Blue and red in financial documents: the influence on attentional mechanisms and behavior
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Abstract
Purpose – This study investigates whether colors red or blue in financial disclosure documents (Key Investor Information Documents – KIIDs) affect attention distribution toward the visual stimulus and the perception of financial attractiveness of the products.

Design/methodology/approach – In order to observe and measure financial consumers’ visual attention, the unobtrusive methodology of eye-tracking is used on a sample of nonprofessional investors, applying an ecological protocol, through a cross-sectional design.

Findings – Financial information processing and visual attention distribution are influenced by the color of the KIID document, as red seems to attract attention, proxied by gazing behavior, more than blue. Red color, compared to blue, is also observed to push investors to rate the products as less financially attractive, especially when the product Risk Reward Profile is high.

Practical implications – The findings highlight the role of the basic visual properties of documents conveying financial information, prompting to investigate the unconscious and automatic mechanisms of individual’s attention and its influence on decision making.
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1. Introduction

By processing a vast amount of visual information automatically, the brain makes decisions long before we know about it (Soon et al., 2008). Among the sensory inputs that unconsciously affect our decision-making process, color plays a pivotal role, attracting the curiosity of researchers from different disciplines (Labrecque et al., 2013). Yet, in finance, there is scant academic research that deals with color adopting an interdisciplinary approach. The nascent discipline of neurofinance, which merges theories and methodologies from finance, neuroscience and psychology, can represent an interesting vehicle to answer unsolved research questions and address new ones, about the influence of color on consumers' behavior. Marketing research has shown that color is crucial in advertisements, packages and store designs (Bellizzi et al., 1983), influencing perceptions and behaviors (Aslam, 2006). At the same time, it is well known that one of the mechanisms by which this dominant visual feature affects consumers' decisions is its ability to grab attention (Lee and Barnes, 1989; Luna, 2008). Since attentional mechanisms occur below the awareness level, and subjects have poor introspective access to these processes (Camerer et al., 2005), researchers in finance have encountered difficulties in understanding how consumers' brain allocates attention to different properties of visual stimuli, including color, examining self-administered questionnaires and interviews. The eye-tracking methodology allows overcoming this problem: it exploits the infrared light to detect the corneal reflection, captures visual behavior with a sub-millisecond temporal resolution and reveals the exact gaze positions on the visual stimulus, thus providing a reliable proxy of the visual attention function. The aim of this study is to advance the knowledge on the link between color and consumers' attention and behavior, attempting to quantify the visual attention distribution process towards financial information objectively.

In a previous study, Ceravolo et al. (2019) proposed a new experimental procedure applying the eye-tracking tool to explore the influence played by the presentational layout of a financial document on consumers' visual exploration strategies and the product attractiveness perception, revealing that this visual feature of the document through which financial information is conveyed impacts on both consumers' visual attention and behavior. In this study, we aim to investigate the role played by a different contextual factor, i.e. the color. The literature on the influence of contextual factors on consumer decision making has shown the importance of colors in influencing consumer perceptions, revealing that yellow, green, orange, red, purple and blue impact consumers' emotions, perceptions and performance. Among the different hues, blue and red occupy a crucial role for both biological and evolutionary reasons. Recently, the study by Song et al. (2020) has suggested that visually warm advertisements (vs visually cool ones) from financial service providers could positively impact customers' investment intentions. However, the effects of color on behaviors are context-dependent (Chan and Park, 2015). The context of advertising differs from that of disclosure, through which investors receive key facts to make informed decisions about the underlying financial products. In this context, applying blue and red to color the sections of the documents related to the risk-reward profile and the graph of the product's past performance, as occurs in the real financial disclosure documents, might elicit different reactions than those observed with the financial advertising material. We, therefore, aim to analyze how blue and red impact attention allocation during the reading of financial disclosure documents and on the subsequent phase of rating the financial attractiveness of these products. In order to study the influence of color within
financial documents on consumers’ behavior, we relied on the Key Investor Information Document (henceforth KIID). The KIID is a plainly worded, two-A4 page document, recently introduced by the UCITS directive to foster harmonization of pre-contractual information and raise the level of investors’ protection. We applied what is considered the most adequate neuroscientific tool to study the process of visual attention, i.e. the eye-tracking, which allows detecting the locus of eye fixation [1], the average duration fixation, total fixation time and the scan path during the stimulus visual scanning process.

Results reveal that color plays a role in modulating both individuals’ attention and the following perceived attractiveness of financial products. The presence of the red color within the document can attract more attention toward specific sources of information than blue. Finally, color is found to influence the perception of product financial attractiveness: red is associated with a greater proportion of financial products perceived as poorly attractive than the blue color.

The contribution of this research is manifold. Firstly, we observe that in financial disclosure documents color influences attention allocation, with the red color attracting more attention and driving a greater spontaneous visual focus to some information sources. While this result is not completely new for the marketing domain (e.g. Mehta and Zhu 2009), it is innovative for academics and practitioners from finance who deal, among other things, with the ergonomics of financial documents. This study highlights that the neurofinance discipline is salient for regulators and policymakers interested in the transparency of information disclosed to financial consumers. Hence, we claim that supervisors and regulators should consider neuroscientific insights and the unconscious physiological mechanisms that underlie the decision-making of the final recipients of the communication when designing financial documents. Secondly, we contribute to the finance literature advancing the knowledge on the link between color and financial consumers’ behavior. We demonstrate that even in the financial domain, it is important to study that link considering the context in which subjects are embedded since the use of color in disclosure documents might have a different impact with respect to that elicited by the same colors applied in financial advertising documents. We did so by applying the eye-tracking that offers new objective insights with respect to more traditional self-report methods. Finally, the study is relevant in demonstrating that even the smallest details in the layout of the financial disclosure documents can affect products’ attractiveness perception and, thus, behavior. Attention is a crucial phase of the decision-making process. Its distribution and allocation are directly linked to decisional outcome; thus, this study clarifies the relationship between visual stimulus features and attentional mechanisms and behavior, underpinning the need to study automatic processes to understand consumers’ choices.

The remainder of the paper continues as follows: Section 2 describes the literature review, Section 3 reports on the method, Section 4 illustrates the results and the discussion is provided in Section 5.

2. Literature review

2.1 Color and attention

Among the different cues that aid visual stimuli processing, color occupies an important place (Singh, 2006), given its role in object recognition, stimulus discrimination and scene segmentation (Gegenfurtner and Rieger, 2000; Hansen and Gegenfurtner, 2009). Information processing about hue by the brain takes place at the early stages of visual processing. Therefore, color plays a crucial role in triggering attention shifts, affecting visual exploration and conveying meaningful information (Elliot and Maier, 2012). From a psychological theoretical perspective, there are two explanations for why colors carry communication values: an evolutionary biology explanation and a social learning one.
color associations emerge from genetically ingrained responses to critical fitness-relevant color stimuli in an individual’s environment. Among the different colors, red and blue may enjoy a special status: red is the color of many hostile phenomena as blood or fire (Changizi et al., 2006), anger and poisonous or dangerous animals (Moller et al., 2009). Blue is generally seen as a secure color related to positive phenomena as the water and the sky (Murray and Deabler, 1957; Schaie, 1961). On the other hand, according to the social learning explanation, color associations originate from repeated pairings of a color with subjective experiences (Elliot and Maier, 2012). In many cultures, the negative perception of red color starts in early schooling as students receive feedback regarding academic errors in red (Elliot et al., 2009) and associate the color with alarms and stop signs that convey danger while commanding enhanced attention. Oppositely, blue is associated with safety, trust, efficiency, duty and logic (Wright, 1988; Mahnke, 1996; Fraser and Banks, 2004), and as a “cool” color, it evokes more positive evaluations than “warm” colors (i.e. red) [2].

Studies conducted on the impact of colors on physiological reactions underline a central role played by the color red. In the context of motor response, the view of the color red, by the elicitation of fear, leads to an increase in the response’s strength and velocity (Elliot and Aarts, 2011). At the same time, the view of the red several seconds before the motor task, causing anxiety rather than fear, impairs motor production (Payen et al., 2011). With respect to blue, red is associated with a stronger skin conductance response and higher heart rate and blood pressure, confirming it is an arousing visual input, while cool colors are generally associated to relaxation and calmness (Bellizzi et al., 1983; Labrecque et al., 2013). Detecting and quantifying the role played by color on attention is challenging without adopting an interdisciplinary approach. The visual field always presents several stimuli at the same time. Since the brain’s ability to process them is limited, stimuli are filtered through non-conscious neural mechanisms (McMains and Kastner, 2010). Therefore, conventional approaches based on self-administered questionnaires or interviews are not suitable to study attention mechanisms. Studies that investigated color as a special property facilitating the attentional processing of a visual stimuli have been conducted in the psychophysical and visual search streams of the literature (Wolfe, 2000; Wright, 1972). For instance, examining the electrophysiological correlates of focused attention during visual search, measured by the electroencephalogram, Fortier-Gauthier et al. (2013) show that simple red targets are associated to enhanced subjects’ attention. Applying the eye-tracking methodology, Hagtvedt and Brasel (2017) show how color saturation draws attention. Indeed, eye-tracking represents one of the tools that permits overcoming the limitations of self-reported measures since the measure of eye-muscle movements provides indices of brain functioning and ongoing affective and cognitive processes. The locus of the eye fixation and the total fixation time information about the influence exerted by the stimulus features on the flow of information to the brain through the visual system. The traditional self-report methodologies would not permit this depth in the investigation, given individuals’ inability to detect phenomena that occur automatically and below awareness level. Conversely, the eye-tracking methodology uses infrared light to measure very small eye movements and offers objective parameters about the exploration pattern. To isolate the influence played by color on attention, the experimental methodological approach has to control for several variables, requiring the adoption of visual stimuli matched in other physical qualities rather than colors. Although several hues have been proved to affect attention, we decided to focus on blue and red because they are on opposite sides of the color spectrum, they are widely used in financial prospectuses, and because their association with attention and behavior is grounded by psychological theory. We therefore formulate the following null hypothesis:

\[ H1. \text{ Subjects’ attention, as proxied by gazing behavior, toward financial disclosure documents is not affected by colors.} \]
2.2 Color and behavior
The evidence of a relationship between this feature of a visual stimulus—color—and behavior has grabbed the attention of researchers, especially from marketing, who over time investigate how different hues affect consumers’ perception. Over the last 30 years, a voluminous literature has been produced on how color affects emotional reactions, satisfaction and purchase intention, thus impacting on shopping outcomes (Roschk et al., 2017). Even if they are not the only colors able to affect emotions, perception and performance, blue and red represent the two most studied colors in the marketing literature (Su et al., 2019). Previous studies observed that blue, a color that induces relaxation, compared with warm colors as red or yellow, reduces website loading time perception (Gorn et al., 2004). Labrecque and Milne (2011) demonstrate how marketers can strategically use color to alter brand personality and purchase intent and how color influences the likability and familiarity of a brand. They observe how the brand’s use of the color red (and other warm colors) is associated with more excitement than the color blue (and other cool colors). Bagchi and Cheema (2013), using data from eBay auctions and the lab, observe that a red (vs blue) background elicits higher bid jumps in auctions but decreases offer in negotiations. Colors red and blue are also investigated in marketing in order to assess their influence on trust around a firm brand (Chaudhuri and Holbrook, 2001; Delgado-Ballester and Luís Munuera-Alemán, 2005; Mouzas, 2016): in this sense, Su et al. (2019) demonstrate, through different experiments, that blue increases trust more than red, providing insights for brand managers involved in brand logo design and redesign.

Another context in which color is relevant is that of investment decisions. Kliger and Gilad (2012) find that in financial decisions under uncertainty, red emphasizes the value losses of the underlying asset, resulting in the perception of higher probabilities for events involving the loss domain and lower probabilities for events in the gain domain. Congruently, Bazley et al. (2019) observe that when displaying past negative stock price trends in red color, expectations about future stock returns are lowered. Red is associated to a lower investors’ propensity to purchase stock, i.e. the representation of past negative stock price paths is associated to investors’ avoidance behavior. These findings are robust to a series of checks involving colorblind subjects and alternative colors to control for salience effects. Finally, bank marketing studies highlighted the relevance of color in web banking store design (Vrechopoulos and Atherinos, 2009; Loureiro and Sarmento, 2017; Chaouali et al., 2019, 2020). Color has been recognized as a fundamental feature of bank websites and in the design of mobile app since its ability to influence consumers’ behavioral intentions, especially those of young customers identified as Generation Y (Chaouali et al., 2019, 2020). Similarly to the virtual shopping landscape, financial consumers are struck, though also reassured by web pages of banks that have shine colors. The recent paper by Song et al. (2020) on the effect of lettering case on trustworthiness perceptions and investment decisions has revealed a moderating role of color in the advertising context, showing that warm colors strengthen the perceived trustworthiness in the financial ad. Given that the contexts of investment platforms and financial advertising differ from the setting of disclosing key information to investors to allow them to make informed decisions, and since findings from marketing and finance drive different results, we propose the following null hypothesis:

\[ \text{H2. Subjects’ declared perception of financial product attractiveness is not affected by the presence of color in disclosure documents.} \]

3. Method
3.1 Eye-tracking device
Eye movements are recorded using the SMI REDn Scientific (SensoMotoric Instruments GmbH, Berlin, Germany) system, contact-free eye-tracking that allows head movement
compensation. The processor to record gaze data is located in a dedicated computer, embedding the eye-tracker. The system includes a 15.6" monitor that ensures non-invasive data collection since subjects do not have to wear special eye-tracking glasses, which could affect behavior. The sampling rate is 60 Hz. The system has a spatial resolution of 0.05° and a gaze position accuracy of 0.4°. Participants were seated at a distance of 60–80 cm from the laptop (screen resolution: 1,366 × 768; grey background). Before each test, the device is calibrated using the software's 5-point monitor calibration [3]. SMI REDn Scientific is also highly robust for vision corrections (glasses and contact lenses).

3.2 Participants
Participants are recruited through a call posted on a major University bulletin board. A window of no more than two weeks is selected in order to ensure rigorous data collection, avoiding students telling other participants about the experiment. Inclusion criteria are (1) calibration score above the acceptance threshold and (2) written informed consent to the investigation. Exclusion criteria are (1) color blindness, (2) impaired visual acuity in any eye, (3) strabismus, (4) any other acute or chronic eye disease (5) and any investment experience in financial products. In order to rule out visual acuity impairment or color blindness, we ascertain that subjects had undergone at least one ophthalmology appointment in their life. Moreover, we interview them to ascertain that they had never read a KIID until that moment, are completely unfamiliar with the disclosure documents, and, eventually, do not have any investment experience in financial products in order to obtain a homogenous sample of nonprofessional investors. Participants are given a brief introduction about eye-tracking data recording and are informed about their rights and the experimental procedure.

Fifty-three students (34 males and 19 females) agree to join the study. Five of them are excluded based on the eligibility criteria. The mean age of the 48 enrolled subjects (30 males and 18 females) is 23 years (SD = 2). A total number of 864 observations is collected, as result of 18 stimuli presented to each participant. The sample size is in line with many previous studies conducted using eye-tracking (i.e. Davenport, 2007; Djamashi et al., 2010; Sharif and Maletic, 2010).

3.3 Task and procedure
The study protocol implies the presentation of 18 consecutive slides, displaying as many different KIIDs, for a maximum of 60 s (s) each. Out of the 18 KIIDs, 9 are red (Hue, Saturation, Lightness: 0, 255, 128) and 9 are blue (Hue, Saturation, Lightness: 146, 255, 96), and their presentation to subjects follows a random order. During the experimental session, with a within-subject manipulation, each participant is exposed to the two color conditions. Before each trial, a fixation cross (approximately 1 × 1 cm) appears in the center of the screen, on which the participants are instructed to fixate. The trial starts automatically if the participants fixate their gaze on the cross for at least 500 milliseconds (ms). This is to ensure that every participant would be looking at the center of the stimulus display at the beginning of the trial. Participants are instructed to move to the next trial, pressing the space bar, as soon as they feel ready to rate the financial attractiveness of the product: this avoids that they keep wandering with their eyes on the screen when they have completed the visual information scan. After each slide displaying a KIID, a slide follows questioning the participants about the financial attractiveness of the product. Participants are required to rate the product as “Lowly”, “Medium” or “Highly” financially attractive. The selection is made by sliding the laptop touchpad at the corresponding one of the three categories.

The structure of the KIIDs displayed to participants follows the UCITS directive and consists of the following sections: (1) investment Objectives and policy, (2) risk-reward profile (RRP), (3) Costs and charges and (4) Past performance. The blocks of information occupy the
four-quarters in the screen. The fifth section established by the directive – *practical information* – has been excluded since it discloses the name of the financial institution offering the product, likely eliciting affective reactions. In order to provide standard documents and ensure scientific validity, different precautions have been taken following an already validated protocol to investigate attentional mechanisms toward the KIIDs (Ceravolo et al., 2019). Blue and red colors have been applied to the sections *RRP* and the *Past performance*. The former is a seven-point scale; we excluded classes 1 and 7 to avoid any anchoring effect driven by extreme values. The latter displays the performance of the fund referred to the last 10 years and compared against a benchmark. The average duration of the experiment is 30 min, including the time experimenters dedicated to providing participants the brief introduction about eye-tracking, their rights during the experiment, the flow of the experimental procedure (displayed in Figure 1) and the experiment itself.

After the procedure, in order to filter out careless respondents, as attention checks, after the experiment, each participant was asked, firstly, if he/she had found products with RRP equal to 1, and then if he/she had found products with RRP equal to 7. As mentioned, no visual stimuli have been constructed with these two extreme values, so data about participants who would have answered yes would have been excluded. No participants fail the attention checks.

### 3.4 Outcome measures and data analysis

The eye-tracking analysis software allows the extrapolation of several quantitative variables describing the spatial and temporal parameters of gaze. Each stimulus is processed by dividing it into different areas of interest (AOIs) and, in particular, into *four main AOIs*
corresponding to the four KIID mandatory sections. We also detect four minor AOIs as follows: the years 2008–2010, representing the product trends through the years of the global financial crisis, the years 2015–2017, showing the performance of the latest years, the Disclaimer, informing financial consumers that past performances are not predictive of future returns, and the graphical Risk scale in the RRP sections. An example of the KIID displayed to participants and the stimulus partition into AOIs is clarified in Figure 2.

The analysis is conducted through the following gaze features:

1. **Entry Time**: expresses the average interval (ms) from the presentation of the KIID document (start of the trial) to the first gaze fixation on each AOI. It may be considered as a proxy of the relevance of information for the individual subject and allows tracking the scan path, i.e. the sequence by which the document is visually scanned by each subject;

2. **End Trial Time**: expresses the average time interval (s) between the presentation of the KIID (start of the trial) and the appearance of the question about product financial attractiveness. It synthesizes average trial duration;

3. **Net Dwell Time (NDT)** (s): is the sum of the duration of all fixations and saccades in the AOI, across all subjects, divided by the number of subjects.

For the robustness tests, the following eye-tracking parameters have been extracted and analyzed:

1. **Fixation Count**: number \( n \) of fixations of all subjects divided by the number of subjects;

2. **Average Fixation Duration** (s): the total duration of all fixations divided by the number of fixations inside the AOI, across all subjects, divided by the number of subjects.

Descriptive statistics with mean and standard deviations are used to report the distribution of continuous parametric variables. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) is performed to assess the distribution of eye-tracking values with respect to color, with eye-tracking parameters as dependent variables and color as an independent one. Chi-square test of independence is performed to examine the relation between subjects’ perception of financial attractiveness and color.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1 Color, attention distribution and perceived attractiveness of the products

Mean Entry Time values computed on the whole sample, i.e. the average scan path, reveal that subjects tend to visually explore the stimulus in the following sequence: Objectives, RRP, Costs and charges, Past performance. This sequence is the same irrespective of color.

Conversely, color affects the attention dedicated to the reading of the KIIDs. The overall time needed for information screening is higher when the color is red than when it is blue, as revealed by the End Trial Time variable \( M_{\text{red}} = 43.06, \ SD = 13.67; M_{\text{blue}} = 39.39, \ SD = 14.16; F(1, 862) = 11.869, p = 0.0006 \).

The added value of the eye-tracking is the increased detail of analysis thanks to the quantification of the attention distribution over the different sources of information. The analysis of the NDT highlights that color affects individuals’ attention towards the RRP area, with red attracting more attention than blue \( M_{\text{red}} = 9.02, \ SD = 6.18; M_{\text{blue}} = 7.49, \ SD = 5.71; F(1, 862) = 11.322, p = 0.0008 \). Moreover, a considerable effect on attention is also observed for the section *Past performance* \( M_{\text{red}} = 9.44, \ SD = 7.07; M_{\text{blue}} = 7.78, \ SD = 6.26, \)
**OBJECTIVES AND INVESTMENT POLICY**

Objectives and Investment Policy of the Fund: the Fund aims to increase the value of its assets over the medium term.

Main categories of financial instruments that are object of investment: bonds and / or other investment grade debt instruments, denominated in different currencies which generate a gross yield higher than that of Eurozone government bonds and which are characterized by interest generally higher than those of the Euro.

**RISK AND REWARD PROFILE**

Historical data, such as that used in calculating this synthetic indicator, may not be a reliable indication of the future risk profile of the Fund.

Lower risk  Higher risk

**CHARGES**

| One-off charges taken before or after you invest | 1% |
| Entry charge | 1% |
| Exit charge | 0% |
| Maximum charges that might be taken out of your money before it is invested | |
| Charges taken from the fund over a year | 1.28% |
| Ongoing charges | 1.28% |
| Charges taken from the Fund under certain conditions | |
| Performance Fee: | 0% |

**PAST PERFORMANCE**

The chart shows the past performance of the fund. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

**Note(s):** Dashed rectangles indicate the four main AOIs: Objectives (top left), Risk and Reward Profile (lower left), Costs and Charges (top right), Past Performance (lower right). Thin dashed lines indicate the four minor AOIs: the RRP scale, the Years of the crisis, the Last years, and the Disclaimer. Blue (or red) color is applied to the RRP scale and to the graph of the Past Performance.
$F(1, 862) = 10.625, p = 0.0012$), and especially for the section *Years of the crisis* ($M_{red} = 1.97, SD = 2.1; M_{blue} = 1.49, SD = 1.73, F(1, 862) = 10.676, p = 0.0011$), with red driving individuals to process information for a longer time than blue. Interestingly, the color plays a role in attracting individuals’ attention also for the minor AOI of the *Disclaimer* ($M_{red} = 1.7, SD = 2.06; M_{blue} = 1.3, SD = 1.66, F(1, 862) = 7.899, p = 0.0051$). The presence of color just affects attention to the colored information, without impacting on attention dedicated to other sources of information – that are in black and white – as the quarter of the document related to the *Objectives* and the *Costs and charges*.

We perform robustness checks to ensure these results are not provided by only one eye-tracking outcome variable. Results are confirmed when considering as eye-tracking outcome variables the Fixation Count and the Average Fixation Duration, as revealed by Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

In a following step, we analyze the relationship between color and subjects’ perception of financial attractiveness, with respect to KIIDs displaying high, medium or low RRP scores, using a chi-square test of independence. For high RRP scores, the relation between color and subjects’ perception of financial attractiveness is statistically significant ($X^2 (2, 288) = 40.52, p < 0.0001$); subjects are more likely to rate the product as highly attractive when the stimulus is blue. As charted in Figure 3, when the RRP of KIIDs is high, the blue color is associated with a higher proportion of products rated as highly financially attractive (41%) than red color.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. One-way ANOVA results with Fixation count (n) for each of the AOI as dependent variable and color as independent one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Color</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main AOIs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost and charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor AOIs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of the crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclaimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. One-way ANOVA results with Average Fixation Duration (ms) for each of the AOI as dependent variable and color as independent one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Color</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main AOIs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost and charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor AOIs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of the crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclaimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interestingly and symmetrically, red color is associated with a significantly higher proportion of products rated as poorly attractive (59%) than blue color (24%).

As displayed in Figure 4, this influence of color on products’ attractiveness is observed also for KIIDs with low RRP scores. The proportion of products rated as highly attractive when the color is blue is 41% against the 25% observed for the red color. At the same time, the proportion of products perceived as poorly attractive when the color is red is 37%, against the 17% reported for the blue color ($\chi^2(2, 288) = 18.294, p = 0.0001$).

5. Discussion
This research attempts to investigate the influence of the two most used colors in marketing practice – blue and red (Su et al., 2019) – on the process of visual attention allocation and the subsequent phase of attractiveness evaluation of a European and standardized document which describes financial products: the KIID. In doing so, we adopt an interdisciplinary vision, which bridges neuroscience and finance, in order to overcome the limitations of the
traditional methods such as questionnaire, focus group and interview, which are not able to convey unbiased information about the processes underlying the attentional mechanisms, that occur below the awareness level. On the contrary, the eye-tracking allows to study the influence of stimuli features, i.e. the color, on the decision-making process and, in particular, on the visual exploration phase, through reliable estimation of the attentional allocation function.

Results reveal that color affects the attention distribution pattern across the KIID, influencing, in turn, the perception of product financial attractiveness. Eye-tracking data shows that the red, compared to the blue, is associated with higher attention for the following sources of information: the graph of the Past performance, especially the Years of the crisis, the RRP and the Disclaimer. Conversely, no differences in attention have been found for those sources of information – Objectives and Costs and Charges – conveyed in black and white, even if blue or red are present in other parts of the stimulus. Interestingly, besides impacting attention allocation, color is found to influence subjects’ behavior: results suggest that red pushes investors to rate the products as less financially attractive, especially when the product RPP is high.

The findings of the present research advance our knowledge in several ways. From a theoretical point of view, this research contributes to extend the literature of color influence on financial decision making. Color research plays a pivotal role in improving marketing activities since color has been found to impact consumers’ impressions, perceptions, attitudes and behaviors. Despite the abundance of studies in this area, there is a paucity of research that tries to unveil color influence on financial consumers’ decision making. The paper by Song et al. (2020) focuses on financial advertising and suggests that a warm color could strengthen the perceived trustworthiness in the financial ad. The present experimental study contributes to color research in the bank marketing strand of the literature, clarifying that the specific context in which the subjects are embedded affects the impact of color on behavior. With respect to previous literature, the study contributes to unveiling the visual attentional mechanisms underlying the impact of red and blue colors on emotional reactions, even when the visual information is not supposed to elicit an emotional involvement, as that conveyed by the financial disclosure documents. Therefore, from a managerial point of view, our results provide new insights for the financial and banking industries that, as long as they do not have to comply with specific regulatory rules, will freely modulate specific features of the documents to interact with their customers. Being a prominent visual element, color plays a crucial role in the design of information documents regarding financial products since, even in the presence of the same content, it is able to grab consumers’ attention. Previous studies have largely shown that color research is crucial for marketing managers who focus on visual design features of the brand, and especially those involved in the choice of the color theme for brand logo design and redesign (Bottomley and Doyle, 2006). Anyway, when dealing with financial information, investors are thought to be more rational than general consumers’ and therefore less sensitive to stimuli that are not strictly relevant to solve the financial problem. According to traditional financial theories, investors should not be affected by the visual representation of financial data, and thus color should represent an irrelevant factor. On the contrary, neurofinance is showing that investors and financial consumers might be affected by atmospheric and contextual elements also when dealing with financial data (Bazley et al., 2019; Ceravolo et al., 2019) through unconscious and automatic processes which are difficult to capture with standard approaches (Camerer et al., 2005). Therefore, if new knowledge is available about those processes and their impact on consumers’ behaviors, marketing managers who operate in the banking and financial sectors, might benefit from improving brand perceptions, users’ experience when surfing websites, disclosure communication and advertising.
From regulators and policymakers’ point of view, our study informs about the importance of considering the color of disclosure documents as an element able to influence behavior. Thus, we suggest the need to study layout features when designing the products through neuroscientific approaches, which overcome the limitation of traditional behavioral techniques. To this aim, we endorse the use of the eye-tracking method in the authority toolkit when studying disclosure documents.

However, we should sound a word of caution in interpreting results since our findings do not suggest that blue is always the best option in order to indicate secure financial products or red is always the best option in order to indicate risky financial products. Our results only show that financial documents in red grab the visual attention of investors to a greater extent than documents that adopt the color blue, i.e. the red color drives a greater spontaneous visual focus to information sources. In light of other empirical research (Bazley et al., 2019), a possible interpretation of this result is that the higher attention associated to red is driven by a higher risk perception, as corroborated by the behavioral results on attractiveness perception. Moreover, since products underlying KIIIDs cannot be defined *ex ante* as good or bad, results cannot lead to the assertion that color improves or worsen the financial decision.

The study has some limitations. Firstly, we only consider two colors; even if red and blue have been proved to be the most important in affecting behavior, other studies have shown that other colors are relevant for consumers’ decision making. Thus, investigating the influence of all colors would have greatly increased the number of variables and likely affected the interpretation of data. Secondly, the experimental task consists of rating products’ attractiveness, but subjects do not actually buy any product. Previous studies have largely demonstrated how the task and the use of either own and real resources influence the decision-making process (Ariely and Berns, 2010; Ayaz et al., 2013; Raggetti et al., 2017). Thirdly, we do not distinguish for subjects’ different levels of financial education, statistical ability and risk aversion. Therefore, different samples of participants should be analyzed to study the influence of other variables and generalize the results. Learning effects associated to the within-subjects experimental procedure could also affect subjects’ attention and declared attractiveness of financial products.

Further developments of the study could investigate the influence of the background color, rather than the color of specific sources of information. At the same time, it would be interesting a broader investigation that involves more colors to study the influence of other warm (yellow, orange) and cool (purple) colors on attention distribution, as well as the role played by the green color, which is particularly relevant in finance to signal positive performance. Other studies can test whether the effects of colors on attention and behavior are different in other cultural settings, e.g. China, where red is not used to visualize financial losses or investigate how colorblind subjects behave when processing colored financial disclosure documents. Finally, advancing the present experimental protocol, a further study can be conducted within the visual heuristic theoretical framework (Chan and Park, 2015) to detect the influence on investment decisions of colors applied to visual images/graphs vs written information.

Notes
1. A visual fixation is the maintaining of the visual gaze on a single location of the visual stimulus.
2. The label of cool vs warm colors originates from scholars’ attempt to analyze the impact of colors on behavior generally categorizing them according to the wavelength, thus defining warm colors those with a longer wavelength – i.e. red, orange and yellow – and cool colors those with a shorter wavelength as blue, violet and green (Crowley, 1993).
3. Calibration is necessary in order to control for eyeball radius and shape, ensuring maximal data quality.
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