INDEX

Ability assessment, 60–62
Academic achievement, present levels of, 120
Academic performance, 144–146, 150–151
Academic skills, development of alternate assessments to assess progress in acquiring, 121–123
Acadience, 26
Accommodations, 63–64, 110, 127
Achievement and Assessment Institute (AAI), 127
Achievement assessments, 62, 147–148
behavioral and affective considerations, 148–150
informal classroom measures, 147–148
language assessment, 148
norm-referenced measures, 147
Acoustics, classroom, 84–85
Active responding systems, 215
Adaptive behavior, 60
assessments, 62–63
deficits in, 60
Adequate yearly progress (AYP), 210
Adjustment, 146, 150
Administrators, 211, 213, 217
African American English (AAE), 170–174
AIMSSWeb, 26
Alternate achievement standards, 121–122, 129
Alternate assessments, 62–63
Alternative assessments on alternative achievement standards (AA-AAS), 13, 64–65, 121–122
American Association of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD), 60
American College Testing (ACT), 4
American Psychological/Psychiatric Association (APA), 60, 104
American Sign Language (ASL), 83
American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA), 75–76
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 184–185, 212–213
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).
   See Motor neuron disease (MND)
Anxiety, 149–150
   disorders, 52–53
Applied Behavior Analysis for Teachers, 50
Aptitude-achievement discrepancy, 22
Aquatics Skills Checklist, 183
Assessment for learning (AFL). See Formative assessments
Assessment(s), 1, 17–18, 59–60, 117–118, 120, 183, 213
   brief experimental analysis, 34–35
   curriculum-based measurement, 6
data-based decision-making, 6–7
data-based individualization, 33–34
designing targeted, data-driven instruction and intervention, 27–30
in educational programs, 145–150
educators role in, 189–190
error analysis, 29–30
evaluating intensive interventions, 32–35
evaluating student achievement, 3
formal assessments, 3–5
framework, 17–18
gated screening, 27
goals, 203
goals/purposes of assessments for students with physical disabilities, 187–188
identifying students, 25–27
informal academic diagnostic assessment, 29
informal assessments, 5–6
making instructional decisions, 2–3
monitoring student progress, 2
performance-based assessments, 7–8
portfolios, 9–10
progress monitoring with curriculum-based assessment, 32
progress monitoring with curriculum-based measurement, 31–32
purposes of, 2–3
quantifying student response to instruction and intervention, 30–32
role in instruction for students with SLD, 24–35
RtI, 11–12
self-assessment, 10–11
situated within socioecological model, 130–131
in special education law and practice, 119–121
STEM principles for alternative assessment, 8–9
strengths-based model, 131–133
for students with physical disabilities and other health impairments, 186–187
teacher evaluation and professional development, 12–14
techniques, 89–90, 192
tools, 18–19, 52–53, 204
type and application, 187
Assistive technology (AT), 91, 93, 103
for students, 98
supports assessment, 112–114
Attainable goals, 174
Attention, 146
Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, 104
Auditory Learning Guide, 83–84
Auditory Skills Checklist, 83–84
Authentic assessments, 14, 182, 186–187, 215
Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), 103
assessment and IEP team, 110–112
assistive technology supports assessment, 112–114
formative assessment, 105–106
summative assessment, 106–110
Bayesian statistics, 127
Beck’s Depression Inventory-II, 52
Behavior rating scales, 48
Behavior Tracker Pro, 124
Behavioral and affective considerations, 148–150
coping and adjustment, 150
disinhibition, 149
impulsivity, 149
mood regulation, 149–150
social functioning, 150
Behavioral observations, 49–50
Behavioral testing, 76–77
Bell curve, 199–200
Best-case scenario, 145
Black, Indigenous, students of color (BISOC), 198
remediating systems and situating BISOC with disabilities as knowledge generators, 202
troubled history of assessment with BISOC with disabilities, 199–202
Blind, 89–90
Blogging platforms, 205
Braille bills, 95–96
Brief experimental analysis (BEA), 32, 34–35
Brockport Physical Fitness Test, 183, 192
Bruininks–Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOT™-2), 183
Bzoch-League Receptive-Expressive Language Test (REEL-2), 79

Career education, 91–93
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 141, 145–146
Certified assistive technology instructional specialists (CATIS), 90, 94
Certified orientation and mobility specialists (COMS), 90, 94
Childhood/children anxiety disorders, 52
with HL, 76, 81–84
with SLD, 21
Children’s Auditory Performance Scale (CHAPS), 83–84
Children’s Depression Rating Scale-Revise, 51
CITE, 83–84
Classroom acoustics, 84–85
informal and ongoing assessments in, 83–84
manager, 124
Clinical Assessment of Articulation and Phonology-2 (CAAP-2), 82
Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals, Fifth Edition (CELF-5), 78–79
Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals Preschool-3 (CELF-P3), 78–79
Cochlear Americas, 83–84
Cognitive assessment. See also Achievement assessment, 146
Cognitive discrepancy hypothesis, 22
Cognitive functioning, 146, 150–151
Collaboration, 8
Communication, 8, 75–76
deficit, 106
social, 104
Competency, 97
measuring competency during observation of student behavior, 97
Comprehensive Assessment of Spoken Language (CASL-2), 78–79
Comprehensive assessment strategies, 83, 125, 128
Comprehensive hearing assessment, 77
Comprehensive speech assessment, 82
Computer-adaptive tests (CATs), 25–26
Concentration, 146
Conceptual skills, 62
Conceptualizations, 210–211
Consortium on Reading Excellence Phonics Survey (CORE-PS), 29
Constructs, 18–19
Contemporary alternate assessment strategies, 126–128
Continuous direct measurement, 123
Coping, 146, 150
Core curriculum assessment, 98
content, 8–9
Cottage Acquisition Scales for Listening, Language, and Speech (CASLLS), 79
Creativity, 8
Criterion-referenced assessments, 79, 81
Criterion-referenced conclusions, 18–19
Criterion-referenced mastery measures, 32
Criterion-referenced tests, 4–5
Criterion-related measures, 44–45
Critical race theory, 198–199
Critical Youth Studies (CYS), 198
Cross-pollinating CSP, 198–199
Culturally sustaining pedagogy (CSP), 198
assessment goals, 203
cross-pollinating CSP and universal design for learning, 198–199
CSP/UDL cross-pollination, 203
liberating assessment, 202
relevant, accessible materials, 205
situated and validating methods, 203–205
troubled history of assessment with BISOC with disabilities, 199–202
Curriculum, 200
educators on designing, 203
UDL, 202
Curriculum-based assessment (CBA), 216–218
educator-made, 30–31
progress monitoring with, 32
Curriculum-based measurements (CBMs), 6, 18, 26, 44–46, 65–66, 120
commercially available, 66
progress monitoring with, 31–32
Curriculum-based measures, 13
Data-based decision-making, 6–7
Data-based individualization (DBI), 32–34
Deaf/hard of hearing (D/HH), 78
classroom acoustics, 84–85
communication, 75–76
criterion-referenced assessments, 79–81
hearing evaluation, 76–78
informal and ongoing assessments in classroom, 83–84
modifications needed for DHH
speech/language evaluation, 82–83
speech and language evaluation, 78
speech assessment, 81–82
standardized assessments, 78–79
Decision rules, 214–215
Deficit models of disability, 118
Depression, 50–51, 149–150
Diagnostic accuracy, 27
Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), 51, 104
Diagnostic interviews, 51
DIBELS, 26
Digital learning products, 216
Direct behavior assessments, 49
Disability, 21
deficit models of, 118
innovative assessment tools and techniques, 213–218
moving forward in age of change, 218–220
notable caveats and limitations, 212–213
students with, 184–185
traditional assessments, 210–211
troubled history of assessment with BISOC with, 199–202
Disability studies in education (DSE), 198–199
Disinhibition, 146, 149
DLM Alternate Assessment (DLMAA), 127–128
Document student learning progress, 216
Domain-related vocabulary, 45
Down syndrome, 190
Dynamic assessment (DA), 21, 23–24, 28, 155–156, 159
application to grammatical markers, 170–171
application to spelling, 165–170
components of dynamic assessment of spelling, 163–165
goal writing from dynamic assessment perspective, 174–176
strengths of, 157
Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), 13, 122–123, 126, 129–130
Dynamic testing, 23–24
Early Listening Function (ELF), 83–84
EasyCBM, 26
Ecobehavioral Classroom Assessment Tool (ECAT), 126
Ecological inventory, 67, 131
Education, 40–41
Educational accountability program, 209–210
Educational audiologists, 78, 84–85
Educational professionals, 98–101
  collaboration, 99
  core curriculum assessment, 98
  family involvement and resources, 99–101
Educational programs
  achievement assessment, 147–148
  assessment in, 145–150
  cognitive assessment, 146
Educational reforms, 104–105
Educators, 12, 17–18, 26–27, 43, 65, 140, 213, 216–217
  role in assessment process, 189–190
  special education, 32–33
Effective teachers, 2
Effective teaching, 5–6
Elementary and Secondary Education Act, 200–201
Emotional and/or behavioral disorders (EBDs), 39, 139–140
  approaches to learning assessment, 44–53
definition and characteristics of, 41–43
definition of ED, 42
inability to learn, 43
issues with identification, 42–43
measurement, 40–41
Emotionally disturbed/disturbance (ED), 39, 42, 53–54
English speech sounds, 75–76
Error analysis, 2, 28–30
Essential elements, 127–129
Ethics, attention to, 8
Evaluative assessment, 60–62
  ability assessments, 61–62
  achievement assessments, 62
  adaptive behavior assessments, 62
Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), 121–122, 184–185
Everyday expertise framework, 205
Evidence-based practices, 155–156
Evidence-based traditional assessment techniques, 103
Executive functioning skills, 110–111, 148–149
Expanded core curriculum (ECC), 90
  areas of assessment within, 90
  strategies and methods for assessing, 91–93
Extensive support needs, 117–118
  assessment in special education law and practice, 119–121
  assessment situated within socioecological model, 130–131
  assessment strengths-based model, 131–133
  comprehensive assessment strategies, 125–128
deficit models of disability, 118
development of alternate assessments to assess progress in acquiring academic skills, 121–123
formative assessment, 128–130
future directions in assessing learners with, 128–133
historical views and techniques for assessing students with, 118–121
intelligence testing, 118–119
progress monitoring efforts for formative assessment, 123–124

Family involvement and resources, 99–101
FastBridge, 26
Fears, physical symptoms and, 52
Federal civil rights laws, 184–185
Federal legislation, 121–122
FITNESSGRAM®, 183, 192
Formal assessments, 3, 5, 7, 82
criterion-referenced tests, 5
methods, 82
norm-referenced tests, 4–5
strategies, 3
Formative assessments, 65, 105–106, 125, 213–214
case study example, 105–106
differences between formative and summative assessment, 108
guide ongoing instruction in inclusive curriculum, 128–130
progress monitoring efforts for, 123–124
strategies with modifications for students with ASDs, 107–108
Formative evaluations, 189
Free appropriate public education (FAPE), 39
Functional academic skills, 91–93
Functional assessment tools, 83–84

Functional performance, present levels of, 120–121
Functional skills, 133
Functional vision assessment (FVA), 94–95
Gated screening, 27
Generalization of skills during observation of student behavior, 97–98
Glaspey Dynamic Assessment of Phonology (GDAP), 159, 162
Goal Attainment Scales (GAS), 125
Goal writing from dynamic assessment perspective, 174–176
Goal-setting process, 174
Goldman Fristoe Test of Articulation-3 (GFTA-3), 82
Grade-Pad Mobile Performance Assessment, 124
Graduated prompt approach, 158
Graduated prompting, 162, 166–167, 170
Grammatical Analysis of Elicited Language, Pre-Sentence Level (GAEL-P), 79
Grammatical markers, 170–174
application of dynamic assessment to, 170–171
goal writing from dynamic assessment perspective, 174–176
Jordan, 173–174
Krista, 173
MLE, 171–173
test-teach-retest method, 171
Habit of mind process, 8–9
Health impairments, 183
assessments for students with physical disabilities and, 186–187
Hearing, 75–76
   evaluation, 76–78
   thresholds, 76–77
Hearing loss (HL), 75–76
   domains of language as related to, 77
High-stakes decisions, 18–19
tests, 210
High-states testing, 213
Hypothetical students, 157

Impulsivity, 149
Inability to learn, 43
Inappropriate behavior, 47–48
Independent living skills, 91–93
Indiana Learning Evaluation Assessment Readiness Network (ILEARN), 63
Individual with intellectual disability, 43
Individualized approach, 140
Individualized education program/plan (IEP), 5–6, 42, 64, 104, 119, 144, 185, 210–211
   assessment and IEP team, 110–112
   child, 28
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 21, 43, 60, 95–96, 104–105, 118, 139–140, 183, 210–211
Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA), 41, 89–90
Inductive reasoning, 23
Informal academic diagnostic assessment, 28–29
Informal assessments. See also Formal assessments, 5–7, 82
   in classroom, 83–84
   measures, 147–148
   methods, 82
   techniques, 103, 117–118, 183–184
Innovative assessments. See also Instructional assessments, 68–71
   active responding systems, 215
   curriculum-based assessment, 216–218
   learning journals/logs, 215
   number talks, 68–69
   performance/authentic assessment systems, 216
   portfolio assessment, 216
   progress monitoring systems, 214
   response-to-intervention model, 214–215
   sexuality education, 69–71
   soft skills, 71
   technology-based performance assessments, 216
   think-alouds, 215–216
   tools and techniques, 213–218
Innovative formative assessment, 105
Innovative progress monitoring techniques, 9
Innovative techniques, 188, 192
Instructional assessments. See also Innovative assessments, 63–67
   CBMs, 65–66
   ecological inventory, 67
   formative assessments, 65
   levels of accessibility, 64
   performance assessments, 65
   standardized assessments, 63–65
   summative assessments, 65
   transition assessments, 66–67
Instructional decision making, 2–3
Instructional discrepancy hypothesis, 22
Instructional planning methods, 12
Instructional strategies, 216
Intellectual disability, 60
   evaluative assessment, 60–62
   innovative assessments, 68–71
instructional assessments, 63–67
preference assessments, 67–68
students with, 60
traditional assessments, 60–68
Intelligence quotient (IQ), 22, 60, 119, 199–200
IQ-achievement discrepancy process, 22–23
Intelligence testing, 118–119
Interpretation of medical eye report, 94
Interviewing techniques, 204
Interviews, 47–49, 51–52
Justice, purpose of, 203
KeyMath3, 3–4
Language, 76
assessment, 148
evaluation, 78
Learning
journals/logs, 215
process, 157
situate student within learning environment, 126
Learning assessment
approaches to, 44–53
behavior rating scales, 48
behavioral observations, 49–50
characteristics and duration, 52
criterion-related measures, 44–45
curriculum-based measurement, 45–46
depression, 50–51
inappropriate behavior, 47–48
interviews, 48–49, 51–52
norm-referenced assessment, 44
physical symptoms and fears, 52
tools, 52–53
unsatisfactory interpersonal relationships, 46–47
Learning disabilities (LD), 8, 21, 104, 139–140
assessment for students with SLD, 19
assessment framework, 18
assessment role in instruction for students with SLD, 24–35
SLD and approaches to disability identification, 21–24
types and common uses of assessment tools, 20
Learning media assessments (LMAs), 95–96
Least restrictive environment (LRE), 41
Letter–word identification, 147
Liberating assessment, 202
Listening Inventory for Education Revised (LIFE-R), 83–84
Local Education Authority (LEA), 185
Lou Gehrig’s disease. See Motor neuron disease (MND)
Low vision, 89–90
MacArthur-Bates Communication Development Inventory (CDI), 79
Making Action Plans (MAPS), 132
Mastery measures, 45
Mathematics, 68–69
Measurement, 40–41
need for, 41
Measures of academic progress (MAP), 26
Mediated learning experience (MLE), 171–173
Medical and physical issues, 146
Medical eye report, interpretation of, 94
Medical personnel, 141
Memory, 146
Mental ability assessments, 61–62
Mental graphemic representations (MGRs), 164
Mental orthographic images (MOIs), 164
Mental orthographic memory, 164–165
Michigan Student Test of Educational Progress (M-STEP), 63
Mild hearing loss, 75–76
Mild TBI (mTBI), 140–141
1-min oral reading fluency test, 27
Moderate hearing loss, 75–76
Moderately severe hearing loss, 75–76
Mood regulation, 146, 149–150
Morphological awareness, 164
Morphology, 76, 164
MotivAider app, 124
Motor development skills, 187
Motor neuron disease (MND), 182
Multicomponent assessment, 125–126
Multifaceted assessment, 125
Multiple assessment techniques, 182
Multiple learning domains, 189–190

National Center and State Collaborative (NCSC), 13
National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII), 29
National Council of Teachers of Mathematics Assessment Principle (NCTM Assessment Principle), 211
National Professional Development Center on ASD, 114
No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB Act), 210
Noise, 84
Nonbiased evaluation process, 157
Nonverbal tests of intelligence, 128
Norm-referenced assessments, 44, 129
Norm-referenced conclusions, 18–19
Norm-referenced measures, 147
Norm-referenced tests, 4–5
Number talks, 68–70

Observation of student behavior, 96–98
generalization of skills during, 97–98
measuring competency during, 97
real-world context, 96–97
Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), 126
Omission, 81–82
“One-size-fits all” assessment, 219
Optimism, 8
Oral and Written Language Scales (OWLS), 78–79
Orientation and mobility skills (O&M skills), 91–93
Orthographic knowledge, 164
Orthography, 164
Orthopedic impairments, 183

Paper-and-pencil tests, 211
Paradigm shift, 40
Parent report measures, 52–53
Passage Comprehension, 147
Patterns of strengths and weaknesses (PSW), 22
Pencil-and-paper test, 219–220
Performance/authentic assessment systems, 7–8, 65, 216
Person-centered planning approaches, 132–133
Personal Futures Planning, 132
Phonemes, 75–76
Phonemic awareness, 164
Phonological awareness, 164
Phonological disorder, 82
Phonology, 76, 164
Physical disabilities, 182
Abu case, 190–193
annual goals, 191–192
assessment and innovative techniques, 192
assessments for students with physical disabilities and health impairments, 186–187
collaborative team members, 192
educators role in assessment process, 189–190
goals/purposes of assessments for, 187–188
laws/regulations/policies, 184–186
motor characteristics, 191
program evaluation, 192–193
short-term objectives, 192
social implications, 190
specific planning, 191
students with, 183
top-down approach, 191
top-down approach, 191
traditional and innovative assessment techniques, 184
Planning Alternative Tomorrows with Hope (PATH), 132
Portfolios, 9–10
assessment, 216
uses, 9–10
Practical skills, 62
Preference assessments, 67–68
Preschool Language Scale-5 (PLS-5), 78–79
Preschool S.I.F.T.E.R., 79
Present level of performance (PLP), 189
Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), 17–18, 28
Presently Pro, 124
Problem-solving approach, 32–33
Product-oriented fashion, 174
Professional development, 12, 14, 184
Professional educators, 187, 189
Professional measurement process, 13
Profound hearing loss, 75–76
Program Quality Measurement Tool, 126
Progress monitoring efforts for formative assessment, 123–124
systems, 214–215
Public Law (PL), 199–200
Purposes for assessment, 18
Push-up test, 192
Race and disability, 198
Rating scales, 47
Real-time data, 215
Real-world context, 96–97
Recovery time, 142–143
Recreation and leisure skills, 91–93
Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 184–185
Reliability, 18–19
Research-based practices, 210
Research-based intervention, 22–23, 214–215
Response to intervention model (RTI model), 11–12, 22, 214–215
Scaffolding, 158
Scholastic assessment test (SAT), 4
School-based assessment, 218–219
School-based social network form, 126
Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM), 8
principles for alternative assessment, 8–9
Scientific method, 40
Screening Instrument for Targeting Educational Risk (S.I.F.T.E.R), 79
Self-assessment, 10–11
reasons for, 10–11
Self-determination skills, 91–93
Self-directed learning, 9–10
Self-evaluation, 10
Self-report measures, 52–53
Semantic knowledge, 164
Semantics, 76, 164
Semi-structured interviews, 52–53
Sensitivity, 41
Sensory efficiency skills, 91–93
Sentence Reading Fluency, 147
Sexuality education, 69–71
Single word articulation assessments, 82
Situate student within learning environment, 126
SKI-HI Language Development Scale, 79
Skills, 6
  competency measurement, 97
  generalization during observation of student behavior, 97–98
  progression, 183
Social exchange, 75
Social functioning, 146, 150
Social implications, 190
Social interaction skills, 91–93
Social skills, 62
Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS), 125
Society of Health and Physical Educators (SHAPE), 182
Socioecological model, assessment situated within, 130–131
Soft skills, 71
Special education (SPED), 199–201, 214–215
determining eligibility for, 21–24
dynamic assessment and dynamic testing, 23–24
TBI and, 140–141
teachers, 124
assessment in, 119–121
present levels of academic achievement, 120
present levels of functional performance, 120–121
Specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and timely framework (SMART framework), 174
Specific learning disabilities (SLDs), 17–18, 20–21, 24
and approaches to disability identification, 21–24
assessment role in instruction for students with, 24–35
determining eligibility for special education services, 21–24
Specificity, 41
Speech, 75–76
  assessment, 81–82
case examples, 157–176
evaluation, 78
grammatical markers and African American English, 170–174
intelligibility, 82
and language disorders, 155–156
limitations of static assessment, 156
spelling, 162–170
strengths of dynamic assessment, 157
Speech recognition thresholds (SRTs), 77
Speech sounds, 158–162
  application of dynamic assessment, 159
  overview of GDAP, 159–162
Speech-language pathologists (SLPs), 78–79, 155–156
Spelling, 162–170
  application of dynamic assessment to spelling, 165–170
Bella, 166–170
components of dynamic assessment, 163–165
mental orthographic memory, 164–165
morphology, 164
orthography, 164
phonology, 164
semantics, 164
Spontaneity, 97–98
Standardized assessments, 63, 65, 78–80
Standardized tests, 3–4, 209–210, 218–219
Standards-based reform, 104–105
Static assessment, limitations of, 156
Strengths-based assessment, 131–133
considerations, 125–126
ccontemporary alternate assessment
strategies, 126–128
multicomponent assessment,
125–126
situate student within learning
environment, 126
Stroop color–word interference test,
149
Structured interviewing techniques,
51
Structured interviews, 52–53
Student(s)
achievement evaluation, 3
with ASDs, 103
growth percentile, 13
identification, 41
with intellectual disability, 60
learning objectives, 13
portfolio, 9
progress, 2, 6–7
quantifying student response
to instruction and
intervention, 30–32
self-monitoring, 124
Substitution, 81–82
Summative assessments, 65, 106,
110, 123, 125, 213–214
differences between formative
assessment and, 108
modifications for students with
autism, 109
strategies with modifications for
students with ASDs, 111
Summative evaluations, 189
Supports Intensity Scale-Children
(SIS-C), 125
Syntax, 76
Systems thinking, 8
Teacher Assessment of Grammatical
Structures (TAGS), 79
Teachers, 46, 215
accountability and assessment,
13–14
evaluation, 12–14
observation, 13
Teachers of deaf (ToD), 78–79
Teachers of students with visual
impairments (TVIs), 90, 94
Teaching
practices, 3
teaching–learning process, 184
Teamwork, 99
Technology
supports, 113
technology-based performance
assessments, 216
Test of Auditory Comprehension of
Language, Fourth Edition
(TACL-4), 78–79
Test of Gross Motor Development
(TGMD-3), 183
Test of Integrated Language and
Literacy Skills (TILLS),
78–79
Test-teach-retest method, 170–171
Testlets, 129
Test–teach–test DA models, 24
Text-to-speech apps, 113
Think-alouds, 215–216
Tiered instruction, 214–215
TikTok app, 205
Top-down approach, 191
Tracking Listening Child, 83–84
Index

Traditional assessments, 210–211
   approaches, 198
   materials, 205
   techniques, 183–184
Traditional formative assessment, 105
Traditional learning, 75
Transition assessments, 66–67
Traumatic brain injuries (TBI), 139–140
   assessment in educational programs, 145–150
   impact on family, 143–145
   outcomes, 142–145
   prevalence, 141
   recovery time, 142–143
   and special education, 140–141
   specific symptoms, 142
Trial-based preference assessments, 67–69

Unethical test preparation approaches, 213
Unexpected underachievement, 21
Universal design for learning (UDL), 8–9, 11, 198–199
Universal screening, 18, 25–27, 214–215
Unsatisfactory interpersonal relationships, 46–47
Unstructured interviews, 51

Validity, 18–19
Verbal communicators, 76
Vision professionals, 99

Vision-related assessments for students with visual impairments, 90–96
FVA, 94–95
interpretation of medical eye report, 94
LMAs, 95–96
Visual impairments, 89–90
   areas of assessment within ECC, 90
   diverse population, 89–90
   observations, 96–98
   roles of educational professionals, 98–101
   vision-related assessments for students with, 90–96

Well-written goals, 174
Woodcock Reading Mastery Test-III, 3–4
Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement IV, 3–4
Woodcock–Johnson IV Tests of Oral Language (WJ-IV OL), 148
Woodcock–Johnson Tests of Achievement, 123
Woodcock–Johnson-IV (WJ-IV), 147
Word identification fluency probe, 27
Word recognition score (WRS), 77
WordPress, 205

Youth Participatory Action Research (YPAR), 198

Zone of proximal development, 157