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Abstract

Purpose – This paper aims to investigate seven prominent translations of the Qur’anic verb-noun collocations into English (Pickthall, 1930; A-Hilali and Khan, 1977; Ali, 1934; Arberry, 1955; Shakir, 1999; Sarwar, 1981; Saheeh International, 1997) to unfold their renditions of the style and meaning of such Qur’anic verb-noun collocation into English.

Design/methodology/approach – The study follows a corpus-based research in a sense that the study is conducted on seven translations of the Noble Qur’an that have been taken form The Qur’anic Arabic Corpus, using linguistic and exegetical analyses. Based on Reiss’ model of text analysis (2000), the author analyses the intralinguistic and extralinguistic features of the Qur’anic verb-noun collocations.

Findings – Findings reveal that linguistic and exegetical analyses are perquisites for adequate rendition that prevents deviation in meaning and translation loss. It is also found that Qur’anic collocations use unique literary techniques and devices, which hinder their natural and adequate renditions into English.

Originality/value – This novelty of this study lies in studying the architectural design of the Qur’anic verb-noun collocations in terms of the unique selection of words and style. Such unique architectural design of such collocations creates monumental hindrances in their rendition into other languages, which have not been given due attention in translation studies.
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Introduction

Collocations play an efficient role in effective use of languages whose use reflects a natural use of a language. Besides, they have a cohesive function and generate a special meaning that is different from the meaning of its constituent parts (Izwaini, 2016). Knowledge of collocation is crucial for a translator in both the source language (SL) and target language (TL) as it enables translators to convey the stylistic features of the SL text to TL readership. Newmark (1988, p. 125) compares between text and human body, “ liken grammar to skeleton, words to flesh and collocation to tendons that connect them to one another”. The role of collocation in translation lies in communicating the message of the source text (ST) to the TL readers effectively, having the same effect on the TL readership as it does the ST on the SL readership (Ghazala, 2008). Newmark (1988, p. 180) states that the translator:
Therefore, adhering to the collocational conventions of the target language means achieving naturalness of the translation (i.e. idiomaticity and nativelikeness) (Newmark, 1988).

Translation of collocations in the Noble Qur’an is more problematic than translation of collocations in other types of genre due to the special context of the Qur’an and its unique linguistic features (Abdul-Raof, 2018). The Noble Qur’an uses unique literary techniques and stylistic features in conveying its message, making its translation well-nigh impossible (Qassem, 2020; Allaithy, 2020; Mir, 1988; Sadiq, 2008; Abdul-Raof, 2004). Research on translation of the Qur’anic collocations does not receive due attention in translation studies; hence, this study is dedicated to the study of the prominent of translations of verb-noun collocations in the light of linguistic and exegetical knowledge of the Noble Qur’an. To the best of the author’s knowledge, only sparse studies on this area of research exit (Alshajea, 2014; Dweik and Abu Shakra, 2011; Bahumaid, 2006; Ghazala, 2004, 2008; Alrabiah et al., 2014; Obeidat et al., 2020; Hassan and Menacere, 2020). However, the focus of these studies was on the problems and the procedures of translating collocation rather than offering linguistic and exegetical analyses of the translations of collocations. The novelty in this study lies in studying linguistically and exegetically the prominent translations of the verb noun-collocations of the Noble Qur’an into English to reveal the extent translators are successful in conveying the style and meaning of the verb-noun collocations to TL readers.

**Literature review**

Collocation is one of the semantic features, namely a phraseological level (Farghal and Almanna, 2015). It is a result of arbitrary relation between the node and the collocate. This relation between the node and the collocate forms a regular pattern, which is “a characteristic of the lexical profile of a language” (Crystal and Davy, 1969, p. 56). Stubbs (2002, p. 225) defines collocations as “semantic relations between the node and collocates.” He (2001b, p. 14) adds that collocations are “combinations of words in phrases are […] a good candidate for the basic unit of language in use. Collocations are also defined as “the habitual –co-occurrence of individual lexical items” (Crystal, 1991 cited in Newmark, 1988).

Ghazala (1995, p. 106) defines collocations as “a combination of two or more words that always occur together consistently in different texts and contexts in languages”. Martyriiska (2004, p. 2) states that the term “collocation” has its origin in the Latin verb “collocare” which means to set to arrange. Based on such definitions, collocations could be defined as formulaic expressions that have a regular pattern and do not change in different contexts.

Linguists classify collocations according to their word order into different types. The most common types are:

- Adjective + noun: flawless argument, net weight, immaculate performance, etc.;
- Noun+ noun: Brain drain, death sentence, status quo;
- Verb+ noun: deliver a verdict, attend a lecture, exert an effort, pass a law, deliver news, etc.; and
- Adjective + adjective: hale and hearty, alive and kicking, well and good, etc.

Hausmann (1989, p. 1010), for example, divides collocations into six types:

1. adjective + noun (*heavy smoker*);
2. (subject-)noun + verb (*storm – rage*);
Translation procedures
Translation procedures are linguistic decisions used in solving a translation problem, which may take different forms depending on the aim of translation techniques: translation of the sense, linguistic transcodification, modification of semiotic and communicative categories and philological (academic or critical translation) (Hurtado Albir and Alves, 2009). Olk (2013) classifies translation procedures into “transference”, “transference + explicitation”, “transference + explanation”, “neutral explanation”, “omission” and “cultural substitution”. Ghazala (2008) classifies translation procedures, drawing on Nida (1988) into cultural equivalent, cultural correspondence, accepted translation, naturalisation, general sense, transcription, etc.

In translating the Noble Qur’an, the translator should choose a translation procedure that prevents abandonment of semantic deviation (wrong denotative meaning), overtranslation (giving more details than its corresponding TL unit) and “undertranslation” (giving less detail and is more general than the original (Newmark, 1988, pp. 284–5). Olk (2013) argues that explicitation and explanation strategies are one of the most important strategies in translating cultural signs. Mailhac (1977) argues that context guides the translator in detecting the meaning of words, phrases and sentences in a text. The translator should consider the context when searching for the meaning of SL words in dictionaries and producing the SL meaning into the TL. Recognizing the context enables the translator to identify the areas that entail explanation, omission, explicitation and cultural equivalent, thereby making the right decisions. He adds that that the less informed the reader, the greater the amount of information required.

Katan (2012) argues that in spite of the effort Eugene Nida put in allowing readers to read and respond to the Bible in translation in the way the gospel writers had originally intended, Nida said “Reader response can never be identical to the original due to different historical, cultural and environmental contexts” (1964, p. 159). Accordingly, Katan (2012) suggested that the translator should try to reduce the difference between the SL and TL through use of appropriate translation procedures.

Reiss (2000) determines the main functions of texts (i.e. informative, expressive and operative texts). These three functions should be considered by translators in the rendition process. Informative texts require explicit statements, while expressive texts necessitate adherence to the SL author perspective, and aesthetics should be considered during rendition. Further, operative texts require an appropriate translation according to the way the intended audience is assumed to respond to the text. According to EL-Zeiny (2011), the translator should adhere to the informative level in translating the Noble Qur’an at the expenses of other levels (i.e. expressive and aesthetic). The main function of the Qur’anic text is to inform (teach and preach), though it is a linguistic miracle. One may ask: What about the linguistic features of the Qur’an? Translators should consider these linguistic features in their translation as they carry meaning and stylistic effect. If these linguistic features are untranslatable, translators should deliver the meanings the linguistic features carry (Qassem, 2020).
The Noble Qur’ān uses unique use of collocation in the selection of lexis, figure of speech and novel composition, which creates barriers before translators in the process of comprehension and re-production. Accordingly, this study aims to investigate the problematic areas that are encountered in the seven current translations of Noble Qur’ān verb-noun collocations (i.e. Pickthall, 1930; Al-Hilali, and Khan, 1977; Ali 1934; Arberry, 1955; Shakir, 1999; Sarwar, 1981; Saheeh International, 1997) into English and the translation procedures used by translators to overcome such problematic areas. Besides, the study is devoted to reveal to what extent translation procedures used by translators are successful in delivering the meaning and stylistic features of the collocations of the Noble Qur’ān into English. The Qur’ānic text is rich in its vocabulary, morphology and syntactic structures. Its language is unique in its eloquence and style, which differentiate it from any other classical Arabic text.

Obeidat et al. (2020) provided a new fairly detailed analysis of the features and classifications of the Qur’ānic collocations. They found that collocations can be classified semantically into acts of worship collocations, divine collocations and rhetorical collocations. Each group of those collocations has its syntactic patterns that should be studied deeply. Hassan and Menacere (2020) examined a sample of the Qur’ānic collocations focusing on five selected English translations of the Qur’ān to assess the degree of faithfulness and accuracy and to find out whether the final product is coherent, consistent, error-free, easier to read and understand. The findings showed that the translation of the Qur’ān in English is still a work in progress, and it needs to be periodically evaluated and updated to reflect feedback provided from different perspectives and regions of the world. Ali (2020) compared and evaluated the strategies adopted by the authors of three English translations of the Qur’ān, translating lexical items, metaphors and metonymic words. The study revealed that the translators faced difficulties in rendering the sample of lexical items into English, and recommended that future translators should be aware of the unique qualities of the Qur’ān’s classical Arabic and avoid using a literal translation method to convey its message informatively to the target audience.

Literature review and research questions

Scrutinizing literature on translation of the Qur’ānic collocations revealed that linguistic and exegetical analyses of the Qur’ānic collocations in general and verb-noun Qur’ānic collocations in particular were not given due attention in translation studies. Therefore, this study aims to bridge the gap through answering the following research questions:

**RQ1.** To what extent do the targeted translations of the Noble Qur’ān successfully render the meaning and style of the Qur’ānic verb-noun collocations into English?

**RQ2.** What are the procedures translators use in their translation of the Qur’ānic verb-noun collocations into English?

**RQ3.** What are the challenges that hinder the translation of the Qur’ānic verb-noun collocations into English?

Methods

The study followed a corpus-based approach in a sense that the data of the study were taken from The Qur‘ānic Arabic Corpus, which includes major works in translations of the Noble Qur‘ān (Al-Hilali and Khan, 1977; Ali, 1934; Arberry, 1955; Pickthall, 1930; Sahih International, 1997; Sarwar, 1981; Shakir, 1999). The verb-noun collocations are the target of
the study in these seven translations, which were analyzed qualitatively in terms of context-based and linguistic commentators of the Qur’an and Reiss’ model of text analysis. The author drew upon Reiss’ model (2000) of text analysis, which is based on the Skopos theory. Reiss (2000, pp. 48–88) listed a series of intralinguistic and extralinguistic instruction criteria (instruction by which the adequacy of a TT may be assessed). This model helps study of the translation of verb collocations of the Noble Qur’an. The Qur’anic collocations were analyzed in terms of linguistic criteria (linguistic components: semantic equivalence, lexical equivalence, grammatical and stylistic features) and non-linguistic factors (situation, subject field or domain time place, culture, receiver, sender and affective implications). This analysis was achieved through employment of contextual and linguistic analysis, drawing on the following context and linguistic based-exegeses (Ibn Mandhur, 1883; Al-Alousi, 2000; Al-Zamakhshary; AL-Alousi, 2000; AL-Asfahany; Al-Thaalby; Ibn Kathir, 2009; Al-Tabari, 1981). The contextual exegeses analysis showed the context of the Noble Qur’anic collocations, whereas the linguistic-based exegeses showed the linguistic and stylistic features of the collocations. In doing so, translations of the Noble Qur’an were compared with such exegetical and linguistic analyses to reveal the extent the translations were successful in their renditions.

Translators targeted by the study
This section introduces the targeted translators of Noble Qur’an in terms of their linguistic and religious background and the translation approaches they follow, which may shape the product of translation:

- Muhamed Pickthall (1930) is the first translation of the Qur’an by a Muslim (converted) whose first language is English. His translation is one of the widely used English translations, which faithfully represents the sense of the original (Nassimi, 2008). Pickthall seems to adopt a literal translation method and this approach may sometimes risk distorting the implicit meanings in the Qur’an and misleading the target reader (Ali, 2020).

- Abdullah Yusuf Ali (1934) is not a native speaker of English. His translation shows stylistic quality, accuracy and naturalness, that has abundant notes, reflecting Yusuf Ali’s vast learning (Aldahesh, 2016b; Nassimi, 2008). He declares that all the levels of meaning are important and should be reproduced in the TL. He states that when sacrificing any of these levels is obligatory, he compensates for the loss by adding rhyme and rhythm to the text as well as prefacing the Surahs with introductions and glossing it with footnotes (El-Zeiny, 2011). Al-Khatib (2010 cited in Ali, 2020) criticized Ali’s adoption of semantic and literal approaches, which ignores the target reader, who needs communicative translation to understand the message of the sacred text. He adds that Ali also adopts a literal translation technique at times, using footnotes to clarify the original text and a translation style that seems “overly poetic” and “romantic”.

- Shakir’s The Holy Qur’an (1999) is one of the famous English translations, which uses clear and modern English and does not contain any notes. He is a native speaker of neither Arabic nor English. The translator’s name is Mahomedali Habib with a pen name of Shakir. According to both Kidwai’s and Clay Smith reviews, this translation is mostly copied from Muhammad Ali Lahori’s English translation Qur’an (Nassimi, 2008).

- Arberry (1955) is a non-Muslim scholar. He is an English native speaker. He aspires to give, relatively, maximum equivalence at the expressible and aesthetic levels
because he wants to echo the music and rhetorical grandeur of the original (Aldahesh, 2016b). He attempts to give maximum equivalence at the informative and vocative levels of meaning, trying to sound comprehensible to the TL reader (El-Zeiny, 2011). His translation is considered as a fair and authentic work by many Muslim scholars (Nassimi, 2008).

- AL-Hilali and Khan (1977) is one of the most widely used translation, that devote ample attention to the informative level of meanings, saving no effort to echo all the other levels of meaning, when no conflict exists (El-Zeiny, 2011). He relies on exegetical knowledge to attain clarity of meaning through glosses and notes. It is translated by Muhammad Taqī-ud-Dīn Al-Hilali (is a Moroccan scholar) and Muhammad Muhsin Khan is a Pakistani scholar. This translation is intended to 'present the meanings of the Qur’an in the way the early Muslims had known it (Nassimi, 2008). Nevertheless, the use of these techniques can tend towards over-translation, meaning that the target reader receives too much information, which may prove a hindrance to their understanding of the text (Ali, 2020).

- Sahih International (1977) is based on translation of AL-Hilali and Khan, but with the following two improvements: the language has been significantly simplified and clarified, and footnotes have been added to replace the parenthesis within the text. The initial print of this translation had Umm Mohammad as its translator, but the later ones do not have a translator name (Nassimi, 2008). It has been translated by three American women: Aminah Assami, Amatullah J. Bantley, Mary Kenndy (Wikipedia).

- Sheikh Mohammad Sarwar (1981) shows explanatory translation and a clear style, without any additional notes and introduction. He is a Pakistani-born American Islamic scholar, specializing in Islamic theology and philosophy. He is also known as the first representative of the late Ayatollah Abul-Qasim al-Khoei to the Shia Muslims of North America (Aldahesh, 2016b; Nassimi, 2008).

Data analysis
Based on Reiss’ model of text analysis (2000), the author followed three levels of analyses (i.e. contextual, linguistic and translation analyses). The linguistic analysis is based on linguistic based-exegeses and linguists’ analysis of the selected verb-noun collocations (Ibn Mandhur, 1883; AL-Alousi, 2000; Al-Zamakhirshary; AL-Alousi, 2000; AL-Asfahany; Al-Thaalby). The contextual analysis is based on context-based exegeses (Ibn Kathir, 2009; Al-Tabari, 1981) and linguistic-based exegeses, as they interpret verses of the Qur’an linguistically and contextually. The translation analysis studies the translations of the selected verb-noun collocations in the Noble Qur’an in terms of linguistic and the exegetical analyses of those collocations to reveal the extent the translations consider the meaning and style of the selected verb-noun collocations. Below is a detailed analysis of the targeted verb-noun collocations, which includes five verb-noun collocations.

The collocation takes the structure of a verb-noun collocation, which has been mentioned twenty-one times in the Noble Qur’an and occurred frequently in Arabic poetry (AL-Hussaini, 2007). The collocation was mentioned in Yousuf Surah (12:51). Ibn Mandhur (1883) argues that the verb 'خيصص' has several meanings, including the intensity of the speed, the cold day without clouds, the movement in something until it settles, stirring and flipping
something. He adds when it is collocated with 'الحق', it means statement of the truth after concealment. Al-Alousi (2000) said that the collocation ' заявج بعض الحق' means that the truth is revealed after concealment. He adds that it is taken from the share, meaning that there is a share for the truth and a share for falsehood and in this verse, it is the share of the truth. Al-Zamakhshary (1934) said that the collocation ' заявج بعض الحق' means that the truth was established and settled. He mentions the context of the collocation saying that the collocation is said by the wife of AL-Azeez when she is confessed the guilt of seducing the Prophet Joseph, admitting the innocence and integrity of Joseph (It was I who tried to seduce Joseph). AL-Andalusi (2002) argues that the collocation means the it is the turn of the truth to appear and the falsehood to be refuted.

The translations revealed that all translators adopt an explicitation procedure to get the meaning clear for TL readership, meaning they adhere to the informative level of meaning. Some translators use addition procedures (manifest to all, at last discovered), trying to convey the connotation of the Qur’anic collocation as in Yusuf Ali (1934), Shakir (1999) and AL-Hilali and Khan (1977). Arberry (1955) neutralizes the Qur’anic collocation, translating collocation freely. All Translators could not consider the style of the collocation due to the unique selection of the node ‘ضرف’ which may not have an equivalent in English (Table 1).

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translator</th>
<th>The translations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahih International (1977)</td>
<td>The truth has become evident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickthall (1930)</td>
<td>Now the truth is out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yusuf Ali (1934)</td>
<td>The truth manifest (to all)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakir (1999)</td>
<td>The truth manifest (to all)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad Sarwar (1981)</td>
<td>The truth has come to light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohsin AL-Hilali&amp; Khan (1977)</td>
<td>Now the truth is manifest (to all)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arberry (1955)</td>
<td>‘Now the truth is at last discovered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The node of the collocation ‘ضف’ denotes different meanings in Arabic, but when it is collocated with ‘منايج’ it indicates modesty and kindness (AL-Alousi, 2000). It is a metaphor that resembles obedience to parents like lowering of bird’s wing, because if the bird wants to fly, it spreads its wings and raises them to fly higher and if they want to land, they lower their wings (Al-Zamakhshary, 1934). It is a figure of speech in which modesty and kindness are likened to the wing of the bird when it is folded. Al-Alousi (2000) added that the collocation indicates showing humility to parents. The collocation become a proverb in Arabic to indicate modesty and kindness (Ibn Ashoor, 1997 cited in AL-Hussaini, 2007). It is a hyperbole in humiliation to parents (out of mercy) to show one’s excessive mercy and compassion for parents, because of their aging and their lack today for those who were weak and poor in their childhood (Al-Zamakhshary, 1934).

Most translators used the SL metaphor in their translation of the Qur’anic collocation “lower wing”, which may not have stylistic effect on TL readers as it does on SL readers. The translators adhere to the structure of the Qur’anic collocation, but it may confuse the TL readers. The translators use strategy of addition to make the meaning explicit to TL readers. However, adding a metaphorical expression “lower the wing” may confuse the TL readers. Shakir (1999) and Sarwar’s translations deliver the meaning of the Qur’anic collocation, deleting the metaphor to ensure the clarity and smoothness of the translation. In all cases of translations, the stylistic effect of the Qur’anic collocation is not delivered to TL readers (Table 2).
The node of the collocation ‘ﻂﺑﺮﻳ’ denotes persistence and steadiness. When it is collocated with ‘ﻢﻬﺑﻮﻠﻗ’ it means to make one’s hearts strong and firm. AL-Asfahany (1992) said that ‘ﻪﺒﻠﻗﻰﻠﻋﻂﺑﺭ’ means granting him or her patience and strength. It has been mentioned three times in the Noble Qur’an (AL-Hussaini, 2007). AL-Hussaini (2007) argues that it is a metaphor that stand for steadiness and removal of nervousness. Al-Zamakhsahry (1934) argues that the meaning of the collocation is to strengthen believers through granting them patience and encouragement to say the truth. Ibn Ashoor (1997 cited in AL-Hussaini, 2007) argues that collocating between the noun ‘ﻢﻜﺑﻮﻠﻗ’ and the verb ‘ﻂﺑﺭ’ is a metaphor for strength of the belief and absence of hesitation. Al-Alousi (2000) said the collocation means to bind their hearts together, that is, to strengthen them with confidence, by the kindness of God Almighty. Al-Wahidi (cited in Al-Alousi, 2000) said that the collocation means to make the believers patient, not fleeing during the battle. Al-Thaalaby (1977) said that ‘ﻢﻬﺑﻮﻠﻗﻰﻠﻋﻂﺑﺮﻳ’ means victory and certainty through linking their heart with patience. Ibn Kathir (2009) said that the collocation means to strengthen ones’ hearts with patience and to encourage them to fight the enemies, and this is the inner courage.

Examining the translations revealed that the translators adhere to the informative level through explaining the metaphorical collocation though using “steadfast and strengthen”. Shakir (1999) used the metaphorical expression “fortify your heart”, which may not show the SL image, but it has effect on TL readers as it does exist in Gospel of Matthew [1]. It can be said here all the translators could not deliver the stylistic effect of the metaphorical Qur’anic collocation because of the unique metaphorical construction of the Qur’anic collocation. There is a need to rethink of the style of the Qur’anic collocation in terms of the selection of words and style in conveying the Qur’anic collocation to TL reader (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translator</th>
<th>The translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahih International (1977)</td>
<td>And lower to them the wing of humility out of mercy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickthall (1930)</td>
<td>And lower unto them the wing of submission through mercy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yusuf Ali (1934)</td>
<td>And, out of kindness, lower to them the wing of humility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakir (1999)</td>
<td>And make yourself submissively gentle to them with compassion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarwar (1981)</td>
<td>Be humble and merciful towards them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohsin AL-Hilali &amp; Khan (1977)</td>
<td>And lower unto them the wing of submission and humility through mercy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arberry (1955)</td>
<td>and lower to them the wing of humbleness out of mercy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translator</th>
<th>The translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahih International (1977)</td>
<td>To make steadfast your hearts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickthall (1930)</td>
<td>To make steadfast your hearts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yusuf Ali (1934)</td>
<td>To strengthen your hearts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakir (1999)</td>
<td>He might fortify your hearts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarwar (1981)</td>
<td>Strengthen your hearts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-Hilali &amp; Khan (1977)</td>
<td>And to strengthen your hearts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arberry (1955)</td>
<td>And to strengthen your hearts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.

Table 3.
The node of the collocation 'ﺺﻜﻧ' means “flinch”, “move to the back” and turned a way (AL-Maany Dictionary [2]). The collocate 'عقب' means “heels”. Ibn Mandhur(1983) said that '냐كورص' means refraining from something, adding that the whole collocation means refraining from doing the good. Ibn Ashoor (1997 cited in AL-Hussaini, 2007) argues that the collocation means to return from where he or she came from, meaning fleeing. He added that reason behind collocating between 'ﺺﻜﻧ' and 'عقب', is that “fleeting” occurs by heels. Al-Alousi (2000) said that the collocation means to turn back on his or her heels (i.e. he ran away or returned to retreat). He added the word 'عقب' mean back of the foot. This expression connotes abandoning of the act (Al-Hissaini, 2007). Ibn Kathir (2008) explains the context of the verse, saying that the collocation occurs when Satan ran away in the battle with the Prophet Mohammad peace be upon him and his companions. AL-Andalusi (2002) said that 'ﺺﻜﻧ' means in the Language of Saleem fleeing, run away and expel.

As for the translations, four translators (Sahih International, 1997; Yusuf Ali, 1934; Shakir, 1999; Arberry, 1955) rendered the collocation with its Qur’anic metaphor. AL-Hilali and Khan (1977) and Pickthall (1930) neutralize the Qur’anic collocation, translating them as “ran away” and “took flight”, respectively. Sarwar (1981) translates the collocation freely without considering the form of the SL collocation (Table 4).

The node of the collocation 'ﺡﺮﺷ' means to open and the collocate 'ﻙﺭﺪﺻ' means “breast”.

The literal meaning of the collocations means to open your breast, which may mean something else for TL readers. Al-Thaalaby (1977) argues that the meaning of the 'ﺡﺮﺷ' is the opening of what prevents the Prophet Muhammad from perception and realization. It means God Almighty opened the chest of his Prophet for guidance, and knowledge by dismissing the concerns that prevent him from realizing the truth. He added that opening the heart means expanding, and softening it with faith, sermons, knowledge, prophethood and wisdom. AL-Zamkhsahary (1934) said that the collocation means expanding a heart so that it can deal with the concerns of prophethood and the call of the human kind and jinn to Islam. He added that expanding your heart with the knowledge and wisdom, and we make your breast free from the distress and embarrassment that comes with blindness and ignorance. Al-Tabari (1981) said that the collocation means expanding your heart for guidance and faith in God and knowledge of the truth so that it can be an area for wisdom. Ibn Kathir (2009) said that the collocation means enlightening the chest, making it spacious, vast and easy, containing no difficulty, hardship or burden. Many commentators explained the collocation “chest” as heart such as Al-Thaalaby (1977), Al-Tabari (1981) and AL-Zamkhsahary (1934) which is selected by Sarwar (1981) translation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translator</th>
<th>The translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahih International (1977)</td>
<td>He turned on his heels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickthall (1930)</td>
<td>He took flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yusuf Ali (1934)</td>
<td>He turned on his heels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakir (1999)</td>
<td>He turned upon his heels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad Sarwar (1981)</td>
<td>Then Satan betrayed his friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohsin AL-Hilali &amp; Khan (1977)</td>
<td>He ran away</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arberry (1955)</td>
<td>Withdrew upon his heels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Qur’anic verb-noun collocations
Discussion of results
Analyses of the translations of some verses of the Noble Qur’ān into English revealed hindrances in translating the verb-noun collocations in terms of style and meaning. If rendering the style is unfeasible, then meaning can be rendered into the TL through integrated exegetical and linguistic analyses and appropriate use of translation procedures. The following is a discussion of the findings of the study.

*Untranslatability of the style of the noun-verb collocations and the relative possibility of rendering their meanings*

The Noble Qur’ān uses a unique use of collocation in the selection of lexis, figure of speech and novel composition, which creates barriers before translators in the process of comprehension and re-production. The translators have two options: rendering the style or the meaning. It is noticed that some translators sacrifice the meaning at the expense of the style. The translators follow literal translation to ensure economic use of language and show the structure of the Qur’ānic collocation, which results in general translation. As I see it, when there is a conflict between the informative and aesthetic level of meaning, informative meaning should be preferred. (Baker, 2011; EL-Zeiny, 2011; Newmark, 1966). In this regard, Nida (1964) argues that due to different historical, cultural and environmental contexts between the SL and TL, TL readers’ responses are not identical to the original. Accordingly, Katan (2012) suggested that the translator should try to reduce the difference between the SL and the through use of appropriate translation procedures. Based on the data of the study, the current translations deliver a part of meaning of the verb-noun-collocations. Therefore, there is a need for improvement of the quality of the current translations of the verb-noun collocations.

*Translators’ approach and the translations of the collocations*

Based on analysing the data, most translators do not adhere to the informative functions in their translation of some verb-noun collocations, which might be due to their attempt to consider the structure of the SL collocations. The studies conducted on approaches followed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translator</th>
<th>The translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahih International (1977)</td>
<td>Did We not expand for you, [O Muhammad], your breast?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickthall (1930)</td>
<td>Have We not caused thy bosom to dilate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yusuf Ali (1934)</td>
<td>Have We not expanded thee thy breast?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shairik (1999)</td>
<td>Have We not expanded for you your breast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarwar (1981)</td>
<td>have We not comforted your heart?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohsin AL-Hilali&amp; Khan (1977)</td>
<td>Have We not opened your breast for you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arberry (1955)</td>
<td>Did We not expand thy breast for thee?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5.*
by translators may not be accurate given that their findings are based on their targeted data. Some studies reported that Ali (1934) considers all levels of meaning (El-Zeiny, 2011). Conversely, Al-Khātîb (2010) criticized Ali’s translation of the Qur’an in his adoption of semantic and literal approaches. Al-Khātîb believes that the semantic approach ignores the target reader. As for AL-Hilali and Khan (1977), their translation was described as paying ample attention to the informative level of meanings (El-Zeiny, 2011). They rely on exegetical knowledge to attain clarity of meaning through glosses and notes. Nevertheless, the use of these techniques can tend towards over-translation, meaning the target reader receives too much information, which may prove a hindrance to their understanding of the text (Ali, 2020). In their translation of some verb-noun collocations, they adhere to the stylistic level of meaning. These opposing opinions on the translations demonstrated that the findings of the studies on assessment of the current translation cannot be generalized. Therefore, comprehensive studies are required to investigate the approaches followed by translators.

Use of the Reiss’ model (2000)

Reiss’ model (2000) is effective in analysis of the Qur’anic collocations given that the model analyses both the intralinguistic and extralinguistic features of the text. The model analyses the intralinguistic features in terms of linguistic components: semantic equivalence, lexical equivalence, grammatical and stylistic features) and extra linguistic factors in terms of situation, subject field or domain time place, culture, receiver, sender and affective implications, which help to arrive at full image of the analysed text, sentence, phrase or a word.

Collocation in Noble Qur’an uses literary devices that do not have equivalents in English

One of the features of Qur’anic verb-noun collocation is the use of metaphor, which do not have their equivalent in English. Some translators render these metaphorical expressions into English, though these metaphors may not have effect on the TL readers as they do on the SL readers. Their translations of the Qur’anic metaphors may confuse TL readers. They may have a point in their employment of literary translation given that TL-oriented method of translation makes the TL reader ignorant of the SL culture (Qassem and Gurindapalli, 2015). Some translation theorists state that a translator struggles between the naturalness and accuracy of meaning, however keeping the linguistic features of the ST in the TT may not be realized by the TL readers since each language has its own way of expression of aesthetic and stylistic features (Baker, 2011; Nida, 1996). The use of collocation in the Noble Qur’an manifests figurative language as in a unique mental and moral climate, distinct style supported by a value-laden idiom (EL-Zeiny, 2011). In this puzzling situation, the translators have two options: whether to render the stylistic features at the expense of meaning or favor the meaning at the expense of stylistic features. In the Qur’anic context, the translator should sacrifice the style at the expense of meaning (Newmark, 1966; Baker, 2011), as the main function of the Qur’anic text is admonition and instruction (Abdul-Raof, 2019).

Employment of both exegetical and linguistic analysis in translation of the Qur’anic collocations

Both linguistic and exegetical analyses are significant factors in translation process, as they provide the translators with intertextual and extratextual features of the Qur’anic verse. Through linguistic analyses, the translator can identify the intertextual signs and comprehend their denotation and connotation (Gonzalez and Scott-Tennen, 2005). The context and linguistic-based exegeses show translators the context and linguistic feature of the Qur’anic verse (Qassem, 2020). Lack of exegetical analysis may lead to general translation and translation loss, which are manifested in some data of the current study.
Conclusion
The study analyses linguistically and exegetically some verb-noun Qur’anic collocations into English, which reveals monumental hindrances before rendering the style of Qur’anic collocations into English. However, some translators attempt to render the style of the Qur’anic collocations, they sacrifice the informative function of the noun-verb collocation. Communicative translation could be the right solution in case of conflict between the style and meaning. The current translations of the verb-noun collocations into English show that they adhere to the informative level of meaning, though they render only parts of the meaning of the Qur’anic collocations into English. Employment of integrated linguistic analysis and context and linguistic exegeses help in unveiling the linguistic and contextual features of the text, which simplify a translator’s task in choosing the right translation procedure that consider the style and meaning of the Qur’anic collocations.
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