

INDEX

- Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), 28
- Administradoras de Fondos de Pensiones* (AFPs), 161–162
- Adult equivalent labor days (AELD), 71–73
- Age discrimination, 198–199
- AGE LASSO, 200
- Age pay gap (APG), 195–196
 - data, 203–206
 - decomposition approach, 201–202
 - decomposition outcome, 209–212
 - descriptive statistics, 219–220
 - estimation approach, 200–202
 - grounds of age, 198
 - model selection, 200–201, 207–208
 - results, 207–212
 - robustness checks, 212–214
 - selected controls, 221
- Ageism, 198–199
- Agricultural Growth Program (AGP), 69–70
- Agricultural labor
 - in Ethiopia, 62
 - studies, 75
 - supply, 75, 87
- American Medical Association (AMA), 33
- Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC), 34–35
- Assortative mating
 - data, 10–11
 - educational homogamy, 11–17
 - equilibrium matching, 4
 - homogamy and, 5
 - over life cycle, 17–19
 - measuring changes in, 8–10
 - measuring changes in homogamy, 6–8
 - positive, 1–2
 - rank-order correlation coefficient, 2
 - regression and reverse regression, 19–21
 - theories of marriage, 3
- Asymmetric information, 233–238
- Bayesian Equilibrium, 233
- BSWS cross-sectional survey, 114
- Bureau of Labor Statistics
 - administrative employment data, 110
- Business creation, 254
 - business types associated with opportunity *vs.* necessity entrepreneurship, 279–282
 - consistency with theoretical model, 261–262
 - data, 263
 - empirically defining necessity and opportunity entrepreneurship, 256–260
 - entrepreneurship in CPS, 263–264
 - entrepreneurship in German Micro Census and SOEP, 264
 - exploring empirical validity of definitions, 262–278
 - local economic conditions in United States, 275–276
 - national trends in Germany, 269
 - national trends in United States, 264–268
 - new opportunity entrepreneurship rate and unemployment rates, 288–289
 - quits, 258
 - regression results using local unemployment rates in United States, 277

- regression results using national unemployment rates, 273–274
 - results using local unemployment rates in Germany, 278
 - West and East Germany, 270–272
- Card, Kruger, Giuliano wage gap (CKG wage gap), 117
- Central Minimum Wage Council, 113, 119
- Changes-in-changes approach (CIC approach), 29
- Cobb–Douglas production function (C-D production function), 75–76, 80–81, 164
- Colombian Institute of Family Welfare. *See Instituto Colombiano de Bienestar Familiar* (ICBF)
- Colombian labor market regulations, 158
- Colombian tax reform (2012), 154
- Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing techniques (CAPI techniques), 71–73
- Concave utility function, 164–165
- Consumer demand, 261–262
- Contiguous county-pair data, 110–111
- Contract arrangement, 224–225
- Contributory social benefits (CSB), 163, 167
- Control variables, 196–197
- Cross-sectional datasets, 256–258
- Cross-sectional individual micro-data, 112
- Cross-wage effect, 86
- Current Population Survey (CPS), 28, 34, 49–50, 258
 - entrepreneurship in, 263–264
- Current wage cost, offsetting increase in, 133
- Decomposition approach, 201–202
- Deferred compensation model, 196–197, 203–205
- Descriptive statistics
 - APG, 219, 220
 - household characteristics, 73
 - youth, 73
 - youth labor time contribution, 73–74
- Difference-in-difference approach (DID), 39–42, 155
- Double robust LASSO procedure, 200
- Double robust selection procedure, 201
- Dualistic models, 156–157
- East Germany, national trends in, 270–272
- Econometric analysis, empirical findings from, 125–141
 - changes in employment flows, 138–141
 - effects on total wages, 128–133
 - minimum wage impacts on full-and part-time workers, 133–138
 - offsetting increase in current wage cost, 133
 - results, 125–128
 - robustness checks for main results, 128
- Econometric estimates, 169–182
 - informality before and after reform, 171
 - regression models and estimates, 173–180
 - results based on panel data, 180–182
 - results based on repeated cross sections, 171–180
- Econometric-based simulations, 155–156, 182–184
- Economic Census, 114
- Economic incentives, 64–65, 88–89, 92
- Educational homogamy, 11–17

- Elasticity of employment, 128
- Employment. *See also*
 - Unemployment
 - changes in employment flows, 138–141
 - elasticity of, 128
- Employment Status Survey, 111–112
- Encuesta Longitudinal Colombiana (ELCA)*, 169–170
- Enforcement, 155
 - of MW, 158
 - of payroll tax, 164
- Entrepreneurs, 259
- Entrepreneurship, 254–256
 - in CPS, 263–264
 - in German Micro Census and SOEP, 264
- Enumeration areas (EAs), 69–70
- Equilibrium, 166–167
 - wage, 229–230
- Establishment-based data, 109–110
- Establishment-based micro-data, 112
- Establishment-based panel dataset, 108
- Ethiopia
 - agricultural labor in, 62
 - agricultural production, 96
 - data collection, 69–73
 - descriptive statistics, 73–74
 - econometric results, 79–90
 - effect of wage differentials, 103–105
 - empirical analysis, 75–79
 - estimation of labor supply, 97–98
 - female youth labor supply, 87–88
 - instruments and FE-IV estimates, 88–90
 - male youth labor supply, 83–87
 - migrants and nonmigrants, 95
 - on-farm and off-farm youth labor supply, 101–102
 - robustness, 90
 - shadow wages approach, 63
 - testing for separability, 79–83
 - theoretical model, 65–69
 - trends, 88
 - youth labor supply, 64
- European Commission, 198–199
- European Union (EU), 195–196
- Fertility, 29, 49–50, 52
- Firms, 153–154, 157, 229
 - demand, 261–262
 - firm-specific human capital, 232
 - strategy set, 229–230
- First Job Act, 162
- Fixed effects model (FEs model), 79, 196, 201
- Formal firms, 157
- Formal-informal size dualism, 156
- Fraction of Minimum Wage Workers (FMW), 111
- Full-Time Equivalent Employment (FTE), 108–109
- Full-time workers, MW impacts on, 133–138
 - on outcomes of full-time workers, 136–138
 - on outcomes of part-time workers, 138
 - relative impact of minimum wage increase, 133–136
- Gelbach decomposition, 196–197, 200, 206, 209–210, 213
- Gender
 - differences, 27–30, 50–53
 - discrimination, 196
- German Micro Census, 263
 - entrepreneurship in, 264
- Germany
 - national trends in, 269
 - results using local unemployment rates in, 278
- Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM), 254–255, 259
- Gran Encuesta Integrada de Hogares (GEIH)*, 169, 182

- Great Depression, 254
Great Recession, 254, 268
- Healthcare system, 160
- Hirsch, Kaufman, Zelenska wage gap (HKZ wage gap), 117
- Homogamy
and assortative mating, 5
measuring changes in, 6–8
regressions of, 16
- Household
characteristics, 73–74
income, 164–166
survey panel dataset, 155–156
- Human capital
accumulation, 223–224, 227
model, 196–197
theory, 224–225
- Identification strategy, 117–119
- Incumbent firm, 230–231, 233
- Individual time-constant
heterogeneity, 212–213
- Individual-level heterogeneity, 206
- Industrial minimum wages, 113
- Informal employment, 153–154
- Informal firms, 157
- Informal labor markets, 156
- Informal workers, 153–154
- Informality, 153–155, 157, 167–169
before and after reform, 171, 180–182
- INKAR database, 263–264
- Instituto Colombiano de Bienestar Familiar* (ICBF), 161–162
- Intercept-shift approach, 213
- Inverse Mills ratio, 202
- Japanese minimum wage system, 113–120
analysis methods, 119–120
data source, 114–117
identification strategy, 117–119
minimum wage system in Japan, 113
- Job assignment, 229–230, 235
- Job tenure, 248
job tenure-nonpromotion-wage relation, 241–244
job tenure-wage profile, 240
- Job-level tenure, 224
- Kaitz indices, 112
- Keio household panel survey, 112
- Labor market
equilibrium, 166–167
heterogeneities, 196–197
households, 164–166
minimum wage, 158–159
model, 154–156, 163–169
profits, 164
reform, informality, and simulations, 167–169
regulations, 158–160
social security, 159–160
- Labor supply
estimation, 97–98
female youth, 87–88
male youth, 83–87
youth, 64
- Lazear's model, 203–205
- Least Absolute Shrinkage Operator (LASSO), 207–209
- Lehman shock, 123
- Life-cycle human capital model, 203–205
- Lifetime job security, 115–116
- Linear Probability Model (LPM), 174
- Linear probability regressions, 273
- Local economic conditions in United States, 275–276
regression results using, 277
- Local Minimum Wage Councils, 113
- Local unemployment rates in Germany, 278

- Long-term labor supply
 difference-in-differences approach, 39–42
 distributional effects, 46–47
 estimated mean effects, 42–45
 threats to identification, 45–46
- Longitudinal Colombian Survey.
See Encuesta Longitudinal Colombiana (ELCA)
- Machine-learning approach, 196, 200
- Major Integrated Household Survey.
See Gran Encuesta Integrada de Hogares (GEIH)
- Market heterogeneity, 126
- Mills ratio, 209
- Minimum wage (MW), 108, 155, 158–159
 change in log average employment and treatment intensity, 148–149
 change in log hourly wage, 146
 confirmation of regional and yearly variation of minimum wage, 124–125
 effect on employment, 108
 empirical findings from econometric analysis, 125–141
 empirical findings from simple graphical analysis, 120–125
 gap index, 118
 inspecting time-oriented and regional heterogeneity of data, 120–123
- Japanese minimum wage system, 113–120
 prefectural minimum wages, 150–151
 probability density of change in log average employment, 147
 related literature, 110–112
- Minimum Wage Act, 109, 113
- Modeling informality, 156–157
- National Action Plan for Employability of young people, 203
- National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), 265
- National Service of Learning. *See Servicio Nacional de Aprendizaje (SENA)*
- National unemployment rates, regression results using, 273–274
- Necessity entrepreneurship, 254–260
 business types associated with, 279–282
- Noncompensating wage differentials, 196, 207–208
- Noncontributory social benefits (NCSB), 163
- Nonpromotion signals and job tenure analysis, 230–238
 data and tests, 238–248
 full information model with T-periods, 230–233
 model, 227–230
 model with asymmetric information, 233–238
- Nonpromotion wage, 238
- Not engaged in Education, Employment, or Training (NEET), 197
- Oaxaca and Blinder method, 196
- Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition, 201
- Omitted variable bias (OVB), 202
- Opportunity entrepreneurship, 254–260
 business types associated with, 279–282

- Ordinary least squares model (OLS model), 119–120, 174, 200–201, 241
- ‘Outside firms’ wage, 234
- Part-time workers, MW impacts on, 133–138
 on outcomes of full-time workers, 136–138
 on outcomes of part-time workers, 138
 relative impact of minimum wage increase, 133–136
- Payroll taxes, 156–157, 161–163
- Pearson correlation coefficient (r), 8–10
- Pension and Parafiscals Management Unit (UGPP), 161–162
- Pension system, 159–160
- Perceived discrimination, 197–198
- Persistence hypothesis, 32–33
- Physician labor supply, 27–28
 CIC approach, 29
 conceptual framework, 32–34
 CPS, 28
 data construction and summary statistics, 34–37
 effectiveness of reform, 37–39
 long-term labor supply, 39–47
 mechanisms, 49–53
 physician work-hour regulations, 30–32
- Physician work-hour regulations, 30–32
- Plan de Impulso a la Productividad y el Empleo (PIPE)*, 162
- Plan to Boost Productivity and Employment. *See* *Plan de Impulso a la Productividad y el Empleo (PIPE)*
- Positive assortative mating, 1–2
- Prefectural minimum wages, 113, 119
- Productivity, 156
- Profits, 164
- Promotion dynamics, 226
- Promotion-as-signal framework, 223–224, 226
- Public pay-as-you-go scheme, 160
- Random-effects (RE), 79
- Reform, 167–169
- Regional economic shocks, 119
- Regional heterogeneity of data, 120–123
- Regression analysis, 108
- Regression coefficients, 127
- Regression model, 19–21, 109
 and estimates, 173–180
- Relative impact of minimum wage increase, 133–136
- Relative wage gap indices, 118
- Repeated cross sections (RCS), 155
- Reverse regression, 19–21
- Revised Minimum Wage Act (2007), 119
- “Roaring 90s”, 254
- Robustness checks, 212–214
- Self-employed workers, 159, 164
- Self-employment, 259
- Self-fulfilling prophecy, 199–200
- Servicio Nacional de Aprendizaje (SENA)*, 161–162
- Shadow income, 78
- Shadow wages, 63–69, 75, 77–78, 83, 86, 88–90
- Signaling of promotion, 238
- Simulations, 167–169
- Sistema de Identificación de Potenciales Beneficiarios de Programas Sociales (SISBEN)*, 160
- Social protection, 156
- Social security, 159–160
 healthcare, 160
 pension system, 159–160
 reform, 158

- Socio-economic Panel (SOEP),
260, 263, 282
entrepreneurship in, 264
- South Nations and Nationality People
(SNNP), 69–70
- Spatial Planning Regions (SPRs), 263
- Spearman rank-order correlation
coefficient, 8
- Specification, 109
- Standard two-way fixed model, 112
- Stereotype correction process, 200
- Stereotype threat, 199–200
- T-periods, full information model
with, 230–233
- Tax reform in Colombia, 161–163
econometric estimates, 169–182
econometric-based simulations,
182–184
empirical background, 157–158
histograms of hourly wages, 187
labor market model, 163–169
labor market regulations, 158–160
labor statistics, 191
literature review, 156–158
modeling informality, 156–157
payroll taxes and 2012 tax reform,
161–163
replication exercise, 192–193
- Taxes
effects on labor markets, 157
on firms' profits, 161
payroll, 156–157
- Tenure-wage equation, 238
- Time-oriented data, 120–123
- Traditional two-way fixed effect,
110–111
- Treatment Intensity, 117
- Triple differences-type estimator
(DDD-type estimator), 119
- Underdeveloped economy, 154
- Unemployment. *See also*
Employment
rates in Germany, 278, 289
rates in United States, 277
status, 260
- Unidad de Gestión Pensional y
Parafiscales (UGPP)*,
155–156
- United States
local economic conditions in,
275–276
national trends in, 264–268
regression results using local
unemployment rates in,
277
- US Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS),
264–265
- US Panel Study of Income Dynamics
(PSID), 263
- Value-added tax (VAT), 163
- Wage. *See also* Minimum wage (MW)
gap, 117
nonpromotion, 238
wage-job-tenure profile, 226, 238
- WAGE LASSO, 200
- West Germany, national trends in,
270–272
- Work ethic, 199
- Workers, 229
informal, 153–154
productivity, 224–225
self-employed, 159, 164
young, 199–200
- Youth, 73
labor supply, 83–88
labor time contribution, 73–74