

# INDEX

- Adult sanctions, 77, 82
  - incapacitation effects of, 102
- Affordable Care Act, 284
- Age-based law enforcement
  - discretion, 95–96
- Age distribution of crime, 139
- Area Median Gross Income (AMGI), 400–401
- As-if random assignment, 13, 157, 161
- Assignment variable, measurement error in
  - baseline statistical model, 459–463
  - continuous assignment variable and measurement error, 484
  - estimation, 490–492
  - imperfect compliance
    - identification, 489–490
  - perfect compliance
    - identification, 484–489
- discrete assignment variable and measurement error, 463
- assignment variable
  - distribution and RD
  - treatment effect, 473–474
- conditional expectation
  - functions and RD
  - treatment effect, 472–473
- potential issues in practical implementation, 476–477
  - simple numerical example, 477–484
  - true assignment variable distribution, 464
  - Medicaid takeup and crowdout, 492–495
  - with regression discontinuity design, 456
- Asymptotic mean-squared error (AMSE), 360, 369, 423, 437
- Availability of RD design, 2
- Average treatment effect (ATE), 3, 6, 10, 15, 16, 23, 55–57, 154, 197, 200, 211, 239, 285, 334, 335, 345, 386, 426, 440, 441
- BadgerCare, 151
- BadgerCare Plus (BC+) program, 151–152, 177
- Bajari, Hong, Park, and Town’s model, 49–54
- Bandwidth, 7, 18, 91, 156, 286, 346–347, 359, 366, 369, 384–385
  - and kernel, 429
  - selection, 156
- “Baseline arrest”, 83–85, 90, 92
- Baseline statistical model, 459–463
- Becker’s model of crime, 103–104
- Bellman equation, 104

- Benchmark Plan, 151
- Benefit elasticity, estimates of, 362, 375
- Bias correction, 343, 359, 424, 438
  - bootstrap, 430–435, 439
- Bias estimation, 430
- Bootstrap bias correction, 430–435
- Bootstrap confidence intervals for sharp RD designs, 421
  - application, 440–442
  - background, 425–430
  - bootstrap bias correction, 430–435
  - simulation evidence, 436–440
- California’s three-strikes law, 75, 78–79
- CCF (Calonico, Cattaneo, and Farrell), 384, 387, 388, 390, 393, 394, 410
- CCFT (Calonico, Cattaneo, Farrell, and Titiunik), 343, 346, 347, 361
- CCT (Calonico, Cattaneo, and Titiunik), 343, 345, 346, 347, 384, 389, 391, 393, 423, 424, 425, 428, 430, 438, 449, 453
- Child Development Study (CDS), 457
- Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP), 150, 457
  - copayments in, 150–152
- CJLPM (Card, Johnston, et al.), 374–375
- CLPW (Card, Lee, Pei, and Weber), 343–346, 378n2, 378n3
- Clustered data, RD designs with, 383
  - application, 399–406
  - distributional approximation, 393–394
  - extensions, 394–395
  - main results, 388
    - clustering at running variable level, 390–393
  - setup and notation, 385–388
  - simulations, 395–399
- Cluster-robust bandwidth, 385, 394, 396, 404, 405
- “Cluster-robust” standard error formulas, 384, 402
- Comparative regression
  - discontinuity (CRD) design, 240
- CRD-CG design, 265
  - estimated bias, 266–268
  - RCT results, 265–266
  - statistical efficiency, 268–269
  - support for functional form assumptions, 266
- CRD-pre design, 258–260
  - estimated bias, 262–263
  - results for, 258–260
  - statistical efficiency, 263–264
  - support for functional form assumptions, 260–262, 266
- data and analysis methods, 250
  - causal estimates for CRD and basic RD designs, 256–257
  - causal estimates for RCT design, 254–256
  - checking parallel assumptions of CRD, 253–254
  - comparing efficiency across designs, 258

- creating basic RD, CRD-pre and CRD-CG designs, 251–253
  - study data, 250–251
- designs and within-study
  - comparison approach, 241
- gains statistical power from
  - CRD, 248
- identification of causal effects
  - using, 244–247
- implementation and estimation, 247
- sensitivity test, 269–271
- testing CRD using a
  - within-study comparison approach, 248
- Complier probability derivative (CPD), 319, 322, 333
  - fuzzy design treatment effect derivative (TED) and, 323–324
- Compound treatments, 161–163, 220–221
- Computerized Criminal History (CCH) system, 128
- Conditional cash transfers (CCTs), 198–200
- Conditional geographic mean
  - independence, 160–161, 207
- Confidence intervals (CIs), 25, 112, 273–274, 359, 361, 366, 394, 423
- Continuity-based RD design, 3, 6, 7, 10, 16
  - and local randomization, 8–11
- Continuous assignment variable
  - and measurement error, 484
  - estimation, 490–492
  - imperfect compliance
    - identification, 489–490
  - perfect compliance identification, 484–489
- Conventional (naive) CIs, 427, 439
- Copayments in CHIP, 150–152
- County-level tax rates, 194
- Covariate balance near municipal borders, 203–206
- Covariate index, 353–354
- Covariates, 4, 163, 170–172, 334, 369–374
- Coverage error (CE), 346, 395, 396
- Crime control policies, 77
- Cumulative distribution function (CDF), 32, 42, 53, 62, 104
- Current Population Survey (CPS), 62
- Data-dependent estimation
  - approaches, 347
- Data-generating processes (DGPs), 343, 366, 395, 424, 427, 432, 436, 437
- Density-based identification, 32, 55
- Density discontinuity approach, 29, 33, 55–58
  - Bajari, Hong, Park, and Town's model, 49
    - identification, 52–54
    - model, 49–52
  - Doyle's model, 38
    - identification, 41–43
  - inference on the discontinuity in density, 58–64
  - Jales' model, 43–48
    - identification, 48–49
  - Kleven and Waseem's model, 34
    - economic model, 34–35

- identification, 36–38
    - statistical model, 35–36
  - minimum wage, 38
- Density test, 282, 309
- Deterrence, defined, 117
- Deterrence effect of prison, 73
  - arrest-level database, 128
    - construction of data set, 128
    - date variables, 128–129
  - arrest probabilities, 134–140
  - average incarceration lengths, 129–133
  - data and sample, 82
    - main analysis sample, 83–86
    - measurement and reporting discontinuities, 86–87
  - evidence on deterrence and incapacitation, 87
  - age-based law enforcement discretion, 95–96
  - evidence on deterrence, 87–92
  - evidence on incapacitation, 101–103
  - expungement of juvenile records, 96–101
  - transfers of juveniles to the adult criminal court, 92–95
- existing literature, 77–80
- identification and estimation, 80–82
- predicted effects from dynamic model of crime, 103
  - benchmark model calibration and predicted values for  $\theta$ , 106–110
  - connecting  $\theta$  to broader policy elasticities, 111–112
  - model, 103–106
    - nonparametric bounds on policy elasticities, 112–114
- Differences-in-differences (DID), 152, 179
- Discontinuous assignment of treatment at geographic boundary, 152
  - in the absence of geo-located individual data, 156–157
  - geo-location of few coarse geographic units, 158–161
  - geo-location of small aggregate units, 157–158
- GRD designs when data is geo-located, 153–156
- Discrete assignment variable and measurement error, 463
  - assignment variable distribution and RD treatment effect, 473–474
  - conditional expectation functions and RD treatment effect, 472–473
  - potential issues in practical implementation, 476–477
  - simple numerical example, 477–484
  - true assignment variable distribution, 464
    - imperfect compliance, 468–472
    - perfect compliance, 464–468
- Discrete test for running variable manipulation, 286–289
  - additional support points, 291
  - choosing  $k$ , 291–292
  - critical values, 289–291
  - null distribution, 289–291

- power, 289–291
- test statistic, 289–291
- Distributional approximation, 385, 393–394
- Double-dose algebra, 8, 14, 23
- “Doughnut hole” design, 166
- Doyle’s model, 38–43
  - extensive–margin response
    - and imperfect compliance, 41
  - identification, 41–43
- Dual-economy setting, 44
- Dynamic model of crime, predicted
  - effects from, 103
  - benchmark model calibration
    - and predicted values
    - for  $\theta$ , 106–110
  - connecting  $\theta$  to broader policy
    - elasticities, 111–112
  - model, 103–106
  - nonparametric bounds on policy
    - elasticities, 112–114
- Econometric framework, 284
  - discrete test for running variable
    - manipulation, 286–289
  - standard tests for running
    - variable manipulation, 285–286
- Effect structure assumption, 33, 54, 58, 64, 65
- Elasticity, 38, 65, 112–114, 115, 142, 144
  - intertemporal, 146
- Employment effects, 31, 38, 41, 42, 43, 49
- “Excess of mass”, concept of, 43
- Exclusion restriction, 5, 11, 15–16, 18
  - local independence and, 19–21
- Experimental analysis under local
  - independence, 22–24
- Experimental samples used to assess
  - validity, 211–213
- External validity, 210–213, 216–219, 222, 318–319, 320, 325, 337
- Falsification test, 163, 165, 167, 168, 171–175, 247, 320
- Family and Medical Leave Act, 284
- FG (Fan and Gijbels), 343, 347, 355, 359–361
- “First arrest”, 83, 101, 103, 107
- Fisherian randomization-based
  - approach, 5, 15–16
- Fixed- $G$  calculations, 384, 388, 411
- Florida Department of Law
  - Enforcement (FDLE), 83, 95, 122, 128
- Forcing variable, 30–32, 55, 57, 58, 318
  - imperfect control of, 31
- Fuzzy design treatment effect
  - derivative (TED) and
    - complier probability
      - derivative (CPD), 322–324
- “Fuzzy” generalization of the sharp
  - design, 345
- Fuzzy RD design, 25n3, 322, 323, 329, 337, 395
- Fuzzy RKD estimates, 346, 360–361
- Geographically discontinuous
  - treatment assignments, 147, 152
  - in the absence of geo-located
    - individual data, 156–157

- application, 168
  - analysis plan, 171–172
  - balance results and placebo tests, 175–179
  - data, 168–171
  - falsification test, 172–175
  - outcome estimates, 179–180
- county level tax rates, 194
- discussion, 180–182
- empirical application, 150–152
- geo-location of few coarse geographic units, 158–161
- geo-location of small aggregate units, 157–158
- GRD designs when data is geo-located, 153–156
- particularities of, 161
  - compound treatments, 161–163
  - interference, 165–166
  - and internal validity, 163–165
  - local nature of effects, 166–167
  - spatial treatment effects, 167–168
- placebo tests, 191
- Geographic discontinuity design (GDD), 196
- Geographic quasi experiment (GQE), 161, 164, 175, 197, 201
  - compound treatment irrelevance, 220–221
  - covariate balance near municipal borders, 203–206
  - estimates, 209–210
  - experimental samples used to assess validity, 211–213
  - external validity, 210–211, 216–219
  - internal validity, 210–211, 219–220
  - inverse-probability weighting and external validity, 213–215
  - potential threats to internal validity, 207–208
  - sample, 201–203
- Geographic regression discontinuity (GRD), 150
  - GRD designs when data is geo-located, 153–156
- Growth in RD empirical applications, 2
- Head Start application, 274, 440
- Head Start program, 437, 440–442
- Health care utilization, 152, 154, 162, 165, 168, 170, 177–181, 457
  - trends in (2007–2008), 189–190
- Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, 394, 402
- Hypothesis tests, 423, 428
- Hypothetical experiment, 4
- Identification strategy, 40, 75, 78, 80–82, 175
- IK (Imbens and Kalyanaraman), 384, 391
- Incapacitation
  - defined, 117
  - effect, 76–77, 79, 82
  - evidence on, 101–103
- Index crimes, 84, 86, 133
- Informal sectors, 43–44, 49
- Informational constraints, in medical industry, 49–54

- Instrumental variables (IVs)
  - designs, 3
- Insurance copays, 152–153
- Internal validity, 163–164, 210–211, 219–220
  - potential threats to, 207–208
- Interpretation of RD designs, 2–5, 25, 157
- Intertemporal elasticity, 2–5, 146
- Inverse-probability weighting and external validity, 213–215
- Iterated bootstrap, 424, 430, 434, 435, 452
- Jales' model, 43–49
  - identification, 48–49
  - missing and excess of mass, 47
- Juvenile Justice Reform Act (JJRA) (1994), 86–87, 95, 140
- Juvenile records, 85, 95
  - expungement of, 96–101
- Juveniles
  - crime rates, 78
  - justice system, 78
  - transfers of, to adult criminal court, 92–95
- Kernel, bandwidth and, 429
- Kernel function, 61
- Kleven and Waseem's model, 34, 65
  - bunching at tax notch, 36
  - economic model, 34–35
  - identification, 36–38
  - statistical model, 35–36
- Labor costs, 65
- Labor unions, 282
- Latent wage, 38, 40
- Lee's framework, 4
- Leibniz integral rule, 141
- Local average response (LAR), 345
- Local average treatment effect (LATE), 239, 318, 320, 326, 327
- Local experiments, interpretation of RD designs as, 4–5, 10–11
- Local independence, 18
  - and exclusion restriction, 19–21
  - experimental analysis under, 22–24
  - random assignment of score and, 18–19
- Local likelihood approach, 63
- Local polynomial estimators, 384, 406, 416
- Local randomization RD
  - framework, 6, 10, 11
  - formalizing, 15–18
  - of score/treatment, 11–12
  - score value, 12–15
- Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC), 385, 399, 402
  - and neighborhood characteristics, 399–406
- Manipulation test, 58–59, 304
- Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) framework, 458
- McCrary test with discrete data, 292–293
- McCrary's binning-based local linear approach, 63
- Mean-squared errors (MSE), 343, 347
  - MSE minimization, 156
  - MSE-optimal bandwidth, 384, 411, 416
  - MSE-optimal procedure, 361

- Measurement error in assignment variable
  - baseline statistical model, 459–463
  - continuous assignment variable and measurement error, 484
  - estimation, 490–492
  - imperfect compliance
    - identification, 489–490
  - perfect compliance
    - identification, 484–489
  - discrete assignment variable and measurement error, 463
  - assignment variable
    - distribution and RD
    - treatment effect, 473–474
  - conditional expectation functions and RD
    - treatment effect, 472–473
  - potential issues in practical implementation, 476–477
  - simple numerical example, 477–484
  - true assignment variable distribution, 464
- Medicaid takeup and crowdout, 492–495
  - with regression discontinuity design, 456
- Medicaid, 458–459, 492–495
- Medicaid Analytics Extracts, 170
- Medicaid takeup and crowdout, 492–495
- Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS), 457
- Minimum wage policy, 32, 38, 43–45
- Missing mass, 33, 36, 38, 42–43, 68
- “Missing workers”, concept of, 38
- Modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP), 158
- Moment generating functions (MGFs), 466
- Monte Carlo simulations, 61, 309, 343, 364–365, 367–368
- MTTE, treatment effect derivative (TED) and, 335–336
- Naïve RD interval, 423
- National Labor Relations Board (NLRB), 283
- Neyman–Rubin framework, 2, 3
- Non-Index crimes, 96
- Notches, 34–35
- Null distribution, 289–291
- Observed information matrix (OIM), 119
- Optimal bandwidth, 416
- Optimal bandwidth selectors, 384–385, 406
- Outer product of the gradient (OPG), 119
- Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID), 457
- “Pass/fail” threshold, 30
- Policing elasticity, 142
- Policy reforms, types of, 76
- Political parties, 282
- Popularity of RD design, 2
- Potential outcomes regression functions, 8, 10
- “Pre-asymptotic” cluster-robust standard errors, 394
- Preventive health visits, 151
- Probability of disemployment, 32



- “Proof of concept”, 424
- Punitive criminal justice sanctions, 75, 77
- Qualified Census Tract (QCT), 399, 400, 401, 402, 405
- “Quasi-experimental” research designs, 3
- Random assignment of score and local independence, 18–19
- Randomization, 5, 6, 11, 17
- Randomized controlled trial (RCT), 239
  - causal estimates for RCT design, 254–256
- Rdrobust software, 91, 156
- Regression functions, 6, 8, 13, 19, 20, 24, 154, 255, 386
- Regression kink design (RKD), 319, 341, 342
  - data and analysis sample, 349–350
  - estimation and inference, review of, 345–347
  - estimation results, 355
    - alternative estimators, comparison of, 361–369
    - comparison with Missouri RKD application in card, 374–375
    - covariates, estimates with, 369–374
    - fuzzy RKD estimates, 360–361
    - reduced form kinks in treatment and outcome variables, 355–360
    - graphical overview of the effect of kinks in the UI benefit schedule, 350–355
    - identification, review of, 344–345
    - unemployment insurance (UI) benefit schedule in Austria, 348–349
- Reimbursement schemes, 49–54
- Relative punitiveness, 78, 117
- Root-mean-squared error (RMSE), 363, 366, 380n20
- Running variable, 2–3, 5, 13, 19, 21, 23, 57, 80, 82, 149–150, 154–155, 157–158, 282–288, 291–301, 303, 304, 309, 315, 319, 321, 329, 335, 371, 384–388, 390, 392, 396–399
- “Second arrest”, 83, 101, 107
- Sector-specific density of wages, 46
- Selection-on-observables assumption, 3, 164, 211
- Sharp design, “fuzzy”
  - generalization of, 345
- Sharp design treatment effect derivative (TED), 321–322
- Sharp RD designs, bootstrap confidence intervals for. *See* Bootstrap confidence intervals for sharp RD designs
- “Sharp” RKD, 344
- Simulation evidence, 436–440
- Simulation extrapolation (SIMEX) method, 458, 489–490, 492, 496, 498

- Small aggregate units, geo-location of, 157–158
- Smoothness-based RD methods, 155
- Stable unit treatment value assumption (SUTVA), 153, 165, 320, 336
- Standard Plan, 151
- Standard tests for running variable manipulation, 285–286
- Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), 458
- Tax system, 34, 35, 65
- Taylor expansion, 37, 412, 424
- Testing stability of regression discontinuity models, 317
  - covariates, 334
  - empirical examples, 325–334
  - fuzzy design treatment effect derivative (TED) and complier probability derivative (CPD), 322–324
  - literature review, 320–321
  - sharp design treatment effect derivative (TED), 321–322
  - stability, 324–325
  - treatment effect derivative (TED) and MTTE, 335–336
- Test statistic, 61, 63, 284, 287, 289–291
- Threshold, 31–34, 49, 318–320, 326, 327, 329, 330, 331, 333, 335–336, 337
- Treatment effect derivative (TED), 318–319, 324
  - fuzzy design TED and complier probability derivative (CPD), 322–324
  - and MTTE, 335–336
  - sharp design, 321–322
- Treatment effect ratio, 57–58
- Treatment-on-the-treated (TOT), 239, 241, 244, 249, 255, 257, 345
- Triangular kernel function, 61
- Two-stage least squares (2SLS), 331
- Unemployment insurance (UI)
  - benefit schedule in Austria, 348–349
  - graphical overview of the effect of kinks in, 350–355
- Unemployment Insurance (UI) records, 329
- Variance components, 450
- “Victimless” offenses, 96
- Weibull models, 121
- Wild bootstrap, 424, 430, 433, 437, 438
- Wisconsin CHIP program, 151, 152
- Wisconsin–Illinois border, 159, 163, 167–168
  - housing prices at, 172
- Wisconsin–Illinois boundary, 154