgements (Holbrook & Hirschman, 1982) and encompasses a variety of practices that use line, form, tone, colour and texture in order to create beauty and arouse an emotional reaction in viewers (Bloch, 1995; Veryzer, 1993). Csikszentmihalyi and Robinson (1990, p. 178) characterize aesthetic responses as 'a state of intense enjoyment characterized by feelings of personal wholeness, a sense of discovery, and a sense of human connectedness'.

The importance of aesthetics transpires in the tendency of consumers to appreciate beautiful objects as 'sacred' or 'singular' (Karpik, 2010, p. 3). Objects are 'sanctified' when they are highly valued by consumers and are treated reverentially as extensions of oneself (Belk, Melanie Wallendorf, & Sherry, 1989). They are often attributed qualities of uniqueness, authenticity and singularity (Cornfield & Edwards, 1983). Some craft products are so venerated in popular culture that users regard them as singular and incommensurable.

We are accustomed to aesthetic experiences when viewing a painting or attending a concert. We are less used to celebrating the beauty of organizational practices, such as appreciating when an assembly line runs smoothly, or paying attention to the 'the pipes that are connected with delicate attention to fit' (Augier & March, 2008, p. 409). And yet companies make aesthetic choices regularly, deciding on the look of their products, the shape and colours of the logo, the design of their commercial space or the dress code of employees. One of the less heralded tasks of management is in facilitating the aesthetic choices that shape organizational performance and firm survival. For example, stylistic considerations may distinguish a firm’s products from those of its competitors, thereby contributing to achieving not only brand recognition but also success in the marketplace.

Aesthetic choices have a symbolic function that influences how a product is perceived and evaluated. Images of elegance, class and durability may originate in choices on the look and feel of new products. These sensory experiences provide grounds for consumer judgements and enable the products to connect with potential buyers. Unsurprisingly, aesthetic judgements occupy centre stage in a range of cultural and economic domains. For example, Barthes (1983) observes that Japanese cuisine is decentralized in nature and highly visual. No Japanese dish has a clear centre similar to the traditional arrangement of centrepiece and side dishes in Western cuisine. Whether in technology or cuisine, aesthetic judgements are based on shared values and cultural beliefs, giving form to complex visual patterns and skills that are encoded in material objects (Baxandall, 1985), including consumer goods, works of art or the game of chess. In chess, what makes a game exciting or memorable is not its mathematical depth in terms of the permutations of possible moves at any given moment, but how interesting and visually appealing are the arrangements of the pieces on the chessboard. In much the same way, photography provides the opportunity to articulate artistic intentions through aesthetic (e.g., the pursuit of delicate nuance or contrast of colours) or technological (e.g., the use of digital or analog technology) choices (Aspers, 2001).
What we are experiencing today is the pinnacle of a process of *aesthetization* of the economy with ample implications for consumption habits and social identities. As Postrel (2003, p. 5) observes: ‘Aesthetics is not […] limited to only a few settings or industries, or designed to communicate only power, influence, or wealth. Sensory appeals are everywhere, they are increasingly personalized and are intensifying’. Lipovetsky & Serroy (2013) provide a rich overview of the historical process that led us to this point. It started in the mid-nineteenth century, when segments of capitalist societies began to integrate aesthetics by reorienting production and marketing choices. The aesthetic seduction of consumers, or what these authors define as ‘artistic capitalism’, originated with the ascent of new organizational forms, like the department store, decorated with expensive materials in the lush and dazzling Art Nouveau style. The use of elegant posters and forms of packaging aimed to embellish standardized products that differed little on quality.

The first half of the twentieth century witnessed the progressive separation of art and style from business, as the growing reliance on technology and price in marketing illustrates. During this period, efforts to combine style and function (such as the ‘Wiener Werkstätte’ cooperative of artists and designers) proved unsuccessful in finding an economically viable production method that would allow offering refined products at affordable prices. Things started to change in the 1960s, with the growing democratization of consumption and the interweaving of business and art in the ‘Pop Art’ movement. Using commercial images as an input for the creation of artworks – a practice associated most closely with Andy Warhol’s style – the movement embodied the desire to suppress the boundaries between the economic and aesthetic domains, ushering in a tendency towards cultural ‘hybridization’.

Since the 1980s, this tendency has accelerated, giving rise to an ever-increasing array of stylized products, intending to bolster emotional experience and brand attachment. The markings of this process are visible in the attempts of producers to move upmarket by making references to style, the rapprochement between luxury and art, the mixing of codes from high and low culture and the rise of new fashion houses. Competitive market forces are driving a relentless pursuit of beauty everywhere – from haute cuisine to luxury goods and craft products. These products ‘serve an aesthetic or expressive rather than a clearly utilitarian purpose’ (Hirsch, 1972, pp. 641–642). Art has become an instrument of legitimation, as testified by how prominent architects like Frank Gehry or business leaders like Steve Jobs are celebrated as ‘visionary artists’.

More than 80 years ago, Chester Barnard (1938, p. 235) argued that management was ‘aesthetic rather than logical’, described by terms, such as ‘feeling, judgement and sense’. Yet, it is only recently that strategy scholars have begun to pay attention to the domain of aesthetics. There is growing recognition that many organizational decisions are made based on aesthetic principles rather than on efficiency or rationality. As Hansen, Ropo and Saur (2007, p. 546) point out, we rely on aesthetic meanings to guide our actions just as much as we rely on logical reasoning; these meanings are as pervasive in work settings as they are in everyday life.
One example of this principle is Dobson’s (1999) categorization of managers as technicians, moral managers and aesthetic managers. With the emergence of the aesthetic management paradigm, managers increasingly view their role as artistic in nature, seeking craft-based excellence rather than the mere pursuit of profit. This quest for excellence is a distinctive characteristic of Steinway & Sons’ relentless commitment to craftsmanship in an effort to enhance the perceived uniqueness of its pianos, at the risk of forfeiting profits in the short run (Cattani, Dunbar, & Shapira, 2017).

Firms are nowadays confronted with a cultural landscape that encourages the consumption of styles rather than goods. This poses complex identity choices across audiences with different stylistic preferences and evaluation criteria (Cattani, Ferriani, & Allison, 2014; Godart, Seong, & Phillips, 2020; Wijnberg, 1995). The rise of aestheticism in culture and product design, and the strategic dilemmas it poses, is what motivates this volume, as the first systematic survey of the interface between style and strategy. We articulate the foundations of what can be defined as the ‘aesthetic’ turn in strategy.

**STYLE IN THIS VOLUME**

A necessary first step in documenting the role played by aesthetics in business is to elucidate the concept of style. Widely used across academic disciplines, such as art history (Sauerländer, 1983) and anthropology (Hegmon, 1992), style is yet to find its proper place in strategy and management.

Designating how something is done, created or performed, style is distinct from function. A work of art may come into being in many different ways while serving the same function. For example, a writer may choose to employ sophisticated or more casual language; an artist may use different mediums to express an idea or display a chromatic preference. Style can thus be defined as ‘a durable, recognizable pattern of aesthetic choices’ (Godart, 2018, p. 103). It is this consistency over time that allows us to distinguish between a Fellini and a Bergman movie, Mughul and Roji gardens, Cantilever and Knoll lounge chairs, or between the leadership styles of Lee Iacocca and Steve Jobs.

As Postrel (2003) rightfully observes, judgements of style are not optional add-ons to more essential functionality, but are constitutive parts of all human creations. Aesthetic experiences matter to people’s sense of self and to how they experience meanings and emotions. A style does not belong solely to its author, as it emerges in interaction and negotiation with the audience to which it is addressed.

We divided the volume into three parts, capturing the different perspectives that contributors develop in exploring the interface between strategy and style. Some of them focus on the demand side and others on the supply side. The first part, **Stylistic Production**, offers insights into how producers make stylistic choices that shape their identity and performance. The second part, **Stylistic Evaluation**, switches to the demand side, examining the reception of styles by social audiences. The third part, **Stylistic Reflection**, consists of several interviews.
with prominent scholars that discuss how style and beauty inform strategic choices and managerial scholarship. These conversations provide insights on how to pursue an aesthetically informed research agenda in the strategy domain.

The first section of this book, *Stylistic Production*, has four chapters. In Chapter 1, Giovanni Formilan discusses the notion of style as an expression of the identity of a producer. The author articulates style as a recurrent combination of visible elements of a producer’s activity that can be used comparatively within contexts or be transferred across contexts. As such, style has an important function as a normative and classificatory device in markets, which structures and controls career trajectories of cultural producers. While the style of a musician is an expression of her identity, it also influences that identity in facilitating certain career moves and experiments with content and discouraging others.

The empirical analysis confirms a long-standing observation in organizational research that stylistic consistence tends to be rewarded in markets. The caveat, however, is that in the creative industries there is a premium on creativity, as it is the primary means of differentiation for producers (Caves, 2000). Accordingly, Formilan finds that artists with a nonconformist but consistent style tend to occupy the highest positions in the Top-100 of electronic music. Although nonconformism is a less attractive pathway to consecration than conformism, artists can still make it to the top if the collection of diverse elements that define their style exhibits a recognizable, consistent pattern. The findings clarify how style can be used as a source of competitive advantage – distinct from, but potentially complementing, producers’ internal and external strategies.

In Chapter 2, Frédéric Godart, Kim Claes and Stoyan V. Sgourev examine an important objective for firms and managers: finding the right type and level of aesthetic and technological complexity to pursue in their product offerings to multiple audiences. High aesthetic complexity – the number of design combinations in watches – increases the probability of receiving a professional award, but may be less appreciated by customers who put a premium on the technological complexity of the watches. Navigating different principles of excellence and the expectations of multiple audiences is a form of competence that is increasingly pertinent in the current business environment and is applicable across domains. Consider the four basic culinary dimensions, as defined by Chef Daniel Humm. First, the dish must be delicious. Second, the dish must be beautiful. Third, the dish must be creative, add a new element. Fourth, it must reveal an intention, a materialized reason for being. The key observation of Chef Humm is that the dimensions work against each other. Thus, creativity and deliciousness do not go hand in hand, yet they both need to be present. These observations are useful as they remind us that the quest for beauty is not self-sufficient and that beauty is a principal component in a system that also includes other dimensions that must be harmoniously aligned to embody the identity of the producer.

The instrumental use of style to create and project a personal identity in a social domain is on display in Dubois and Anik’s study of the practice of wearing
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high heels (Chapter 3). There is hardly an object that better illustrates the power of style to transform ordinary materials into symbols of power and prestige. High heels have been associated for centuries with a privileged social position; a central element in a game of ‘seeing’ and ‘being seen’ at the royal court, where individual vision is structured by social distinctions, such as one’s position in a ‘noble’ hierarchy (Hillman, 2006). Social status and power are interwoven in many domains, such as in art or fashion, long dominated by an aristocracy that asserted its superiority over the inferior social classes through the consumption of extravagant products with limited comfort or practical use. That high heels can be used to raise one’s social status is a key reason why in past centuries their wearing has been normatively regulated. Dubois and Anik expose the interplay between power, status and style in experimental work that clarifies how the stylistic choices of consumers can both reflect their sociopsychological state and impact their behaviour in the marketplace. Their studies show that wearing heels (vs. flat shoes) makes individuals feel and behave more powerfully by thinking more abstractly and by taking more actions, but only when heels are worn conspicuously (i.e., the wearer knows the observer sees them).

The question of leadership style is central in strategy. The traditional approach to it is to identify the style of a leader in his/her decision-making process (Helmich & Erzen, 1975). In Chapter 4, Nikita Basov, Artem Antonyuk and Ilina Hellsten revisit the notion of a leader’s style by using a rich dataset of interactions in creative collectives. They distinguish three styles depending on the leader’s position in the collective: Critic, Integrator and Organizer. They relate these styles to the positions of leaders within networks, proposing that a style originates from the intersection of social and semantic network positions. This resonates with White’s (2008) understanding of style as emerging from networks and stories. Styles are both expressions of identities and identities in their own right because they generate social action (White, 1993). Styles encompass networks of social ties and meanings (Fontdevila, Opazo, & White, 2011), facilitating certain strategic decisions, such as with whom to form a strategic alliance.

Strategy scholars recognize the central role of narratives in the construction of organizational identities. Storytelling is an important strategic asset that can be leveraged to inspire employees, convince investors or engage customers’ attention. In Chapter 5, Cutolo, Ferriani and Cattani discuss the opportunities that advancements in computational linguistics present to analyze the stylistic elements that make a story convincing. While previous studies have examined the composition and temporal construction of narratives, their focus is on the stylistic conventionality of entrepreneurial narratives – i.e., the tendency to include topics that are shared by other entrepreneurs in the same product category. Using topic modelling, the authors explore the stories of craftsmen who sell their products on Esty, the largest digital marketplace for handmade items, analyzing how the conventionality of narratives influences performance. Their findings attest that effective narratives have enough conventional features to align with audience expectations, but preserve uniqueness to arouse the audience’s interest.

The second section of the book, **Stylistic Reaction**, contains four chapters. In Chapter 6, Micki Eisenman and Tal Simons discuss the relevance of aesthetic
innovation to the institutionalization of the competitive landscape of three industries: cars, specialty coffee and personal computers. The authors elucidate the mechanisms by which firms make aesthetic choices in order to connect their products to the identities of their audiences, eliciting emotional reactions to products. Stylistic and design-driven choices stimulate consumption not only by inducing fashion-like consumption patterns but also by promoting deeper personal connections between products and audiences. The three cases suggest that aesthetic innovation matters when it engenders emotional reaction among stakeholders and that this reaction drives the diffusion and institutionalization of innovation. This chapter draws on a large body of work on the creative industries to illuminate the strategic route to aesthetic innovation.

In Chapter 7, Paola Cillo, Joseph C. Nunes, Emanuela Prandelli and Irene Scopelliti draw attention to the role of gatekeeping audiences – intermediaries between producers and consumers (Smits, 2016), in influencing stylistic dynamics. They draw on a unique dataset of visual cues from fashion magazines in Italy and France, and critical appraisals from prominent media channels. While fashion designers are believed to be ‘dictators’ who define seasonal fashion trends in a top-down manner, these authors demonstrate that designers tend to follow and adapt to the reactions of critics. The analysis demonstrates that the stylistic choices of designers depend on the assessments of styles of competing designers, illuminating how design houses react to each other to articulate singularity.

Style also influences perceptions of competition among firms. In Chapter 8, Daniel Sands contributes along these lines by examining how the aesthetic composition of competition affects consumer perceptions of quality. Sands develops a theoretical framework explaining how a product’s stylistic similarity to popular competitors results in negative consumer evaluations. Using more than 75,000 Rotten Tomatoes consumer evaluations of 123 feature films released in the US during 2007, he finds that during a movie’s opening week, movies that are stylistically similar to the top-performing box office movie are evaluated less favourably. He provides evidence suggesting that this negative effect tends to persist in later periods due to social conformity pressures and that there is also reduced demand for those movies that are stylistically similar to the top box office movie. By showing how stylistic similarity negatively affects consumer perceptions of quality, this chapter sheds light on the importance of stylistic considerations for decisions on timing-of-entry and positioning.

In Chapter 9, Alexander Hoppe investigates the core/periphery structure of the global fashion industry, paying close attention to the position of cities in the global value chain. While Cattani and Ferriani (2008) used collaborative networks to explore the social structure of creativity, Hoppe argues that collaborative networks are themselves embedded in a hierarchy of cities, with Paris, New York, Milan and London at the core, and other cities at the periphery (Godart, 2014). Fashion houses located at the periphery need to abide by norms defined by fashion houses at the core to avoid being perceived as ‘unfashionable’ and to enhance their appeal to high-status audiences. The author shows that norms
encourage the pursuit of a balance of imitation and distinction, even though institutional constraints lead houses to become associated with local gatekeepers that drive down their status.

The third section of this book, *Reflections on Style*, consists of interviews with five prominent scholars – Candace Jones, Gianni Lorenzoni, Virginia Postrel, Davide Ravasi and Antonio Strati – discussing the interface between aesthetics and strategy, the role of beauty in management, the ways of making research more visually appealing and future scholarship on beauty and style. They all agree that aesthetic considerations are of strategic importance to firms in a variety of industries where consumers increasingly value beauty and are willing to pay a premium for products evoking emotions. As a key source of differentiation, beauty is an intangible asset that is not easy to imitate by competitors. It would be difficult to explain the success of firms, such as Apple, Alessi, Audemars Piguet, IDEO or Steinway, without accounting for the unique design of their products. Virginia Postrel notes that expectations for aesthetic quality have increased across industries, while Davide Ravasi identifies this process at the organizational level – a beautiful workplace helps to attract and retain talented people, stimulating their ability to innovate. Antonio Strati notes that the connection between beauty and competitive advantage traverses the entire organization, from its style of communication with internal and external audiences to its product design, work environment and strategy. At the same time, Candace Jones reminds us that the question of beauty is still located on the periphery of strategy, presenting an opportunity for scholars to further explore how firms may apply beauty and style in their strategies for value creation. Gianni Lorenzoni stresses the role of beauty as an evaluative device that speaks to one’s sense of self. He encourages us to embrace aesthetic principles in our managerial research in a manner evocative of James March’s celebration of scholarship committed to sustaining an institution of learning as an object of beauty and an affirmation of humanity.

To enable the aesthetic turn in strategy, our interviewees propose a set of research questions. Davide Ravasi is curious about the factors shaping our perceptions of beauty and style, and how this knowledge can be put into practice. Candace Jones directs attention to how perceptions of beauty interact with new materials and technologies in reshaping business landscapes. For example, in the fashion industry, the dichotomy between handmade and machine-made is increasingly blurred by the emergence of new technologies and materials that afford unprecedented possibilities in terms of shapes and designs. Along similar lines, Virginia Postrel emphasizes the need to better understand the connection between beauty and the tools used to create it, giving as an example how the aesthetic nature of silk production encouraged mechanical ingenuity. Antonio Strati reminds us that aesthetic factors place the human side of organizational life at the centre of strategy. The adoption of a new humanistic paradigm implies leadership with aesthetic sensibility, whose objective is to create not only a healthier work environment. Gianni Lorenzoni calls for a deeper appreciation of how aesthetic canons form and become part of an organizational culture informing strategic and managerial practices. He advocates for scholarship
attentive to the way in which sensory experience and emotions permeate strategic leadership and decision-making resulting in competitive distinction.

**DIRECTIONS OF RESEARCH ON STRATEGY AND STYLE**

We hope that this volume will inspire scholars to explore exciting new research opportunities at the juncture of strategy and style. The theoretical and methodological contributions in this volume can provide a useful starting point. Next, we outline four broad themes that may guide future scholarship.

*Value Creation through Style*

Aesthetic considerations can help firms adopt unconventional value-creating strategies. A suitable example is the Italian firm Alessi. Starting as a producer of household goods, Alessi transformed itself from a national producer of cold-pressed steel serving tools (e.g., trays, baskets and teapots) into an internationally renowned producer of high-end kitchenware and tableware. Behind this transformation was Alessi’s ability to develop new actionable understandings of consumer needs that went beyond the taken-for-granted properties of functionality, quality and conformity to industry standards. The new practices it implemented enabled it to make products with formal properties that served distinct new needs, thereby opening up new customer segments (Rindova, Dalpiaz, & Ravasi, 2011). The products of Alessi appeal to audiences that value not only their functionality but also their capacity to arouse emotions. Their products are recognized for their aesthetic quality and are exhibited in prestigious modern art museums (Rindova et al., 2011), consecrating these products as singular (Karpik, 2010).

Another example comes from the piano industry. By the late nineteenth century, most companies had adopted mass production technologies in order to appeal to mass markets. Only a few firms, such as Steinway & Sons, continued to use craft-based production methods. The touch, feel, power and sound of their pianos were highly valued by virtuoso pianists. As more and more piano companies ‘switched to using mass-production technologies to satisfy mass markets, virtuoso performers – for whom the key differentiating factor is how well a piano fits their playing style – valued even more the unique features that only craft-made pianos offered’ (Cattani et al., 2017, p. 14). The staying power of Steinway & Sons has to do with the ways in which their production methods afforded possibilities for stylistic differentiation. Because ‘traditional hand crafted methods and intuitive expertise help create a powerful image of commitment to past traditions’ (Beverland, 2005, p. 1023), there is a unique value associated with craft-made products.

The recognition that style is integral to value creation provides business leaders with a much broader cultural repertoire, which they can leverage in developing strategies that differentiate them from competitors. Scholars emphasize the link between commitment to a particular method or style of production and the perception of the authenticity of its products (Beverland, 2005; Carroll &
Wheaton, 2009). To the extent that products are perceived as authentic, they will enable a company to outperform competitors whose authenticity claims are viewed as less credible.

Leadership and Style

Scholarship needs to establish with more precision the extent to which current leadership practices incorporate the strategic use of aesthetic elements. Leaders provide followers with a vision of the future. To be compelling, this vision must be aesthetically appealing. As Hansen, Ropo and Sauer (2007, p. 544) observe, ‘the aesthetics of leadership is a little understood aspect of organizational life. While we know followers must attribute leadership qualities such as charisma and authenticity to leaders to allow for social influence, we know little about how these processes operate’.

The aesthetic approach to leadership poses several intriguing questions. Do leaders’ aesthetic visions translate into the enhanced motivation of their followers? Are aesthetically minded leaders able to present more effectively their visions by appealing to the emotions of their followers? What psychological or rhetorical patterns define different visionary styles? Careful analysis of speeches, autobiographies and interviews can help uncover patterns of aesthetic similarities and differences in leadership styles.

Recent developments in behavioural strategy provide valuable insights into the aesthetics of leadership. Considering that strategy implies communicating a desired course of action to minimize resistance, one of the main challenges facing managers is to persuade audiences to embrace change. A key objective is finding rhetorical devices for communicating the desired direction, by activating representations that facilitate change (Gavetti, 2012). Studies emphasize the role of narratives as a means of persuasion and of leaders as managers of meaning (Hansen et al., 2007, p. 551). A distinct trait of visionary leaders is their ability to imbue narratives with aesthetic descriptions that stimulate emotions and motivation. The aesthetics of narrative is not limited to visual tools (e.g., graphs, tables, videos, etc.) through which content is communicated; its linguistic structure and the style with which the story is told are equally important in shaping the perception and reaction of audiences. Research documents various ways in which the linguistic structure of a narrative can shape the expectations of audience members (Cattani, Falchetti, & Ferriani, 2020; Clarke, Cornelissen, & Healy, 2019; Vaara, Sonenshein, & Boje, 2016). Future research can explore how aesthetic features shape the effectiveness of the communication strategies of leaders. What narrative styles do leaders use? Do aesthetically minded narratives engage audiences differently? What aesthetic criteria do audiences employ to make judgements about the narratives of leaders?

Practicing Style

Another way in which aesthetically oriented leadership can enhance firm performance is through the creation of a more engaging and inclusive organizational climate (Gardner, Avolio, Luthans, May, & Walumbwa, 2005). This point
resonates with some of the insights emerging from the interviews in this volume. Davide Ravasi reminds us of the importance of beautiful spaces. As he puts it, ‘it is not unreasonable to assume that the capacity of an organization to attract talent also depends on the beauty of the workplace environment: light, airy offices, with high quality furnishings and materials, pleasant décor. Even this, in the end, makes competitive advantage’. Similarly, Candace Jones argues that beauty in the work environment can inspire creativity. Many employees are mindful of the sensory features (look, shape, colour, touch) of the objects that surround them, and these features can motivate creative pursuits.

Corporate museums constitute another site for useful interaction between aesthetic features and organizational practices. As repositories of organizational memory, corporate museums represent strategic assets that can be used to shape organizational identity. Serving as ‘a strategic extension of an organization’s public relations efforts’ (Nissley & Casey, 2002, p. S36), corporate museums endow firms with a cultural repertoire from which leaders may find inspiration for their strategies. Consider the Corning Museum of Glass founded in 1951 with the goal to tell the story of a single material: glass.\(^2\) Besides preserving and displaying the world’s largest and finest collection of art and historical glass, the museum is a meeting point for glass scientists, glass artists and glassworkers. For example, the Glass Lab is the Museum’s design program whose goal is to help designers and artists realize new forms and functions for glass. As Wendell Weeks, Corning Chairman and CEO, put it: ‘Glass inspires us with its beauty, surprises us with its versatility, challenges us with its complex physical properties, and transforms our lives with its unique technical capabilities’.\(^3\)

Several questions merit further attention. What practices can leaders put in place to transform the workplace into an appealing work environment? Should aesthetically minded leaders encourage closer interaction between scientists and artists? Can artists help scientists in their efforts to solve technical problems? To what extent can an aesthetic sensitivity enhance a firm’s ability to innovate?

Technology and Style

Technology has received much more attention among management scholars than aesthetics. But there is increasing recognition that in the highly competitive landscape of today, considerations of style are essential in securing sustainable competitive advantage and customer loyalty (Eisenman, 2013; Strati, 1992). Traditionally focused on technology, consumer electronics are embracing aesthetics in product design. As Godart, Claes and Sgourev (this volume) show, in watchmaking the style of the watch is sometimes more important than the technology in driving external audience evaluation, contrary to deeply held beliefs about the primacy of functional factors over visual arrangements. In cuisine, the technical complexity of dishes is played down at the expense of

\(^2\)https://www.cmog.org/.
aesthetic complexity (Rao, Monin, & Durand, 2003). As Albert Einstein observed in 1923: ‘After a certain high level of technical skill is achieved, science and art tend to coalesce in aesthetics, plasticity and form. The greatest scientists are always artists as well’. His remark has been vindicated over time: whether in science, culture or business, technological considerations are increasingly giving way to aesthetic judgements. Now more than ever companies try to find a balance between style and technology, delivering a reliable product that lends itself to aesthetic engagement and emotional experience.

One of the most striking examples of how aesthetic considerations and technical requirements can be effectively integrated are the design practices of a major contemporary architect, Frank Gehry, and his company, Gehry Partners. In conceiving and realizing his architectural vision, Gehry’s design practices combine three representational tools: drawings or sketches, physical models and advanced three-dimensional (3-D) software tools. Through the iterative use of physical and 3-D digital models, technology is used not ‘to improve strategic alignment, or transfer best practices, but to create space for a genuine collaborative dialogue that opens new design alternatives’ (Yoo, Boland, & Lyytinen, 2006, p. 228). Technology contributes to expanding the space of creative possibilities. By using 3-D software, Frank Gehry was able to translate his complex, undulating designs into detailed plans that made the construction of a building more cost-efficient, while preserving his signature style.

The interdependencies between style and technology and their implications for creativity are an exciting avenue for research. Such interdependencies traverse human history. Consider the ways in which new technologies afford stylistic developments. As Sgourev (2020) shows, the introduction of oil paint techniques in the fifteenth century allowed the articulation of distinct aesthetic traditions in Flanders and Venice to the dominant Florentine style. The technology facilitated the development of new styles, while the popularity of the styles facilitated the diffusion of the technology. This form of interplay should be further investigated, to understand how technology and style interact in creating possibilities for innovation.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

At first glance, strategy appears to be the opposite of style, as it is focused on an objective and unfolds through purposeful action. Style implies less structured patterns of action that are often driven by socialization practices and that remain open to stochastic search (White, 2008). Can we reconcile strategy and style into a ‘strategy of style’? One way to do so is by treating style as useful in creating value. But this understanding is too narrow. A strategy of style is more encompassing, related to how style and beauty can be used in enriching organizational cultures, informing leadership visions or motivating employees to defy conventions and
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design new products. The ‘aesthetic’ turn in strategy is about connecting design and engineering, enmeshing style and technology and using aesthetic features to improve working conditions. It is not only about creating value but also about sharing value among employees and infusing organizational activities with a purpose that transcends corporate profit and principles of efficiency. Aesthetics can make a valuable contribution to the sustainability of business in the twenty-first century, by infusing organizational practices with meaning. This volume embodies our belief that the useful and the beautiful can be brought together. As Oscar Wilde once observed, all beautiful things are made by those who strive to make something useful – a full century before Steve Jobs brought this maxim to life by drawing inspiration from calligraphy.5
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