

# INDEX

- Academic libraries, 6–7, 120–121
  - changing role of academic library technical services, 155–157
- Acquisition(s), 3
  - assessment, 171–172
  - models, 213–214
- Acquisitions & Discovery Department (A&D Department), 84
- Adaptation, 37–38
- Administrative Data Methods for Information Naming Systems (ADMINS), 42
- American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 70
- American Library Association (ALA), 7
- American undergraduate/graduate model, 33
- Anglo-American Cataloging Rules*, second edition (AACR2), 179
- Article processing charges (APC), 203
- Assessment, 120–121
- Association for Library Collections and Technical Services (ALCTS), 6, 40–41, 146–147
  - Task Force, 40, 49–51
- Association of American Colleges, 70
- Association of College & Research Libraries (ACRL), 7, 70, 119
- Association of Library Collections and Technical Services (ALCTS), 107
- Association of Research Libraries (ARL), 6, 8, 105
- Authority control as outreach, 26–27
- Backlogs, 25
- Bargaining-unit faculty (BUF), 73–74
- Bias, 124
- BIBFRAME Editor (BFE), 186
- Bibliographic control, 38, 42–45
- Bibliographic ecosystem, 42
- Bibliographic Framework (BIBFRAME), 2, 177, 186–187
  - BIBFRAME 1.0 pilot project, 134
  - BIBFRAME 2.0 pilot project, 134, 145
  - building foundation for, 139
  - collaboration between cataloging/metadata team and digital services team, 150
  - differences from MARC, 139–145
  - from experimentation
    - to implementation, 133–139
  - flexible mindset, 146–147
  - as future library metadata, 132–133
  - future metadata landscape, 146–150
  - initiative, 133
  - knowledge of BIBFRAME, RDA, and other ontologies, 147–148
  - knowledge of MARC21 and capability to using MarcEdit and OpenRefine, 149
  - ontology, 144–145
  - RDF and XML, 148–149
- Bibliographic Record Cooperative Program (BIBCO), 136
- Big Deal, 193–194
  - breaking, 195–197
  - campus conversations, 200–201
  - changes in consortia, 207–209

- changes in technical services, 209–211
- decision & data analysis, 198–200
- experiences with breaking, 197–206
- opportunities and challenges, 204–206
- publisher negotiations, 201–203
- rationale, 197–198
- transformative agreements, 206–207
- Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color (BIPOC), 25–26
- British Library, 41, 43
- BusinessDictionary. com, 15
- California Digital Library (CDL), 208
- Cambridge English Dictionary, 15
- Campus conversations, 200–201
- Carnegie Mellon University (CMU), 206–207
- Carte blanche, 64
- Catalog, 42
- Catalogers, 26, 104–105
- Cataloging. *See also* Library cataloging, 21–24, 42, 72, 104
  - assessment, 72
  - catalogers and materials location, 111–112
  - communication structure
    - satisfaction, 112–113
  - defined hierarchy and responsibilities, 114–115
  - demographics, 107–108
  - ideal reporting department, 113
  - keeping cataloger with materials, 115
  - leaders and managers, 176
  - literature review, 104–106
  - and metadata professionals, 146–147
  - methodology, 106–107
  - mindset to linked data, 182–184
  - priority setting, 111, 114
  - regular meetings, documentation, and transparent workflows, 114
  - reporting structure, 109–111
  - reporting structures and materials location, 108
  - satisfaction with reporting structure, 109
  - standards, 132
  - suggestions for future research, 115
  - suggestions for improvements, 113–115
  - survey design, 106–107
  - survey promotion and timeline, 107
  - survey results, 107–109
- Change management, 59–60
- Closed systems, 36–37
- Coding, 9
- Coercive isomorphism, 33
- Coevolution to edge of chaos, 37–38
- Collaborations with public services, 27–28
- Collections, 122
- Collections Advisory Committee (CAC), 198
- Complex adaptive systems (CASs), 1, 32
  - characteristics, 37–38
  - comparative analysis, 39–43
  - cost savings to asset maximization, 49–51
  - crisis of higher education, 32–33
  - effect on research libraries, 33–34
  - effect on technical services, 34–35
  - interpretation, 48–52
  - in library science, 36
  - literature review, 36–38
  - methodology, 38–39
  - nested hierarchies and levels of aggregation in, 43
  - next steps, 51–52
  - research questions, 35
  - statement of problem, 35

- Complexity theory, 36
- Connotations, 122
- Consortia services, 16
- Content analysis, 7
- Cooperative Online Serials Program (CONSER), 136
- CORAL, 96
- Cornell University, 196
- Cost, 122
- Cost per use (CPU), 198
- Course load, 71–72
- Course unit equivalents (CUE), 77–78
- Cross-training, 89
  - cycle of work/staff ownership of work, 98
  - developing strategies, 99
  - E-resource management, 85
  - improving communication, 98–99
  - monographs model, 94
  - organizational models, 86–87
  - package management, 87–88, 90
  - relationship building, 99
  - serials model, 90–91
  - supporting staff and evolving skills, 88–89
  - transformative benefits of life cycle package management, 100–101
  - work management, 87–88
  - workflow support and electronic resource management systems, 99–100
  - workflows, 91–95
- CUNY's workload policy, 73
- Data, 2–3
- Data-driven decision-making, 155–157
- Database assessment, 160–170
- Deming cycle, 56, 57–59
- Deming model in process, 63–65
- Denotations, 122
- Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (DCRM-L), 107
- Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC), 139, 183–184
- Digital Reference Electronic Warehouse (DREW), 36
- Digital support services, 16
- Distributed networks, 38, 47–49
- E-Matrix, 96
- E-resources
  - acquisitions assessment, 171–172
  - changing role of academic library technical services, 155–157
  - changing user needs, 159–160
  - database assessment, 160–170
  - future assessment goals, 173
  - institution, 158–159
  - instruction resource assessment, 170
  - management, 85
  - new librarian job titles and responsibilities, 157–158
- EBook Reconciliation Database, 97
- eBooks, 160
- EBSCO, 168
- Efficiency and Standards for Article Changes (ESAC), 206–207
- Electronic Resource Hub, 92, 97
- Electronic resource management (ERM), 84–85
- Electronic resource management system (ERMS), 99–100, 177
- Electronic resources, 13
- Electronic Resources Librarian, 157–158
- Electronic Services (ES), 73
- Emerging standards, 146
- Emerging trends, 14–15
- Entity–relationship model, 179–180
- Exposure training, 89
- Extensible Markup Language (XML), 132
- Extensible Stylesheet Language (XSL), 148

- Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT), 148
- EZproxy access, 170
- Faceted Application of Subject Terminology (FAST), 139, 183–184
- Facilitate Information Access, 34–35
- Faculty librarian(s), 71, 73  
workloads, 71–72
- Faculty status  
for academic librarians, 70  
for librarians, 70
- Faculty workload guidelines  
lessons learned and advice for other libraries, 79–81  
literature review, 70–72  
preliminary scan, 73  
technical services *vs.* public services work, 75–76  
UA, 72–79  
UL Faculty Council Ad Hoc Committee, 73–74  
UL faculty workload, 72–79
- Filiopietism, 33–34, 49–50
- Film acquisitions, 214
- Fiscal year (FY), 198
- Florida State University (FSU), 197, 200–202
- Freedom Collection, 199–200, 202
- Functional Requirements (FR), 179
- Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (FRBR), 137
- Future assessment goals, 173
- General Systems Theory (GST), 36–37
- Greater Western Library Alliance (GWLA), 205  
Offset Task Force, 208–209
- Guiding principles, 14–17
- Heavy industry, 57
- High performance management, 57–59
- Higher education, crisis of, 32–33
- Homogenization, 33
- Humboldtian model, 32
- HyperText Transport Protocol (HTTP), 132–133, 181
- Inclusion criteria, 8
- Inclusive Description Working Group, 26
- Individual agents, 37–38
- Information literacy instruction (ILI), 75–76
- Information Technology Initiatives Librarian, 157–158
- Information technology services (ITS), 156–157
- Institution's approach, 109–110
- Institutional  
identification, 38  
identity, 44–47  
isomorphisms, 33
- Instruction resource assessment, 170
- Integrated library systems (ILSs), 84, 149, 183, 188
- Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, 119
- Inter library loan (ILL), 194, 197
- Interoperable metadata, 135
- Iowa State University (ISU), 197, 203
- Isomorphism, 33
- Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 215
- Kanopy PDA, 214
- Knowledge transfer, 89
- Language impact, 120
- LBGTQ + creators, 26
- LC Classification (LCC), 183–184

- LC Name Authority File (LCNAF), 183–184
- LC Subject Headings (LCSH), 183–184
- LibApps, 170
- LibGuides, 170
- Librarian attitudes, 215–216
- Librarianship, 1
- Library cataloging. *See also*
  - Cataloging, 175–176
  - bibliographic framework, 186–187
  - cataloging mindset to linked data, 182–184
  - ILS, 188
  - literature review, 176–178
  - marked differences, 184–185
  - nuanced familiarity, 178–179
  - RDF, 185–186
  - road to linked data, 179–180
- Library Information Science
  - Abstracts (LISA), 36
- Library of Congress (LC), 39, 133–135, 177, 179–180
- Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH), 133–134
- Library of Congress-Program for Cooperative Cataloging Policy Statements (LC-PCC PS), 183
- Library Reference Model (LRM), 137, 180
- Library Science Database Library, 36
- Library/libraries. *See also* University Libraries (UL), 56, 120–121, 177
  - cooperatives, 179
  - data, 133
  - departments and divisions, 119
  - library-based outreach, 21–22
  - resources, 13
  - scholarship, 177
  - technical services operations, 6
  - workload, 73
- LibWizard tool, 170
- Licensing models, 215
- Life cycle package management, transformative benefits of, 100–101
- Linked data, 132–134, 176, 180–182, 187–188
  - cataloging mindset to, 182–184
  - principles, 176–177
  - road to, 179–180
- Linked Data for Libraries (LD4L), 177–178
- Linked Data for Production (LD4P), 138
- Linked Data for Production Phase 2 (LD4P2), 133, 137–138
- Linked open data (LOD), 177, 182
- Linked Open Vocabularies (LOV), 187
- Los Alamos National Laboratory, 37
- Machine Readable Cataloging (MARC), 2, 132
  - standard, 156–157
  - transformation, 135–137
- Machine readable cataloging (MARC), 177, 179–181
- Madigan Library, 157–158, 169–170, 172
- Mapping workflows, 59–61
- MARC Advisory Committee (MAC), 135–136
- MarcEdit, 149
- Marginalized voices, increasing access and representation of, 25–26
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 42
- Materials processing, 24
- Metadata, 21–23, 41–42, 72
  - librarians, 26, 157–158
- Metrics, 119–120, 122
  - cost, 122
  - vocabulary to adding to existing, 125

- Mimetic isomorphism, 33  
 Missions, 8  
 Monographs model, 94
- National Endowment for Humanities (NEH), 104  
 Nondisclosure agreement (NDA), 194–195  
 Normative isomorphism, 33  
 North American Serials Interest Group (NASIG), 6  
 North Carolina State University (NCSU), 84, 177  
 Notation-3 (N3), 185
- OCLC database, 123–124, 139, 169, 177, 179  
 Office of Instructional Technology (OIT), 172  
 Online public access catalogs (OPACs), 175–176  
 Ontology, 144–145, 184–185  
 Open access (OA), 200  
 Open educational resources (OER), 12  
 Open Public Access Catalog (OPAC), 132  
 OpenRefine, 149  
 Organizational models, 86–87  
 Outreach, 22  
   authority control as, 26–27  
   in libraries, 21  
 Oxbridge model, 32  
 Oxford English Dictionary (OED), 22
- Package management, 84, 87–88, 90  
   models, 90  
   tools and technologies for, 96–97  
 Package manager (PM), 84  
 Package owners (POs), 90  
 Patron driven acquisitions (PDA), 214  
 PDSA. *See* Plan, Do, Check/Study, Act cycle (PDCA cycle)
- Penn College NOW (PCNOW), 159–160  
 Pennsylvania College of Technology (PCT), 157–158  
 Plan, Do, Check/Study, Act cycle (PDCA cycle), 56–58  
 Planning and assessment, 1  
 Priorities, 25  
 Process  
   improvement, 59–60  
   mapping, 56, 59, 61–65  
   maps, 59–60  
 Productivity, 124–126  
 Program for Cooperative Cataloging (PCC), 133, 135–137  
 ProQuest, 160, 168  
 Public services (PS), 75–76, 126  
   work, 75–76  
 Publish and Read (PAR), 207  
 Publisher negotiations, 201–203  
 Purchasing models  
   findings, 216–217  
   literature review, 214–215  
   methods, 215–216
- Quality, 123–124
- Read and Publish (RAP), 207  
 Recession, 32  
 Recombination, 37–38  
 Relevancy ranking, 9–10  
 Research and Learning Services (RLS), 73  
 Research libraries, effect on, 33–34  
 Resource Description and Access (RDA), 140–141, 179–180  
   RDA-L, 107  
 Resource Description Framework (RDF), 132–133, 141–142, 181–182, 185–186  
 Retention, tenure, and promotion (RTP), 72  
 RSMMeans data, 158–159

- Schemata, agents with, 37–38
- Scholarly communications, 16
- Scholarly publishing, 193–194
- Science and Technology Library (S&T), 73–74
- Search engine optimization (SEO), 188
- Self-organizing networks, 37–38
- Semantic Web, 133–134, 176–177
- Serializations, 185
- Serials crisis, 206
- Serials Data Warehouse, 97
- Serials model, 90–91
- Service creation, 120
- ServiceNow ticketing system, 97
- Simple Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL), 132–133, 181–182
- Sinopia, 139
- SirsiDynix, 96
- Southern Illinois University, 196
- Strategic change, 120
- Strategic plans, 6–7
  - guiding principles, 14–17
  - methodology, 7–10
  - results, 10
- Streaming video, 213–214
- Subject liaison duties, 75–76
- System evolution, 37–38
  
- Technical services (TS), 1, 2–3, 5–6, 14–15, 56, 120, 126
  - authority control as outreach, 26–27
  - cataloger, 78–79
  - changes in, 209–211
  - collaborations with public services, 27–28
  - effect on, 34–35
  - implementation suggestions, 23–28
  - increasing access and representation of marginalized voices, 25–26
  - inviting feedback straight from public, 23
  - librarians, 70
  - managed assessment, 24–25
  - manager, 78–79
  - mental model, 123
  - metrics, 121
  - metrics and benchmarks, 72
  - numbers, 120
  - as outreach, 23
  - potential outcomes for technical services departments, 61–63
  - process, 23
  - professionals, 5–6
  - professionals, 17
  - public-facing mission statements and policies, 23–24
  - staff, 84
  - staffing and services, 16–17
  - tech services in social media realm, 28
  - traditional outreach definition and description, 22
  - UDC, 25
  - user experience, 15–16
  - work, 11, 16
  - work, 21–22, 75–76
- Technology skills, 125
- Tenure process, 71
- Terminology, observations on, 41–42
- Transformative agreements, 206–207
- Trello, 96
  - project board, 98
  
- Unbundling, 196–197
- Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs), 132–133, 178
  - using URIs instead of text strings, 140–141
- Uniform Resource Locators (URLs), 133–134, 185
- University Libraries (UL), 70
  - Faculty Council Ad Hoc Committee, 73–74
  - faculty workload, 72–79

- University Libraries Faculty Council (ULFC), 73
- University of Akron (UA), 70, 72–79
  - considerations, 74–75
  - guidelines, 76–79
  - university rules, 74
- University of California
  - Transformative Model (UC Transformative Model), 207
- University of Missouri (MU), 197, 201
- Usability, 125–126
- User-driven cataloging (UDC), 25
- Virtual International Authorities
  - File (VIAF), 139, 183–184, 187
- Virtual Resources Team, 172
- Virtual Resources Wiki (VR Wiki), 165
- Visions, 8
- Vocabulary, 184–185
  - changes, 126
- West Virginia University (WVU), 197
- Wiley unbundling, 198
- Words, 122
- Work, expression, manifestation, and item (WEMI), 186
- Work management, 87–88
- Workflow(s), 2, 91–95
  - acquire, 92, 94, 96
  - administer, 92, 95–97
  - process mapping, 56
  - providing access, 92, 95–96
  - providing support/evaluate monitor, 93–95, 97
  - support, 99–100
- Working Group on the Future of Bibliographic Control, 39
- World Wide Web, 182
- World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), 184–185