Predictors of patients' knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding uncomplicated malaria in the primary healthcare facilities of Plateau state, Nigeria

Nanloh Samuel Jimam

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, MAHSA University, Selangor, Malaysia and Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Practice, University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria, and

Nahlah Elkudssiah Ismail

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, MAHSA University, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

Purpose – This study determined factors that influenced patients' knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding uncomplicated malaria in primary healthcare (PHC) facilities of Plateau state, Nigeria.

Design/methodology/approach – The data of 956 patients treated for uncomplicated malaria in PHC facilities of Plateau state were used for the study. Inferential statistical analyses were conducted to identify factors that influenced patients' KAP on the disease and its management.

Findings – The study revealed age (p < 0.001), level of education (p = 0.012), attitudes (p = 0.007) and practices (p < 0.001) as significant predictors of knowledge outcomes on uncomplicated malaria, while their attitudes towards the disease and its management was predicted by their gender (p = 0.011), occupation (p = 0.049), monthly income (p = 0.018), knowledge (p < 0.001) and practices (p < 0.001). Furthermore, their practices were significantly predicted by monthly incomes (p = 0.043), knowledge (p < 0.001), attitudes (p < 0.001) and number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered to them (p = 0.041).

Originality/value – The study revealed a mixed influence of patients' characteristics on their KAP outcomes. This calls for appropriate intervention measures towards achieving the desired patients' therapeutic outcomes.

Keywords Knowledge attitudes and practices, Patients, Plasmodium falciparum, Predictors, Primary healthcare facilities, Uncomplicated malaria

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

Uncomplicated malaria is a prevalent disease in Nigeria caused mainly through a parasitic infection, *Plasmodium falciparum*, with resulting symptoms including fever, headache, joint pain, malaise, vomiting, body ache, poor appetite and body weakness, without signs of severity or evidence of vital organ dysfunction [1, 2]. According to the malaria treatment guideline for Nigeria, case management of the disease involves early diagnosis and prompt

© Nanloh Samuel Jimam and Nahlah Elkudssiah Ismail. Published in *Journal of Health Research* Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at http://creativecommons. org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

The authors wish to express their profound gratitude to patients who willingly participated in the study. The Plateau state Ministry of Health Jos and all the PHC Departments of the selected LGAs for the study are all gratefully acknowledged.

C

Journal of Health Research Vol. 34 No. 4, 2020 pp. 329-344 Emerald Publishing Limited e-ISSN: 2586-940X p-ISSN: 0857-4421 DOI 10.1108/JHR-06-2019-0125

Received 10 June 2019 Revised 7 August 2019 Accepted 16 September 2019

Patients' KAP predictors on

uncomplicated

malaria

329

treatment with effective and recommended anti-malarial drugs [1, 2]. The guideline states clearly the use of artemether-lumefantrine as the recommended anti-malarial drug for the case management of uncomplicated malaria treatment after diagnosis, using either microscopy or RDTs approach in identifying the parasite, or the use of artesunate-amodiaquine if artemether-lumefantrine is unavailable [1]. In some cases, antipyretics such as paracetamol could be added to the regimen when a patient's temperature reaches 38.5 C [1].

The appropriate use of drugs based on treatment guidelines is essential in the management of ailments for the purpose of achieving the required treatment success rate [3, 4]. When such medication practices are appropriately carried out based on treatment guidelines, it will result in the cure of the malarial disease, relieve symptoms and alleviate patient suffering [2].

Inappropriate use of anti-malarial drugs has been reported in Nigeria, with their consequences including a reduction in quality of drug therapy leading to drug resistance and treatment failure, unwanted side effects and increased cost of medications [5–9]. This might not be unconnected with the reported poor use of public healthcare (PHC) facilities in the country [10–15].

Although previous studies had linked poorer patients' medication practices with many factors including patients' lack of knowledge, health beliefs and treatment-seeking behaviours, in addition to how they use their medicines [7, 8, 16], there was scant information on studies from the rural areas of the country, especially in Plateau state. Hence, there was a need to assess factors that might have influenced patients' knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) among rural communities who are most affected by the disease. For these rural communities, the PHC facilities had been their main source when seeking treatment. The study is important because it could provide a comprehensive picture of the determinants of treatment and management of uncomplicated malaria among patients. The outcomes of the study could also be useful in helping advise health policymakers, as well as healthcare workers and patients themselves, with any necessary changes and interventions in finding realistic solutions to any substandard treatment and management practices in the PHC settings.

Methods

Data for this study were from two sources titled 'patients' knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) on uncomplicated malaria management in Plateau state, north-central Nigeria' [17] and 'assessment of primary healthcare workers' knowledge, attitudes, and practices on uncomplicated malaria management in Plateau state, Nigeria' [18]. Besides logistic preference and the availability of a residing researcher, the disease prevalence justified the choice of Plateau state as the study area. Noland and others had earlier reported that malaria transmission was most widespread between the months of May and November each year [19] in Plateau state, which was not unconnected with the climatic geographical nature of the state [20].

The dependent variables included patients' KAP on uncomplicated malaria. The independent variables for patients' knowledge score included their socio-demographic characteristics (gender, age, marital status, highest completed education level, occupation and monthly income), practices, attitudes, number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered to them and healthcare workers' management practices. The influence of these independent variables on their practice and attitude outcomes was also assessed by including knowledge and practices as independent variables for attitudes outcomes, and knowledge and attitudes were included as independent variables for predicting practice outcomes. The levels of patients' knowledge-related items which were assessed using three options of 'yes', 'not sure' or 'no'; while their levels of attitudes and practices, in addition to healthcare workers' practices, were categorized based on their respective levels of agreements to the

attitude- and practice-related statements which were scored on a five-point Likert scale [17, 18].

Ethical consideration

This study was approved by the Joint Research Review and Ethics Committee, Research Management Centre (RMC), MAHSA University, Malaysia, through an approval letter reference RMC/EC01/2016, dated 25 November 2016. This approval was subsequently used to obtain permission from the Plateau State Ministry of Health, Jos, Nigeria, and the Directors of PHC facilities of the various selected local government areas (LGAs) prior to data collection.

Data analysis

The quantitative data generated were manually sorted and entered into Microsoft Excel software based on coded format and transferred into the International Business Machines Corporation Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM-SPSS®) version 23 software. The distribution pattern of the data was tested by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, and the outcomes indicated non-normal distribution based on the recommendation of Ghasemi and Zahediasl [21].

The descriptive statistics test for healthcare workers and patients had been reported [17, 18]. Tests for statistically significant differences and relationships for respondents' KAP on uncomplicated malaria management across their independent variables were conducted through inferential statistics. The Mann–Whitney test was used to test for any significant differences in respondents' KAP scores on uncomplicated malaria treatment and management across their independent variables that were presented in two subgroups, while the Kruskal–Wallis test was applied on categorical variables which had three or more subgroups. Association assessment between categorical variables and different levels of KAP towards uncomplicated malaria among patients was conducted using a chi-square (χ^2) test for independence. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (rho) test was also performed on the data to describe the strength and direction of relationships between continuous variables at p < 0.05 probability level of significance. Based on the rules of thumb set out by Cohen [22], the strength of correlations was interpreted as 0 = no relationship, 0.10-0.29 = small/low correlation, 0.30-0.49 = medium/moderate correlation, and 0.50-1.00 = large/high correlation.

Furthermore, multinomial logistic regression analysis was conducted to determine predictors of the outcome variables. During the regression analysis, the respondents who scored less than 50% were categorized as having poor KAP and were encoded as '0', while those that scored more than 50% were categorized as having good KAP towards the disease and were encoded as 1 [23]. The statistical significance of each of the predictor variables on the outcome variables was presented by their odds ratio (OR) values with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) at p < 0.05.

Results

The outcomes of the Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests that had been conducted to assess the differences in patients' KAP based on their independent characteristics showed various significant differences, as presented in Table I. The tests indicated significant differences in their knowledge based on gender, age differences, attitudes, and practices. There were significant differences in median attitude scores across the respondents' independent variables including gender, age, marital status, highest education level, occupation, monthly salary, knowledge and practices. The Kruskal–Wallis test also indicated significant differences between patients' median practice scores with their marital status, knowledge and attitudes (Table I).

Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated malaria

ble I. ferences in patients' dian KAP scores oss independent riables (N = 956)						2	R 4
Variables	n (%)	Median kno Mean rank	Median knowledge scores an rank Median (IQR)	Median att Mean rank	Median attitudes scores n rank Median (IQR)	Median pra Mean rank	Median practices scores n rank Median (IQR)
<i>Gender</i> Male Female	433 (45.29) 523 (54.71)	(Z = -2.11, 458.34 495.19	$\begin{aligned} (Z &= -2.11, p = 0.035^*) \\ 58.34 \\ 5.00 & (1.00) \\ 95.19 \\ 5.00 & (2.00) \end{aligned}$	(Z = -3.50 444.25 506.85	(Z = -350, p < 0.001*) 44.25 34.00 (10.00) 06.85 37.00 (9.00)	$\begin{array}{l} (Z = -0.15 \\ 477.05 \\ 479.70 \end{array}$	-0.15, p = 0.881) $15.00 (4.00)$ $15.00 (3.00)$
Age (years) <18 18-29 30-41	142 (14.85) 266 (27.82) 321 (33.58) 227 (23.75)	$\chi^2 = 28.72$, df = 584.21 481.61 444.38 456.98	= 3 p < 0.001 *) 5.00 (2.00) 5.00 (1.00) 4.00 (1.00) 5.00 (1.00)	$(\chi^2 = 29.46, df 588.85 476.12 462.18 462.18 455.34$	= 3 p < 0.001*) 39.00 (6.00) 34.00 (8.25) 35.00 (9.00) 34.00 (10.00)	$\chi^2 = 0.53$, df 483.17 485.35 469.95 479.65	= 3 p = 0.913) $15.00 (2.00)$ $16.00 (3.00)$ $15.00 (4.00)$ $16.00 (4.00)$
<i>Marital status</i> Never married Married Divorced/widowed	343 (35.88) 407 (42.57) 206 (21.55)	$(\chi^2 = 2.48, df)$ 496.69 469.36 466.27	f = 2 p = 0.290 5.00 (2.00) 5.00 (1.00) 5.00 (2.00)	$(\chi^2 = 10.04, df$ 511.36 447.73 484.58	$= 2 p = 0.007^*)$ 37.00 (8.00) 35.00 (10.00) 34.00 (10.00)	$(\chi^2 = 8.12, df = 466.83 464.03 526.53$	$2 p = 0.017^*)$ $15.00 (2.00)$ $15.00 (4.00)$ $16.00 (6.00)$
<i>Education level</i> No formal education Primary Secondary Higher education	137 (14.33) 268 (28.03) 358 (37.45) 193 (20.19)	$\chi^2 = 4.57$, df 503.59 457.55 471.78 502.23	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$(\chi^2 = 18.07, df = 566.95$ 566.95 460.84 475.87 445.11	= 3 p < 0.001*) 38.00 (7.00) 34.00 (9.00) 35.00 (10.00) 34.00 (8.00)	$\chi^2 = 0.88$, df 462.89 473.05 484.11 484.11 486.74	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
<i>Occupation</i> Student Employed Farmer/business	287 (30.02) 335 (35.04) 334 (34.94)	$\chi^2 = 2.55$, df 479.86 461.42 494.46	i = 2 p = 0.280 5.00 (1.00) 5.00 (1.00) 5.00 (2.00)	$(\chi^2 = 17.11, df 489.85 430.52 516.88 516.88$	$= 2 p < 0.001^*)$ 36.00 (10.00) 34.00 (9.00) 37.00 (9.00)	$(\chi^2 = 0.41, df 480.15 471.18 484.43$	= 2 p = 0.816) 15.00 (2.00) 15.00 (3.00) 16.00 (6.00)
Monthly income (naira) None <18,000 18,000-40,000	481 (50.31) 165 (17.26) 200 (20.92) 110 (11.51)	$\chi^2 = 7.35$, df 496.71 482.39 436.13 470.07	i = 3 p = 0.062) 5.00 (2.00) 5.00 (2.00) 4.50 (1.00) 5.00 (1.00)	$(\chi^2 = 15.89, df 504.93 + 497.39 + 424.59 + 424.59 + 432.62 + 442.62 + 442.64 + 444.64 + 44$	$= 3 p = 0.001^*)$ 37.00 (10.00) 37.00 (10.00) 33.00 (8.75) 34.00 (8.00)	$Q_{\pi}^{2} = 1.67 \text{ df}$ 473.16 466.22 487.64 503.66	= 3 p = 0.644) $15.00 (4.00)$ $15.00 (2.00)$ $16.00 (5.00)$ $16.00 (3.00)$
							(continued)

Variables	(%) <i>u</i>	Median knowledge scores Mean rank Median (IG	rledge scores Median (IQR)	Median atti Mean rank	Median attitudes scores n rank Median (IQR)	Median practices scores Mean rank Median (I	tices scores Median (IQR)
<i>Knowledge</i> Poor Moderate Good	164 (17.15) 556 (58.16) 236 (24.69)		3.00 (1.00) 5.00 (1.00) 6.00 (1.00)	$(\chi^2 = 86.79, df = 381.00$ 448.42 617.12	$\chi^2 = 86.79$, df $= 2 p < 0.001 *$) 381.00 $33.00 (8.00)448.42$ $34.00 (9.00)617.12$ $39.00 (9.00)$	$(\chi^2 = 58.23, df = 2 \ \rho < 0.001^*)$ 391.55 457.36 588.74 588.74 16.00 (4.75)	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ p < \mathbf{0.001*} \\ 15.00 \ (4.00) \\ 15.00 \ (3.00) \\ 16.00 \ (4.75) \end{array}$
<i>Attitudes</i> Poor Moderate Good	83 (8.68) 438 (45.82) 435 (45.50)	$ \begin{pmatrix} \chi^2 = 63.08, \mathrm{df} = 2 \ b < 0.001^* \\ 464.18 & 5.00 (1.00) \\ 408.12 & 4.00 (2.00) \\ 552.09 & 5.00 (2.00) \\ \end{cases} $	= 2 p < 0.001*) 5.00 (1.00) 4.00 (2.00) 5.00 (2.00)	1 1 1	20.00 (5.00) 32.00 (5.00) 40.00 (3.00)	$ \begin{aligned} & (\chi^2 = 20.72, \mathrm{df} = 2 \ \rho < 0.001^*) \\ & 474.07 \\ & 436.95 \\ & 15.00 \ (4.00) \\ & 521.18 \\ & 16.00 \ (2.00) \end{aligned} $	$\begin{array}{l} 2 \ p < \mathbf{0.001*} \\ 16.00 \ (4.00) \\ 15.00 \ (4.00) \\ 16.00 \ (2.00) \end{array}$
<i>Practices</i> Poor Moderate Good	94 (9.83) 221 (23.12) 641 (67.05)	$ \begin{array}{l} (\chi^2 = 46.92 \ \mathrm{df} = 2 \ \rho < 0.001^{*}) \\ 413.44 & 4.00 \ (1.00) \\ 386.18 & 4.00 \ (2.00) \\ 519.87 & 5.00 \ (2.00) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{l} : 2 \ p < 0.001^{*} \\ 4.00 \ (1.00) \\ 4.00 \ (2.00) \\ 5.00 \ (2.00) \end{array}$	391.51 392.30 520.98	$ \begin{aligned} & (\chi^2 = 46, \mathrm{df} = 2 \ p < 0.001^*) \\ & 33.00 \ (10.00) \\ & 33.00 \ (8.50) \\ & 37.00 \ (9.00) \\ & - \end{aligned} $) < 0.001*) - -	8.00 (2.00) 13.00 (2.00) 16.00 (3.00)
nber of anti-malarial and inistered	adjunct drugs 178 (1869)	$(\chi^2 = 3.41, df = 2 p = 0.182)$	= 2 p = 0.182) 5 00 (1.95)	$(\chi^2 = 5.41, df)$	$(\chi^2 = 5.41, df = 2 \ p = 0.067)$	$(\chi^2 = 4.24, df = 2 p = 0.120)$	2 p = 0.120
 ≥6	170 (16.02) 567 (59.31) 211 (22.07)	460.46 467.23 507.13	5.00 (1.00) 5.00 (2.00)	4/1.32 466.31 517.32	37.00 (8.00) 37.00 (8.00)	452.01 463.84 506.00	15.00 (0.00) 15.00 (4.00) 16.00 (2.00)
Healthcare workers' brachices		$(\chi^2 = 0.13, df = 2 p = 0.977)$	= 2 p = 0.977)	$(\chi^2 = 2.57 df =$	= 2 p = 0.276	$(\chi^2 = 0.30, df = 2 p = 0.860)$	2 p = 0.860
Poor Moderate Good	47 (4.92) 66 (6.90) 843 (88.18)	491.20 473.49 478.18	5.00 (2.00) 5.00 (1.00) 5.00 (1.00)	481.54 425.98 482.44	35.00 (9.00) 35.00 (13.50) 35.00 (10.00)	461.20 489.90 478.57	$\begin{array}{c} 15.00 \ (5.00) \\ 15.00 \ (4.00) \\ 15.00 \ (3.00) \end{array}$
Note: * = statistically significa	int at $p < 0.05$; df =	 degree of freedom 	i, $Z = Z$ -statistics; χ^2	= chi-square; IQR	statistically significant at $p < 0.05$; df = degree of freedom; $Z = Z$ -statistics; $\chi 2 = chi-square$; IQR = interquartile range		

Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated malaria

220	2
55	5
	-

Table I.

Associating patients' KAP scores on uncomplicated malaria to their characteristics using the chi-square test showed significant associations between knowledge score and gender, age and marital status (Table II). Interestingly, with respect to attitudes, there were significant associations between all the ten independent variables included in the analysis. The associations between practices and gender, marital status, occupation, knowledge, attitudes and the number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered were also significant.

The outcomes of bivariate analysis using Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient (rho) revealed statistically significant positive and negative low and moderate correlations between patients' KAP scores with the continuous independent variables, except knowledge—monthly income, and KAP with the number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered (Table III).

Similarly, the correlations between the dependent variables were all positive with statistically significant moderate strength between knowledge–attitudes (K–A) and knowledge–practices (K–P), while the strength of correlation between attitudes–practices (A–P) was statistically significant but low (Table IV).

Multinomial logistic regression was used to assess whether the independent variables (potential predictors) significantly predict patients' KAP scores. Conducting a likelihood ratio test helps in understanding if the significant predictors give a real contribution to the models, that is, maximum likelihood is significantly higher in the full models rather than in the null ones [24]. The -2 log-likelihood (-2LL) values of 1069.3 ($\chi^2 = 84.96$, df = 22, p < 0.001), 994.73 ($\chi^2 = 129.24$, df = 22, p < 0.001) and 992.44 ($\chi^2 = 91.31$, df = 22, p < 0.001) for the final regression model for knowledge, attitudes and practices, respectively, containing their respective predictors were statistically significant, indicating that the full model with the predictors in them could significantly predict the outcome variables [25]. Similarly, the high and insignificant *p*-value of chi-square goodness-of-fit test at p < 0.05 for the three models, that is, knowledge ($\chi^2 = 750.12$, p = 0.132), attitudes ($\chi^2 = 740.91$, p = 0.156) and practices $(\chi^2 = 757.27, p = 0.163)$, confirmed the acceptability of the models which were able to correctly classify the outcome variables of 63.0%, 68.1% and 69.2% of the patients as good (75.5%) or poor (47.4%) knowledge, as good (49.4%) or poor (80.8%) attitudes and as good (90.0%) or poor (27.0%) practices. Table V showed that age (years), education level, attitudes and practices significantly predicted knowledge of the patients on uncomplicated malaria. while gender, occupation, monthly salary, knowledge and practices predicted their attitudes on the disease and its management, and monthly salary, knowledge, attitudes and number of prescribed anti-malarial and adjunct drugs as predictors of the patients' practices towards uncomplicated malaria.

Table VI showed the overall level of significance and details strengths of prediction of the outcome variables by the various independent variables as indicated by their odds ratios (ORs) at 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

Discussion

Jimam and Ismail [11] reported that patients' knowledge and attitudes towards uncomplicated malaria were moderate, with borderline good practices towards the disease and its management. The main purpose of the present study was to determine predictors that influenced patients' KAP on the disease in PHC facilities of Plateau state, Nigeria.

To the best of our current knowledge, no other published studies discussed the relation to outcomes of a test of differences in patients' median KAP scores across independent variables. With respect to associations studies, better KAP of women regarding the disease (Table II) was expected because they are at higher risk of contracting the disease, which can help them become more familiar with it. They are also more often responsible for taking care of children who have been reported to be at higher risk of being infected by the disease

IHR

34.4

Womenha	Poor	Knowledge scores	Good	Poor n	Attitudes scores	Good	Poor	Practices scores	Good
Vat tables Gender		(Chi-square $(\chi^2) = 15.62$,			59.22, df = $37 p = 0.012^*$)	.012*) .012*)	11	29.40, df = $16 p = 0.021*$	0.021*)
Male Female	93 (9.73) 71 (7.43)	df = 8 p = 0.048*) 111 (11.61) 153 (16.00)	*) 229 (23.95) 299 (31.28)	30	236 (24.69) 250 (26.15)	151 (15.80) 236 (24.69)		97 (10.15) 124 (12.97)	288 (30.13) 353 (36.92)
Age (years old) <18 18-29 30-41 >41	$(\chi^2 = (\chi^2)^2 = (\chi^2)^2$	53.23, df = $24 p$ = 34 (3.56) 68 (7.11) 95 (9.94) 67 (7.00)	0.002*) 97 (10.15) 157 (16.42) 158 (16.53) 116 (12.13)	$(\chi^2 = 17)$ 8 (0.84) 25 (2.62) 32 (3.35) 18 (1.88)		0.001*) 86 (9.00) 106 (11.09) 121 (12.66) 74 (7.74)	$(\chi^2 = (\chi^2) = 12 (1.26)$ 26 (2.72) 35 (3.66) 21 (2.20)	56.99, df = 48 p $25 (2.62)$ $60 (6.28)$ $80 (8.37)$ $56 (5.86)$	= 0.574) $105 (10.98)$ $180 (18.83)$ $206 (21.55)$ $150 (15.69)$
<i>Marital status</i> Never married Married Divorced/widowed	$(\chi^2 = 33.13, 50 (5.23)$ 75 (7.85) 39 (4.08)	33.13, df = $16 p$ = 93 (9.73) 111 (11.61) 60 (6.28)	0.007*) 200 (20.92) 221 (23.12) 107 (11.19)	$\begin{array}{c} (\chi^2 = 1 \\ 29 \ (3.03) \\ 42 \ (4.39) \\ 12 \ (1.26) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} (\chi^2 = 120.83, \mathrm{df} = 74 p = 1, \\ (3.03) & 150 (15.69) \\ (4.39) & 222 (23.22) \\ (1.26) & 114 (11.92) \end{array} $	0.003*) 164 (17.16) 143 (14.96) 80 (8.37)	$\begin{array}{l} (\chi^2 = 1 \\ 23 \ (2.41) \\ 45 \ (4.71) \\ 26 \ (2.72) \end{array}$	108.16, df = $32 p =$ 76 (7.95) 108 (11.30) 37 (3.87)	0.014*) 244 (25.52) 254 (26.57) 143 (14.96)
<i>Education level</i> No formal education Primary Secondary Higher education	$(\chi^2 = -\chi^2)$ 29 (3.03) 46 (4.81) 58 (6.07) 31 (3.24)	$\begin{array}{l} = 43.31, \mathrm{df} = 24 \ p = \\ 26 \ (2.72) \\ 26 \ (2.72) \\ 83 \ (8.68) \\ 108 \ (11.30) \\ 47 \ (4.92) \end{array}$	0.059) 82 (8.58) 139 (14.54) 192 (20.08) 115 (12.03)	$(\chi^2 = 14)$ 8 (0.84) 23 (2.41) 31 (3.24) 21 (2.20)		0.001 *) 77 (8.05) 101 (10.57) 149 (15.59) 60 (6.28)	$\begin{array}{l} \chi^2 = (\chi^2) \\ 20 & (2.09) \\ 18 & (1.88) \\ 38 & (3.97) \\ 18 & (1.88) \end{array}$	= 45.9, df = 48 p = 27 (2.82) = 69 (7.22) = 79 (8.26) = 46 (4.81)	0.250) 90 (9.41) 181 (18.93) 241 (25.21) 129 (13.49)
Occupation Student Employed Farmer/business	$(\chi^2 = \chi^2 = 42 (4.39) $ 68 (7.11) 54 (5.65)	= 27.40, df = 16 p = 88 (9.21) 86 (9.00) 90 (9.41)	0.052) 157 (16.42) 181 (18.93) 190 (19.87)	$ \begin{pmatrix} \chi^2 = 1 \\ \chi^2 = 28 \\ (2.93) \\ 24 \\ (2.51) \\ 31 \\ (3.24) \end{pmatrix} $	105.09, df = 74 $p < 0.134 (14.02)$ 209 (21.86) 143 (14.96)	 < 0.001*) 125 (13.08) 102 (10.67) 160 (16.74) 	$\begin{array}{c} (\chi^2 = \\ 25 \ (2.62) \\ 23 \ (2.41) \\ 46 \ (4.81) \end{array}$	55.47, df = $32 p$ = 59 (6.17) 92 (9.62) 70 (7.32)	0.008*) 203 (21.23) 220 (23.01) 218 (22.80)
Monthly income (naira) None <18,000	$(\chi^2 = -177 (8.05) (28 (2.93))$	= 24.75 , df = $24 p$ = 124 (12.97) 46 (4.81)	0.333) 280 (29.29) 91 (9.52)	$\chi^2 = 1(\chi^2 = 1(4.71))$ 11 (1.15)	$\chi^2 = 162.71$, df = 111 $p <$ (4.71) 216 (22.59) (1.15) 76 (7.95)	< 0.001 *) 220 (23.01) 78 (8.16)	$(\chi^2 = 60 \ (6.28) \\ 9 \ (0.94)$	62.01, df = 48 p 103 (10.77) 41 (4.29)	$= 0.078) \\ 318 (33.26) \\ 115 (12.03)$
									(continued)
Table II.Patients' KAP scorescategorization andassociation withindependentvariables (N = 956)								malaria	Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated

JHR 34,4	scores $n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	75) 129 (13.49) 30) 79 (8.26)	$\begin{array}{l} 4 \ p < 0.001^{*}) \\ 49) & 83 \ (8.68) \\ 39) & 364 \ (38.08) \\ 364 \ (3200) \\ 24) & 194 \ (20.29) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ll} 4 \ p < 0.001^{*}) \\ 30) & 51 \ (5.33) \\ 251 \ (26.26) \\ 339 \ (35.46) \end{array}$	1 1 1	= 21.42, df = 4 $p < 0.001$ *)	97) 115 (12.03) 12) 367 (38.39) 02) 159 (16.63)	$\begin{array}{ll} 4 \ b &= 0.277 \\ 94 & 29 \\ 57 & 29 \\ 67 & 43 \\ 64 \\ 56 \\ 69 \\ 69 \\ 59 \\ 59 \\ 59 \\ 59 \\ 59$
336	 Practices scores Moderate n (%) 	55 (5.75) 22 (2.30)	$ \begin{aligned} & (\chi^2 = 48.90, \mathrm{df} = 4 \ p \\ & (92, 62) \\ & (69) \\ & (128, (13.39) \\ & (13.39) \\$	= 44.20, df = 4 p 22 (2.30) 135 (14.12) 64 (6.69)	1	= 21.42, df =	38 (3.97) 135 (14.12) 48 (5.02)	f = 5.10, df = 4 p 9 (0.94) 16 (1.67) 196 (20.50)
	$\Pr_{n(\%)}$	16 (1.67) 9 (0.94)	$\begin{array}{c} (\chi^{2} \\ 19 \\ 64 \\ 669 \\ 11 \\ (1.15) \end{array}$	$(\chi^2 = \chi^2)$ 10 (1.05) 52 (5.44) 32 (3.35)	1 1 1	(χ^2 =	25 (2.62) 65 (6.80) 4 (0.42)	$\begin{array}{c} (\chi^2 \\ 9 \ (0.94) \\ 7 \ (0.73) \\ 78 \ (8.16) \end{array}$
	$\frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	59 (6.17) 30 (3.14)	: 0.001 *) 42 (4.39) 227 (23.75) 166 (17.37)	1 1 1	: 0.001 *) 32 (3.35) 64 (6.69) 339 (35.46)	: 0.012*)	77 (8.05) 245 (25.62) 113 (11.82)	: 0.004 *) 20 (2.09) 27 (2.82) 388 (40.59)
	Attitudes scores Moderate n (%)	121 (12.66) 73 (7.64)	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1 1 1	$ \begin{pmatrix} \chi^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 44.20, df = 4 p < 0.001^* \\ 52 (5.44) \\ 52 (5.44) \\ 135 (14.12) \\ 64 (6) \\ 135 (14.12) \\ 64 (6) \\ 133 \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 339 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 339 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 251 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 230 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 239 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 233 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 233 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 233 (3) \\ 231 (26.26) \\ 231 (26$	= 12.76, df = 4 p = 0.012*)	86 (9.00) 262 (27.41) 90 (9.41)	$ \begin{array}{ll} \chi^2 = 15.44, \mathrm{df} = 4 \ p = \mathbf{0.004^*}) \\ 121) & 25 \ (2.62) & 20 \ (1.46) & 25 \ (2.62) & 27 \ (1.46) & 388 \ (40.59) & 388 \ (4$
	Poor n (%)	20 (2.09) 7 (0.73)	$(\chi^2 = (\chi^2)^2 = 0.111 (1.15) (0.38) (0.111) (1.15) (1.1$		$(\chi^2 = 10 \ (1.05) \ 22 \ (2.30) \ 51 \ (5.33)$	$(\chi^2 =$	15 (1.57) 60 (6.28) 8 (0.84)	2 ((14 () 67 () degr
	s $Good$ n (%)	99 (10.36) 58 (6.07)	1 1 1).001*) 11 (1.15) 59 (6.17) 166 (17.37)).001*) 11 (1.15) 31 (3.24) 194 (20.29)	.049*)	43 (4.50) 132 (13.80) 61 (6.38)	0.484) 12 (1.26) 15 (1.57) 209 (21.86) chi-square; df =
	Knowledge scores Moderate n (%)	58 (6.07) 36 (3.77)	1 1 1	$\begin{array}{l} 97.54, \mathrm{df} = 4 \ p < 0.001^*, \\ 61 \ (6.38) & 11 \\ 268 \ (28.03) & 59 \\ 227 \ (23.75) & 166 \ (\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	9.55, df = $4 p = 0.049^{*}$)	100 (10.46) 328 (34.31) 128 (13.40)	= 3.46, df = 4 p = 0.484) 30 (3.14) 1 (3.14) 33 (4.50) 483 (50.52) 0.05; χ^2 = Pearson's chi-squ
	$\operatorname{Poor}_{n}(\%)$	43 (4.50) 16 (1.67)		$\begin{array}{c} (\chi^2 = 0 \\ 11 \ (1.15) \\ 111 \ (11.61) \\ 42 \ (4.39) \end{array}$	$(\chi^2 = -\chi^2)$ 19 (1.99) 62 (6.49) 83 (8.68)	$(\chi^2 = 0)$	35 (3.66) 107 (11.19) 22 (2.30)	$\begin{array}{l} \left(\chi^2 = \\ 5 \ (0.52) \\ 8 \ (0.84) \\ 151 \ (15.79) \\ ant \ at \ p < 0.0 \end{array}\right)$
Table II.	L Variables	18,000-40,000 >40,000	<i>Knowledge</i> Poor Moderate Good	<i>Attitudes</i> Poor Moderate Good	<i>Practices</i> Poor Moderate Good	Number of anti-malarial and	uajunu urugs uumunisiereu ≤3 4−5 ≥6	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

[26, 27]. Combinations of all these factors help enable females to gain more understanding through better practices on appropriate management of the disease from healthcare workers who counselled them when they visited the PHC facilities for medications or as caregivers for children when ill. This was consistent with the findings of a study conducted in Ghana by Appiah-Darkwah and Badu-Nyarko [28]. The significant associations in the respondents' knowledge and attitudes based on their age categories were similar to the outcomes of a related study conducted in Tanzania [29]. Furthermore, the significant associations in attitudes of respondents based on their levels of education, occupation and monthly income were consistent with the results of a study reported by Kigodi and Komanya [29]. These further confirmed the disease was more common among rural settlers, as the majority of them were peasant farmers with less financial strength compared to those in the urban areas who were mostly salary earners as reported by Oyindamola *et al.* [30]. However, to the best of our current knowledge, no further comparison can be made to present significant associations between study variables due to a lack of published related data and analysis.

The significant low negative correlations between patients' age and KAP scores on uncomplicated malaria showed a likely decrease in KAP with increased age of the patients. However, this was not the same as a study conducted by Vodouhe *et al* [31] that reported respondents <19 years old were more likely not to adhere to medications. Furthermore, the negative correlations between respondents' knowledge and attitudes with monthly earnings implied that increased earnings had negative impacts on the respondents' knowledge and attitudes. However, the reported positive correlations between practices with financial strength signified the possibility that the respondents could afford to pay for their medications during disease management time with ease compared to those earning less, or those not on any sources of income [32, 33]. On a general note, the observed strength of correlation within patients' KAP scores (Table IV) might help explain the interdependency of the variables on each other in achieving the desired therapeutic outcomes during disease management, as supported by another study conducted in Zambia [34], although Gumucio *et al.* [35] reported low or no connection between attitudes and practices.

The outcomes of multinomial logistic regression were in agreement with similar previous studies that reported socio-demographic characteristics of respondents as likely predictors of

Independent variables	Kn Rho	owledge <i>p</i> -value	At Rho	ttitudes <i>p</i> -value	Pra Rho	ctices <i>p</i> -value
Age (years old) Monthly income (naira) Number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered	$-0.29 \\ -0.16 \\ 0.04$	<0.001** 0.068 0.271	$-0.23 \\ -0.36 \\ 0.06$	<0.001** <0.001** 0.077	$-0.25 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.02$	0.030* 0.036* 0.520
Note: Rho = Spearman's rank correlation co significant at $p < 0.001$	efficient;	* = statistical	ly signifi	cant at <i>p</i> < 0.05	5; ** = st	atistically

Variables	Knowledge	Attitudes	Practices	
Knowledge Attitudes	$\frac{1}{0.34^{a^*}}$	0.34^{a^*} 1	0.39^{b^*} 0.29^{c^*}	Ta
Practices Note : ^a p -value < 0. p < 0.001 level	0.39 ^{b*} 001*; ^b <i>p</i> -value < 0.001*; ^c <i>p</i> -val	0.29 ^{c*} ue < 0.001*; * correlation was	1 significant at the	Correlations dependent v scores (N

Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated malaria

337

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Correlations between} \\ \mbox{patients' continuous} \\ \mbox{independent variables} \\ \mbox{with KAP} \\ \mbox{scores} (N = 956) \end{array}$

Table III.

Effect	K MFC -2LL	Knowledge scores LRT χ^2 df t	ge score LRT df	tres T <i>p</i> -value	MFC -2LL	Attitudes scores LRT χ^2 df df	s scores LRT df	es TT <i>p</i> -value	MFC —2LL	Practices scores LRT χ^2 df	s scores LRT df	es T <i>p</i> -value
		<				<				<		J
Intercent	1069.30	0	C	I	994.73	0	С	I	992.44	C	С	I
Candar	1070 70	, 1 40	~ -	0.937	1001 19	646	~ -	0.011*	009 59	0 UD	~ -	0.771
	1001 51	04-T	- c	107.0 **100.0/	GT-TOOT	07:0 96 9	ı د		20.266	0.02	- c	1110
vge (years)	10.1201	17.22	n i		00.1001	07.0	n i	0.099	227.20	0.4.0	n i	0.930
Marital status	1070.53	1.23	21	0.542	995.13	0.40	N	0.821	996.04	3.60	2	0.165
ducation level	1080.25	10.95	co i	0.012^{*}	1000.31	5.58	က	0.134	993.01	0.58	ŝ	0.902
Occupation	1072.92	3.62	2	0.164	08.666	5.07	2	0.049^{*}	993.25	0.81	~	0.668
Monthly income (naira)	1071.60	2.30	က	0.512	1004.81	10.08	က	0.018^{*}	997.72	5.28	က	0.043^{*}
Knowledge					1011.29	16.56	2	<0.001**	1020.26	27.82	2	<0.001**
Attitudes	1079.22	9.92	2	0.007*	I	I	I	I	1021.73	29.29	2	<0.001**
ractices	1094.04	24.74	2	<0.001**	1023.94	29.21	0	<0.001**	I	I	I	I
Number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered	1072.11	2.80	2	0.246	996.20	1.47	2	0.480	997.72	5.29	2	0.041^{*}
Healthcare workers' practices	1070.77	1.46	2	0.481	995.83	1.10	2	0.578	993.08	0.64	0	0.726
Note: $-2LL = -2 \log$ -likelihood of reduced model; $\chi^2 = chi-square; LRT = likelihood ratio tests; MFC = model fitting criteria; df = degree of freedom; * = statistically similiront at h < 0.05. ** = statistically similiront at h < 0.01$	$\chi^2 = \text{chi-squa}$ t at $b < 0.001$	are; LRT	= lik	elihood ratio 1	tests; MFC	= mode	l fittin	g criteria; df =	= degree of	freedom	н Ц	statistically

JHR 34,4

338

Table V

Multinor regressio patients' variables scores (A

Variables	Knowledge scores Exp(β) (95% CI)	scores <i>p</i> -values	Exp(β) (95% CI)	cores p -values	Exp(β) (95% CI)	scores p -values
Intercept	I	0.133		0.781		<0.001**
<i>Gender</i> Male Female	0.80 (0.45–1.55) Reference	0.251 _	0.96 (0.71–1.28) _	0.006*	0.97 (0.56–1.48) _	0.771 -
Age (years) <18 18-29 30-41 >41	0.31 (0.17–0.57) 0.46 (0.28–0.70) 1.03 (0.70–1.49) Reference	<0.001** 0.002** 0.898	$\begin{array}{c} 0.57 & (0.31 - 1.06) \\ 1.10 & (0.66 - 1.85) \\ 0.98 & (0.66 - 1.47) \\ \end{array}$	0.076 0.712 0.934	0.97 (0.50–1.87) 1.14 (0.67–1.92) 1.08 (0.73–1.60)	0.930 0.631 -
<i>Marital status</i> Never married Married Divorced/widowed	1.02 (0.63–1.64) 0.83 (0.57–1.20) Reference	0.948 0.324 -	1.02 (0.69-1.50) 0.88 (0.54-1.42)	0.589 0.938 	0.98 (0.59–1.61) 1.40 (0.95–2.10) –	0.926 0.093 -
Education level No formal education Primary education Secondary education Higher education	2.36 (1.24 – 4.48) 2.11 (1.32-37.00) 1.58 (1.04-2.40) Reference	0.009* 0.002** 0.032*	$\begin{array}{c} 0.76 & (0.39 - 1.47) \\ 1.25 & (0.76 - 2.05) \\ 0.88 & (0.57 - 1.37) \end{array}$	0.409 0.375 0.575	$\begin{array}{c} 1.13 \ (0.58-2.21) \\ 0.92 \ (0.57-1.50) \\ 0.96 \ (0.62-1.48) \\ \end{array}$	0.719 0.743 0.839 -
Occupation Student Employed Farmer/business	1.51 (0.93–2.45) 0.93 (0.62–1.40) Reference	0.096 0.731 –	1.15 (0.70–1.88) 1.63 (1.06–2.50) –	0.586 0.025* _	0.84 (0.51–1.40) 1.09 (0.71–1.68) –	0.509 0.703 -
						(continued)

Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated malaria

339

Table VI.Details of multinomial
logistic regression
between patients'
independent variables
and KAP
categories (N = 956)

their KAPs [36], but they were in contrast with a report of Simsek and Kurcer [37]. The details of the result showed that male patients were less likely to possess good attitudes on uncomplicated malaria and its management compared to females. Moreover, although the effects on knowledge and practices were insignificant (Table VI), they were in agreement with previous studies conducted in the southern part of Nigeria which indicated the likelihood of females taking better care of their health than their male counterparts [38]; this, however, contrasted with another study conducted in Ethiopia and India, which reported males were more likely to be knowledgeable than females [39, 40]. The positive influence of age on respondents' knowledge on the disease and its management agreed with other studies conducted among community members in Ethiopia which reported age as a factor that influenced respondents' knowledge on malaria and on mosquito-biting behaviours [40]. The education level of the respondents was also a predictor of their knowledge on the disease and its management, and this was consistent with previous studies [40, 41], but in contrast with reports of Das and Ravindran [39], Furthermore, the employed had been more likely to exhibit positive attitudes during the management of the ailments, which might be linked to the possible influence of a monthly income. This study showed that higher salary earners were likely to possess better attitudes and practices with regard to the disease. This might be linked to the issue of affordability, because poor people who are the most affected by the disease [32, 33, 42] tend to spend less money during treatment because they cannot afford the high cost of medication based on their financial strengths compared to those with a better source of income who tend to seek better treatment when sick, as cost implications are not an issue as they can afford to pay. Poor practices by respondents who were prescribed and dispensed a large number of drugs were not unexpected, as it could be the case that they may not be able to understand all the information given such as about the drugs and when to take them, as they had not had any form of medical training [43, 44]. This implied that prescribing fewer drugs, in addition to appropriate patients' education, might help in shaping their behavioural activities which might further influence their attitudes and how they carry out medication practices for a desired therapeutic outcome.

Conclusion

The overall outcomes of the study showed significant differences and relationships between the variables, and the overall outcomes of multinomial logistic regression analysis indicated that patients' knowledge on uncomplicated malaria was predicted from age, highest level of education, attitudes and practices, while their attitudes were predicted by gender, occupation, monthly income, knowledge and practices. Their practice with regard to the treatment of malaria was significantly predicted by their monthly incomes, knowledge, attitudes and number of anti-malarial and adjunct drugs administered.

Conflict of interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

- 1. Federal Ministry of Health [FMOH]. National guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of malaria. (3rd Edition), Abuja-Nigeria; 2015.
- World Health Organization [WHO]. World malaria report. Geneva: 2017, Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 3. Gopalakrishnan S, Udayshankar PM, Rama R. Standard treatment guidelines in primary healthcare practice. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2014; 3(4): 424-9.
- 4. Ofori Asenso R, Agyeman AA. Irrational use of medicines: a summary of key concepts. Pharmacy. 2016; 4(4): 35.
- 5. Edet-Utan O, Ojediran T, Usman S, Akintayo-Usman NO, Fadero T, Oluberu OA, et al. Knowledge, perception and practice of malaria management among non-medical students of higher

Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated malaria

341

institutions in Osun State Nigeria. Am J Biotech Med Res. 2016; 1(1): 5-9. doi: 10.5455/ajbmr. 20151226010533.

- Ezenduka CC, Ogbonna BO, Ekwunife OI, Okonta MJ, Esimone CO. Drugs use pattern for uncomplicated malaria in medicine retail outlets in Enugu urban, southeast Nigeria: implications for malaria treatment policy. Malar J. 2014 Jun 24; 13: 243.
- Eke RA, Diwe KC, Chineke HN, Duru CB, Uwakwe KA. Evaluation of the practice of selfmedication among undergraduates of imo state university (IMSU) Owerri, South-East Nigeria. Orient J Med. 2014; 26(3-4): 79-83.
- Liu J, Isiguzo C, Sieverding M. Differences in malaria care seeking and dispensing outcomes for adults and children attending drug vendors in Nasarawa, Nigeria. Trop Med Int Health. 2015; 20(8): 1081-92. doi: 10.1111/tmi.12520.
- Jimam NS, David S, Musa N, Kadir GA. Assessment of the knowledge and patterns of malaria management among the residents of Jos metropolies. World J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2015; 4(6): 1686-98.
- Abdulraheem I, Amodu M, Saka M, Bolarinwa O, Uthman M. Knowledge, awareness and compliance with standard precautions among healthcare workers in north-eastern Nigeria. J Com Med Health Edu. 2012; 2(3): 10-4. doi: 10.4172/jcmhe.1000131.
- Okumagba PO. Choice of health-care service utilization by the elderly in Delta state of Nigeria. J Socio Soc Anthrop. 2011; 2(2): 131-8.
- Ononokpono DN. Maternal health care in Nigeria: do community factors moderate the effects of individual-level Education and Ethnic origin?. Afri Pop Stud. 2015; 29(1): 1554-69. doi: 10.11564/29-1-702.
- Onwujekwe O, Obikeze E, Uzochukwu B, Okoronkwo I, Onwujekwe OC. Improving quality of malaria treatment services: assessing inequities in consumers' perceptions and workers' behaviour in Nigeria. Intern J Equity Health. 2010; 9(1): 22. doi: 10.1186/1475-9276-9-22.
- Sambo MN, Lewis I, Sabitu K. Essential drugs in primary health centres of north central Nigeria; where is Bamako initiative? Nig J Clin Prac. 2008; 11(1): 9-13.
- Uzochukwu BS, Onwujekwe OE, Akpala CO. Effect of the Bamako-Initiative drug revolving fund on availability and rational use of essential drugs in primary healthcare facilities in south-eastern Nigeria. Health Policy Plan. 2002; 17(4): 378-83. doi: 10.1093/heapol/17.4.378.
- Afolabi A. Factors influencing the pattern of self-medication in an adult Nigerian population. Annals of Afr Med. 2008; 7(3): 120-7.
- Jimam NS, Ismail NE. Patients' knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) on uncomplicated malaria management in Plateau state, north-central Nigeria. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2019; 12(3): 299-303. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2019.v12i3.30459.
- Ismail NE, Jimam NS, Dapar MLP. Assessment of primary health care workers' knowledge, attitudes and practices on uncomplicated malaria management in Plateau state, Nigeria. Int J Pharm Sci Res. 2019; 10(1): 286-93. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.10(1).286-93.
- Noland GS, Graves PM, Sallau A, Eigege A, Emukah E, Patterson AE, *et al.* Malaria prevalence, anemia and baseline intervention coverage prior to mass net distributions in Abia and Plateau states, Nigeria. BMC Infect Dis. 2014; 14(1): 168. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-168.
- Olayemi IK, Ande AT, Odeyemi MO, Ibemesi G, Emmanuel R. Temporal ecologic adaptability of the principal vector of malaria *Anopheles* gambiae s.l. (Diptera: Culicidae), in north-central Nigeria. Applied Sc Reports. 2014; 5(3): 110-7. doi: 10.15192/PSCP.ASR.2014.1.3.110117.
- Ghasemi A, Zahediasl S. Normality tests for statistical analysis: a guide for non-statisticians. Int J Endocrinol Metab. 2012; 10(2): 486-9. doi: 10.5812/ijem.3505.
- Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences. In: Hillsdale NJ. (Ed). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1988.
- 23. Muleme J, Kankya C, Ssempebwa JC, Mazeri S, Muwonge A. A framework for integrating qualitative and quantitative data in knowledge, attitude and practice studies: a case study of

342

IHR

34.4

pesticide usage in eastern Uganda. Frontiers in Pub Health. 2017; 5: 318. doi: 10.3389/fpubh. 2017.00318.

- 24. Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Neter J, Li W. Applied linear statistical models. 5th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2005: 324-30.
- 25. Field A. Discovering statistics using SPSS for windows. 3rd ed. London: Thousand Oaks; 2009.
- Burlando A, Chukwuocha UM, Dozie IN, Crompton PD, Moebius J, Waisberg M, et al. Malnutrition: the leading cause of immune deficiency diseases worldwide. Int J Afr Nurs Sci. 2014; 3(3): 4327-38. doi: 10.1186/s40249-015-0054-0.
- Fuge TG, Ayanto SY, Gurmamo FL. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice about malaria and ITNs utilization among pregnant women in Shashogo District, Southern Ethiopia. Malar J. 2015; 14(1): 235. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0755-7.
- 28. Appiah-Darkwah I, Badu-Nyarko K. Knowledge of malaria prevention and control in a sub-urban community in Accra, Ghana. Int J Trop Med. 2011; 6(3): 61-9.
- Kigodi KN, Komanya MK. Malaria and anti-malarial drugs utilisation among adults in a rural coastal community of Tanzania: knowledge, attitude and practice study. Dar es Salaam Med Students J. 2006; 14(1): 4-10.
- Oyindamola BY, Babatunde WA, Oladimeji OO, David H, Peters DB. Poverty and fever vulnerability in Nigeria: a multilevel analysis. Malar J. 2010; 9(1): 235. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-9-235.
- Vodouhe MV, Obossou AAA, Sidi IR, Hounkponoun FM, Salifou K, Dah-Bolinon SY, *et al.* Determinants of adherence to intermittent preventive treatment for malaria with sulfadoxinepyrimethamine (IPTp-SP) in pregnant women in Parakou (Benin). J Preg Child Health. 2016; 3(5): 282. doi: 10.4172/2376-127X.1000282.
- 32. Lutala PM, Kasereka CM, Kasagila EK, Inipavudu JB, Toranke SI. Quality assurance of malaria case management in an urban and in sub-rural health centres in Goma, Congo. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med. 2011; 3(1): 8. doi: 10.4102/phcfm.v3i1.225.
- World Bank. The World Bank annual report 2016. Washington, DC: World Bank, 2016, License: CC BY 3.0 IGO.
- 34. Nzooma SM, Tembo-Mwase E, Gebreslasie M, Mukaratirwa S. Knowledge, attitudes and practices in the control and prevention of malaria in four endemic provinces of Zambia, Southern Afr J Infect Dis. 2017; 32(1): 29-39, doi: 10.1080/23120053.2016.1205330.
- 35. Gumucio S, Merica M, Luhmann N, Fauvel G, Zompi S, Ronsse A, *et al.* Data collection quantitative methods, the KAP survey model (knowledge, attitude and practices). Saint Etienne, France: IGC communigraphie; 2011: 4-7.
- Konrad AM, Hartmann L. Gender differences in attitudes toward affirmative action programs in Australia: effects of beliefs, interests, and attitudes towards women. Gender Roles. 2002; 45(5-6): 415-32.
- Simsek Z, Kurcer MA. Malaria: knowledge and behaviour in an endemic rural area of Turkey. Pub Health. 2005; 119(3): 202-8.
- Adetola OT, Aishat LL, Olusola O. Perception and treatment practices of malaria among tertiary institution students in Oyo and Osun States, Nigeria. J Nat Sc Res. 2014; 4(5): 30-3.
- Das A, Ravindran TKS. Community knowledge on malaria among febrile patients in an endemic district of Orissa, India. J Vector Borne Dis. 2011; 48(1): 46–51.
- Hamza TA, Azmach NN, Abdella A. Community knowledge, attitude and practice about malaria and mosquito biting behavior in Southern Ethiopia. American J BioSci. 2017; 5(5): 80-8. doi: 10.11648/j.ajbio.20170505.12.
- 41. Kimbi KH, Nkesa SB, Ndamukong-Nyanga JL, Sumbele IUN, Atashili J, Atanga MBS. Knowledge and perceptions toward malaria prevention among vulnerable groups in the Buea Health District, Cameroon. BMC Public Health. 2014; 14(883). doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-883.
- Singh AR, Singh SA. Diseases of poverty and lifestyle, well-being and human development. Mens Sana Monogr. 2008 Jan; 6(1): 187-225.

Patients' KAP predictors on uncomplicated malaria

JHR 34,4	43. Edet-Utan O, Ojediran T, Usman S, Akintayo UN, Fadero T, Oluberu O, et al. Knowledge, perception and practice of malaria management among non-medical students of higher institutions in Osun state, Nigeria. Am J Biotech Med Res. 2016; 1(1): 5-9. doi: 10.5455/ajbmr. 20151226010533.
	44. Ola AA, Azza AEM, Ahmed AE, Abdallah MS. WHO/INRUD drug use indicators at primary healthcare centers in Alexandria, Egypt: clinical study. J Taibah Univer Med Sc. 2014; 9(1): 54-64.
344	• Corresponding author Nanloh Samuel Jimam can be contacted at: jimamnanloh@gmail.com

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com