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Abstract
Purpose – The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship between innovative climate, strategic orientation, work motivation, business performance and job performance.
Design/methodology/approach – Within the scope of the research, a survey was conducted with 400 engineers working in organizations operating within companies producing spare parts for automobile companies. IBM SPSS 25, IBM SPSS AMOS and LISREL programs were gradually used, and the data obtained were evaluated and analyzed.
Findings – The importance of strategic orientation and work motivation for organizations can be seen in the analysis results in terms of their positive effects.
Practical implications – In the production sector, where innovation and competition activities take place, not only strategic decisions but also motivation for employees must be realized within the organizational culture in order for the organizations to be successful. Strategic orientation is effective in achieving innovation and creation to the extent that employees are motivated.
Originality/value – In terms of successful performance, it is very important to manage companies with the right strategic understanding and to involve the employees. In order to realize the innovation climate and to be successful in performance criteria, motivation is considered a key factor, in particular for the automobile sector. Automobile companies are now turning to electric vehicle production using new generation technologies. However, they also attach importance to the use of smart technologies in cars. For this reason, the effects of strategic orientation and work motivation on performance and innovation are investigated in companies producing automobile spare parts.
Keywords Strategic orientation, Work motivation, Business performance, Innovative climate, Job performance, Production sector
Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction
Global change, developments in strategic management and the necessity of transition from planner to cultural orientations have been compulsory in order to positively improve the performance of organizations. Strategic orientations are guiding principles that affect an
organization’s strategic plans and activities (Noble et al., 2002), and in fact, organizational success is generally attributed to strategic orientation (Slater and Narver, 1994). Strategic orientation is the efforts of organizations to create organizational behaviors appropriate to the strategies of managers. And, the effects of strategic orientation are influential on the values, beliefs, norms and organizational culture of the employees in gaining a competitive advantage in the market (Bulut et al., 2009). Additionally, motivation is one of the key concepts in the success of organizational and business performance. Indeed, the concept of motivation is seen as one of the factors affecting the success of organizations and employees: it impacts employee’s willingness, productivity, active work influence and the desire of employees to achieve business success (Gök, 2009). In addition, managers can motivate employees and thereby help them to realize their potential, and in this way, employees can use all their capable talents and skills to benefit both themselves and the organization (Subba Rao, 2010).

One of the most important innovations brought about by the first industrial revolution has been the division of labor, in addition to its natural extension of specialization. This division, over time, has led to a change in employees and their willingness to work. Many researchers, from Taylor to Mayo, have explored ways to make indifferent and reluctant employees willing and interested again, and have conducted theoretical research and analysis of motivation. The most important issue for researchers is to find out the behaviors of employees, their different connections to work and their real reasoning. While some of the employees in business do their jobs with great interest and desire, those employees with low efficiency still exist. What is the reasoning as to why they are reluctant within the same conditions and similar abilities? While investigating the answer to this question, which constitutes the essence of motivation in businesses, various opinions about motivation have been put forward (Sabuncuoğlu and Tüz, 2005). While the direction of strategic orientation on the motivation of the employees is still being investigated, the importance of performance for both organizations and employees is an accepted fact. Target measurements help companies determine where they were in the past, where they are now, where they want to go and how to get there (Lebas, 1995). In specific, the performance criterion is used to quantify the efficiency or effectiveness of the activity (action) (Neely et al., 1995). Therefore, within the scope of the research, the direction of strategic orientation and motivation on business, innovation climate and business performance are examined. Clearly, because companies provide motivation within strategic orientation, employees will not only increase their performance but also will play an important role in boosting innovation. For this reason, companies should attach importance to strategic orientation and employees’ work motivation for both performance and innovation.

Automobile companies are now turning to electric vehicle production using new generation technologies. However, they also attach importance to the use of smart technologies in cars. For this reason, as a result of the research carried out in companies producing automobile spare parts within the scope of the research, they have a positive effect on both strategic orientation and work motivation, performance and innovation. In other words, if companies provide motivation within strategic orientation, employees will not only increase their performance but also will play an important role in boosting innovation. For this reason, companies should attach importance to strategic orientation and employees’ work motivation for both performance and innovation.

The research focused on the effects of strategic orientation and work motivation on 400 engineers in companies producing spare parts for automobile companies. Understanding the effects on performance criteria and innovation, the research has been structured to measure the impact of motivation on employees within the production sector operating in an intensive working environment. The structure of the article is primarily literature research. Methodology specifies the application of AMOS and LISREL programs used in the
After methodology, the purpose of the research, framework and analyses follow, completed with the discussion and conclusion sections. In the conclusion section, the limits of the research and suggestions for future studies are specified.

2. Literature

2.1 Strategic orientation

The concept of strategic orientation is a frequently used concept in strategic management, entrepreneurship and marketing (Liu and Fu, 2011). Strategic orientation reflects an outward-looking view of the business’s strategic choices and environmental compatibility (He et al., 2020). Strategic orientation evaluates the ways in which businesses acquire, allocate and use resources to create dynamic capabilities (Amentie Kero and Sogbossi, 2017). Also, Resource-Based View (RBV) of theory suggests that an organization’s resources are essential to superior firm performance, competitive advantage, and strategic success. Therefore, an organization should identify and make use of resources that are valuable, rare, difficult to copy and nonsubstitutable to gain competitive advantages and abnormal profits (Barney, 1991). RBV theory has been regarded as the base management theory for business excellence by researchers such as Idris et al. (2003), Oakland and Tanner (2008), and Fonseca (2021). So, strategic orientation influences how businesses interact with the external environment (customer, competitor and technology) (Zhou and Li, 2010). The concept of strategic orientation is used by many authors to define and explain organizational patterns, practices and values that are embedded in the strategic decision processes of businesses (Escribá-Esteve et al., 2009). The method of strategic orientation is applied in order to create appropriate behaviors for continuous superior performance of a business (Kim et al., 2013). And, strategic orientation determines and directs a company’s behavior and market priorities, which in turn shapes the idea of new products (Spanjol et al., 2011). In this context, with a strategic oriented perspective, businesses are effectively able to recognize and evaluate their external and internal environment, and transform their resources into behaviors to create the right product/service. In this transformation, businesses may need to improve their product/service performances by acting with strategic aspects that constitute their deep-rooted values, beliefs and business philosophy (Altuntas et al., 2013). Looking at the effects of strategic orientation positively affects the innovation climate of business, especially if strategic orientation is adopted within an intensely competitive and technological environment with unpredictable development (Lee et al., 2017). Another important effect of strategic orientation is the positive effect that businesses have on performance in innovation activities (Adams et al., 2019). Therefore, it is possible to successfully adapt the internal elements of the businesses to the external (industry/competition) environment within the framework of strategic orientation. Within this scope, it is examined how strategic orientation affects both work motivation and innovation climate, as well as performance variables.

2.2 Work motivation

Motivation is one of the most discussed topics in recent years. Work motivation is defined as stimulating, guiding and maintaining an employee’s behavior related to the work. Employee motivation is directing the needs of the individual to a behavior that satisfies the individual and results in employee satisfaction (Hitka et al., 2018); in addition, work can be defined as a process of action taken in the environment (Keser, 2006). The motivation of employees is related to many variables, such as job satisfaction, employee turnover, absenteeism rates, intent to leave, performance and commitment (Herzberg, 2017). If these values reach the desired levels, this means that the administration is successful. Therefore, businesses have to
give importance to the motivation of the employees (Bendickson et al., 2018). Furthermore, if
the motivation of the employees is given greater importance, there should be a noticeable
increase in the performances of the businesses (Garg, 2017). Motivation is all the work done to
continuously mobilize one or more employees in a specific direction or directions (Eren, 2006).
In short, motivation is seen as the result of interactions between employees, with other
employees, and within the organization. Work motivation has many facets: “strengthening,
directing and sustaining behavior in organizational settings”; “the power that drives people
to choose and continue to work hard”; “the willingness to spend high levels of effort for
organizational purposes”; “the dynamic resulting from the common effects of independent,
personal and situational factors”; “the internal situation, the process of eliciting and
sustaining goal-oriented behavior, a group of relatively static characteristics that affect an
individual’s behavior or organizational behavior”; “the desire to achieve organizational
goals”; “the thing that arises and stimulates going behavior to realize set goals”; and “the
willingness to do something” (Ağca and Ertan, 2008). The importance of working motivation
if the motivation of the employees is high both positively reflects on the innovation activities
that the businesses aim to perform and positively affects the performance of the businesses
(Wang and Chang, 2017). Within the scope of the research model, work motivation is
examined for both independent and mediation effects, as well, the effects of innovation
climate on business and work performance are analyzed.

2.3 Business performance
When the current literature on business performance is examined, it is seen that there are
many studies on the concept of “performance” within the field of disciplines about business
(Karbowski, 2019; Ali and Xie, 2021). Although it is a widely used variable in theoretical and
applied research, the concept of performance is a concept that remains unclear, difficult to
measure and does not have a compromised definition (Rogers and Wright, 1998). Pugh (1991)
defines the concept of performance as the fulfillment of the goods, services or thought tasks
set out to fulfill the objectives, and the performance is done in a way that also meets the
predetermined criteria within the framework of the task (Helvacı, 2002). Pitt and Tucker
(2008) define the concept of performance as how and to what extent the activities in a process
can be realized, or the level of effectiveness of the outputs achieved as a result of a specific
objective. Salt (2002) defines the concept of performance as the quantitative or qualitative
expression of the point achieved by the individual, group or organization performing a work
ward an intended goal. Hult et al. (2004) define business performance as the level of
achievement of predetermined strategic objectives, the level of achievement of organizational
objectives related to market share and an increase in sales and profitability. Yüreğir and
Nakiboğlu (2007) state that performance measurement is a method of measuring objectively
how goods, services or transactions are performed within a program, and in an objective
manner. A business’s performance is the output or result of work in a process over a given
period of time. This result indicates the extent to which the entity has achieved its purpose or
the extent to which a particular task has been fulfilled. In particular, the performances of
businesses are taken into account for stakeholders. Table 1 shows the performance criteria in
terms of stakeholders.

Considering the performance criteria in Table 1, it is clear that in a competitive
environment, strategy and innovation have an important effect on achieving business goals.
Because companies need to have a strategic and innovative management approach in order to
be successful in the race with their competitors in the eyes of partners, customers, personnel
and society. In terms of performance, businesses that are strategically weak and inadequate
in innovation can fall behind their competitors. Accordingly, performance is the evaluation of
efforts to achieve business objectives (López-Cabarcos et al., 2019; Nadhar et al., 2017).
The research model examines the effects of strategic orientation and work motivation on business performance.

2.4 Innovative climate

Innovative climate is an organizational climate that supports innovation, encourages employees to innovate and provides the necessary support to employees (Jaiswal and Dhar, 2015; Newman et al., 2020). In the emergence of successful innovation, the existence of a supportive organizational environment is very important (Uzkurt, 2017; Trunina, 2017). Innovation within top management is necessary for building trust and honesty instead of focusing on individual interests. Additionally, innovation helps build an environment in which support and tolerance are built instead of punishment and condemnation (Pirtea et al., 2019). Although innovation can be defined as creating value by developing new information or finding new ways of using existing information; innovation can also be realized through new business models, management techniques and organizational structures (Baregheh et al., 2009). Surprisingly though, it is often discussed primarily in the development of new products and services (Jamrog et al., 2006). Similarly, Hamel (2006) stated that innovation not only means creating a new product or service but can also lead to innovation in management principles and processes, all of which can create a long-lasting competitive advantage and lead to dramatic changes in the position of competitiveness. Table 2 shows the research about what kind of innovation activities employees affect in the businesses.

Expressing the characteristic of the organization’s openness to innovation, the climate of innovation is an indicator of factors, such as power distribution affecting innovative business behavior, effective decision making and practical risk taking (Isaksen et al., 2001). The climate of innovation expresses a structure that enables the creation of new ideas within the organization, as well as the opportunity to implement these ideas (Loewe and Dominiquini, 2006). Accordingly, it can be accepted that in organizations where innovation climate is dominant, it is an organizational structure in which employees are encouraged to innovate by the constant support of their creative and innovative behaviors (Isaksen and Ekvall, 2010). In this case, it requires organizations to have certain strategic skills. Organizations can create a structure with an innovative climate by encouraging their employees to think freely and readily convey their ideas (Chen and Huang, 2007). For this reason, the motivations of the employees are very important for innovation activities. Nonetheless, it is not enough for organizations to decide that they should be innovative. This decision should be supported by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder groups</th>
<th>Primary indicator(s)</th>
<th>Secondary indicator(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>Return on investments</td>
<td>Increase in income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Growth in expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Capital ratios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liquidity ratios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction and service quality</td>
<td>Consumer research for different market and product requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>Employee loyalty</td>
<td>Measuring employee thoughts with different dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competence of employees</td>
<td>Measuring consumer service indices with different dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employee productivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society</td>
<td>Public opinion (public impression)</td>
<td>Various external measurements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source(s): Atkinson et al. (1997)

Table 1. Performance criteria for stakeholders
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comfortable environments that encourage innovation (Schoellhammer, 2020; Übius et al., 2013). An employee’s superiors are the most important representatives of management activities, policies and procedures. Therefore, there is a tendency to generalize employees’ perceptions about their superiors to the whole organization (Xin et al., 2019). Accordingly, the employee’s perception of the leader/manager will affect the organization’s perception of the climate. Leaders are expected to think positively, to form a vision, to be willing to innovate and to reflect these characteristics to their environment, and accordingly, forcing employees to adhere to certain restrictive rules and patterns is not motivational (Emiroğlu, 2018). Therefore, in the scope of the research, the effects of strategic orientation and work motivation on innovation climate are examined.

2.5 Job performance

While businesses have many assets, including human resources, capital, finance, infrastructure and talents, the most prominent element among them is the human factor (Sara et al., 2021). The human factor affects the performance of the business; therefore, it is vital for businesses to develop and implement ways and methods to improve employee performance (Uçünçoğlu, 2016). Within an organization, quick change is considered as organizations are constantly striving to create a difference, a superior product or service, where innovation and globalization prevails. Additionally, they must keep in mind that only within the importance of human power will the unique and replicable assets of institutions emerge. The importance of effective and efficient management of these human assets is steadily increasing (Bulutlar, 2007; Steinbauer et al., 2018); in contrast, the cumulative inefficiency that may arise in performance can have negative effects on national productivity and economic success (Davies et al., 2000). Although performance is predominantly addressed from the point of view of output, achievement of objectives and completion of the task, there is now a tendency toward the behavioral dimension of performance. Job performance is many things: the fulfillment and completion of a particular job (Chiu, 2005), a job, verb, work, fulfillment, work and processing (Öncer, 2000), a job to be done taking into account the quantity, quality, time and cost effectiveness (Smith and Goddard, 2002). The successful performance of the activities directly or indirectly related to the work of the employees determines the performance of the organization as a whole (Lam and Schaubroeck, 1999). Therefore, evaluation of employee performance is considered as one of the most important functions of human resources management. In studies related to performance evaluation, there are many factors including age, gender (Lee and Alvares, 1977), experience (Schmidt et al., 1986), interpersonal relationships (Antonioni and Park, 2001), perverse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research on innovation activities in businesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zaltman et al. (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zmud (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tushman and Nadler (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damanpour (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned and unplanned innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final and helpful innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radical innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and technical innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radical and gradual innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product and service innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technological innovations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation innovations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
behaviors in the workplace (Dunlop and Lee, 2004) and organizational policies (Miron et al., 2004). The research model examines the effects of strategic orientation and work motivation on job performance.

2.6 Relationships between variables
The fact that organizations take risks in innovation and exhibit an active strategic stance may affect their performance in a competitive environment (Kasemsap, 2017). Businesses pay more attention to innovation as a result of intense competition and advances in technology (O’Regan and Ghobadian, 2005). Researchers also argue that with the support of strategic orientation, companies should give greater focus to supporting employees and helping them improve their skills (Slater et al., 2006; Adams et al., 2019). Depending on the market situation, each organization must use its resources strategically to increase its competitive position (Al-Ansaari et al., 2015). For this reason, the effect of strategic orientation on the innovation climate is investigated in companies that manufacture spare parts in the automotive sector, a sector with a dynamic structure. Because strategic orientation is the most important determinant of sustainable competition in organizations (Sarker and Palit, 2015; Nasir et al., 2017), the motivation of the employees should be given importance as much as the strategy. In order to support these explanations, hypotheses H1a, H1b, H1c, and H1d are examined within the scope of the research model. Clearly, in order to be successful in competition, the motivation of the employees is required. In other words, in order for new ideas and information to have a positive effect on performance and innovation, employees need to be well motivated (Pinder, 2014). Therefore, in order for the strategic decisions taken to be successfully implemented at the desired level, the employees must fulfill their duties and responsibilities successfully. For this reason, the better motivated the employees, the more positively it will affect performance and innovation in strategic decisions. In order to support these explanations, hypotheses H2a, H2b, H2c, H3a, H3b, and H3c are examined within the scope of the research model.

The importance of the research is that continuous investments be implemented in line with the new developments occurring in the automotive industry; and in line with these investments, intense competition starts to occur in the concept of electric vehicles. The firms that are the first in the market will have the opportunity to gain an advantage in competition. In order for automobile companies to be successful, companies producing automobile parts are in an important position. Accordingly, it has been deemed appropriate to conduct research in terms of strategy and motivation as well as innovation and performance. It is believed that future studies in this field will be beneficial. In the context, the hypotheses examined and tested within the scope of the research are as follows:

H1a. Strategic orientation has a significant effect on work motivation.
H1b. Strategic orientation has a significant effect on business performance.
H1c. Strategic orientation has a significant effect on innovative climate.
H1d. Strategic orientation has a significant effect on job performance.
H2a. Work motivation has a significant effect on business performance.
H2b. Work motivation has a significant effect on innovative climate.
H2c. Work motivation has a significant effect on job performance.
H3a. In the relationship between strategic orientation and business performance, work motivation has the effect of a mediation variable.
H3b. In the relationship between strategic orientation and innovative climate, work motivation has the effect of a mediation variable.
In the relationship between strategic orientation and job performance, work motivation has the effect of a mediation variable.

3. Research goal
The aim of the research is to examine the concepts of strategy, innovation and performance, together with the work motivation effect, which is important for the productivity of the employees. For this reason, it becomes important to examine the effects of work motivation, and in the research, questionnaires were collected from engineers working in the manufacturing sector (companies producing spare parts for automobile companies).

4. Methodology
In the data collection, a scale was formed in accordance with the available literature, established in order to determine the comprehensibility and suitability of the scale items, and was presented to the companies operating in the production sector as random sampling. In expressing and understanding the results of the pretest of 70 units, the statements that were found to have problems were reviewed, and the corrected scale was subjected to a pretest of 52 units: It was considered important to make the questions more comprehensible since it was thought that a decrease in the questions might lower the explanatory results. Thus, both descriptive and confirmation modeling were applied and the data analyzed. Since the data were analyzed to determine the relationship between statistical concepts, a quantitative analysis approach was adopted. And, in a quantitative research test, independent variables or variables were used to judge the effect on the dependent variable (Thomas et al., 2015). For this purpose and by providing literature support, a model was determined and both dependent and independent variable properties were taken in accordance with this model (Figure 1).

Within the scope of the aim of the research, the survey was conducted on 400 engineers working for the SME’s (Small and Medium-sized Enterprises) companies (companies producing spare parts for automobile companies) in Istanbul’s industrial zones in Turkey, and an online questionnaire was used as a data collection tool. These questionnaires were sent to the target participants’ engineers via email, and the study was conducted between May 2021 and August 2021 (under pandemic conditions). Overall 428 responses were received from 2,126 emails sent; 218 males and 182 females answered the questionnaire. In

Figure 1.
Research model
total 20% of the participants were in the 26–35 age group, 65% were in the 36–45 age group, and the number of engineers over the age of 46 was 15%. Of the employees, 70% were bachelor graduates; 15% had master’s degrees, and 3% had doctorate degrees. Furthermore, since 28 questionnaires were filled in incorrectly, they were excluded since it affected the factor values. As well, in order not to lose the data, the questions in the online survey were marked as “necessary” due to the fact that participation in the survey was on a voluntary basis (involuntary responses were prevented).

Firstly, the data obtained were analyzed in terms of the distribution of the answers given in the SPSS environment, and then, they were gradually analyzed by IBM AMOS and LISREL programs. After the factor and reliability analysis, correlation analysis was used to investigate the relationships between variables; regression analysis was used to test the hypotheses.

The questionnaire consists of five variables using Innovative Climate Scale; in the literature research, important studies were taken into consideration: Jaiswal and Dhar in 2015, Scott and Bruce in 1994, Hofmann et al., in 2003 and Wang et al., in 2013. Additionally, they were included in the analysis after a five-point Likert scale was used. In terms of Strategic Orientation Scale, Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín (2005), Voss and Voss (2000), Gatignon and Xuereb (1997), Morgan and Strong (2003) were used. A Work Motivation Scale was used in the studies conducted by Gagné et al. (2015), Ngai et al. (2016), Pool (1997) and DeVellis (2012). A Business Performance Scale was used in the studies conducted by Rangus and Slavec in 2017, Wiklund and Shepherd in 2003, and Morgan and Strong in 2003. The Job Performance Scale was used in the studies conducted by Chen and Silverthorne (2008), Janssen and Van Yperen (2004), and Rich et al. (2010). The scale used a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree.

5. Analysis

There are 36 statements on the questionnaire presented in the first pretest phase. In the pretest, five expressions that do not have appropriate factor loadings or misunderstood or the high probability of misunderstanding were rearranged and the scale consisting of 36 expressions was resubjected to the pretest. The last scale was applied to 400 employees with one-on-one interviews. The aim of these interviews is to reduce the number of missing questionnaires that are not answered or not answered properly and to obtain full results. The implementation of a questionnaire took approximately 12 min, and it was during a lunch break to prevent staff from responding under time pressure. Firstly, the data obtained were analyzed in terms of the distribution of the answers given in the SPSS environment and then gradually analyzed by IBM AMOS and LISREL programs. Which questions are included under each factor; factor loads (F Score), factor numbers (F Score) and standardized values (S Scores) are calculated by the AMOS program and were calculated by LISREL and T values (t Score) that are presented in Table 3. When multiple normality tests were applied for each of the expressions, structural equation modeling was used in the analysis and explanations due to the fact that all expressions had normal distribution and that the data analyzed had sufficient size.

Regarding Factor analysis, in this test, Kaiser–Mayer–Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett test results are used to determine the suitability of the data for analysis. While the KMO test is used to determine whether the data are suitable for factor analysis, the fact that this value is above 0.957 indicates that factor analysis can be applied to the data. The Bartlett test is also used to determine whether the data are suitable for factor analysis and whether the data are normally distributed. This test is also used to determine whether the correlation matrix, which is the interrelation of the questions, is a unit matrix. Calculated sig., if the value is less than 0.05, it is concluded that the data are suitable for factor analysis, have normal
distribution and at the same time the correlation matrix is not a unit matrix. The fact that
KMO is higher than 90 is interpreted as an “excellent” result (Tavşancıl, 2002). This value
indicates that the data are appropriate for analysis of Bartlett’s Test result sig. The value of
0.000 (sig. < 0.05) is also suitable for factor analysis of the data obtained. A Chi-square value is
significant and shows that the data comes from a multivariate normal distribution. Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>F.N.</th>
<th>F score</th>
<th>S score</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC1</td>
<td>Creativity is encouraged in the company where I work</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC2</td>
<td>In the company where I work, our ability to work creatively is respected</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC3</td>
<td>In the company where I work, people are allowed to try to solve the same problems in different ways</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC4</td>
<td>The company where I work can be defined as flexible and can adapt to continuous change</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>17.88</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC5</td>
<td>The company I work for is open and sensitive to change</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>16.14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC6</td>
<td>People in the company I work with often value others’ ideas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC7</td>
<td>We tend to remain tried and committed to the right paths in my company</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO1</td>
<td>The company I work for sacrifices its profitability in order to get a share from the market in its sector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>The company I work with lowers prices to increase market share</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>20.04</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO3</td>
<td>The company I work for adjusts the prices below the prices applied by the companies it competes with</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO4</td>
<td>The company I work for maintains its market share to ensure cash flow and profitability</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>16.89</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO5</td>
<td>The company I work for emphasizes effective coordination between different functional areas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO6</td>
<td>The company I work for provides support by using information systems developed during decision-making</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO7</td>
<td>The company I work for usually tries to make a comprehensive analysis when it has to make a big decision</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO8</td>
<td>The company I work with uses planning techniques</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO9</td>
<td>The company I work for uses Management information and control systems</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO10</td>
<td>The company I work for conducts workforce planning and performance evaluations of senior executives</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO11</td>
<td>The company I work for seems to have a very conservative view when making big decisions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO12</td>
<td>In my company, risk analysis is carried out for a long time before new projects are approved</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO13</td>
<td>The company I work with takes decisions to support projects where the expected returns are final</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>19.62</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM1</td>
<td>My motivation is very high since I have no fear of being unemployed in the company I work for</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM2</td>
<td>Working at this company was my best choice in my life</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM3</td>
<td>Career success in the company I worked for made me feel safer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM4</td>
<td>Although I have a very good offer, I never think of leaving my company</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM5</td>
<td>I am very pleased to work at this company</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP1</td>
<td>In the last 3 years, the company I worked with outperformed its competitors in terms of sales growth</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>18.54</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP2</td>
<td>In the last 3 years, the company where I worked has outperformed its competitors in relation to the increase in the number of new employees</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3</td>
<td>In the last 3 years, the company where I worked has performed better than its competitors in terms of market share</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>18.76</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4</td>
<td>In the last 3 years, the company where I worked has performed better than its competitors in terms of operating positions</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP1</td>
<td>In my company, I fulfill my responsibilities</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP2</td>
<td>In my company, I coordinate my duties</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>18.78</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP3</td>
<td>I evaluate my activities</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP4</td>
<td>I investigate the problems I have in my area of responsibility</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP5</td>
<td>In my company, importance is given to recruitment of appropriate personnel</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. SPSS factor results and LISREL results
variance, explained in factor analysis, revealed that a five-factor structure explained 67.592% of the structure.

In Table 3, Expressions 1 are innovative climate (IC), Expressions 2 are strategic orientation (SO); Expressions 3 are work motivation (WM); Expressions 4 are business performance (BP), and Expressions 5 are job performance (JP). Factor load values, standardized results, t-test results of variables and p-value values showing the significance of these test results are presented in Table 3. All p-value values are less than 0.001, indicating that they are significant.

Confirmatory factor analysis was performed in the AMOS program for the model. The fit indices obtained from the models are given in Table 4. If the values in the table are examined, it can be seen that the model has good fit values for all goodness of fit values. After it was determined that the existing expressions were suitable for the model, relationship analysis, impact analysis and mediation variable effects were started.

In the reliability analysis, the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient ranges from 0 to 1. The closer this value is to 1, the more reliable the structure of the data is. This coefficient of 0.50 or greater was determined to be acceptable in the literature review (Nunnally, 1978; Hair et al., 2000). The reliability coefficients were obtained for each factor, and the variance sizes were explained by the factors; KMO values of all factors and Bartlett test results are given in Table 5.

Table 5. When examined, it can be seen that the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients are above 0.50 for each factor group. This result shows that the data are reliable. Variance explained values indicate the percentage of the factor that makes up the factor. These values are above 0.60 for all factors. As mentioned before, KMO and Bartlett’s tests provide information about the suitability of the data for factor analysis. All results show that the data are suitable for analysis. Descriptive statistics of the factors are given in Table 5. According to the factors created according to this table, the variables have normal distribution conditions. If the skewness and kurtosis coefficients are between +2 and −2, the data distribution can be considered as a normal distribution (Sönmez Çakır, 2019).

The correlation coefficient gives the degree of the relationship between the data (Landau et al., 2004). The correlation degrees of the factors are calculated and given in Table 6. After the determination of these values, testing of the hypotheses was started.

Correlation coefficients with double stars are significant correlations at 1% significance levels. A correlation coefficient test was performed to determine if the correlation coefficient $\beta$
is not equal to zero. For this test, each correlation coefficient, i.e. $\beta$ is not equal to zero, and hypothesis tests were conducted. As a result, all sig. values were found to be less than 0.01. This result shows that all correlation values are significant.

At this stage, the hypotheses given in Table 7 were tested in the SPSS program and the results of these hypotheses are presented in Table 7.

The linear regression results obtained according to the hypotheses are given in Table 7. At the same time, the sig. value calculated for the R Square values shows how much of the change in the dependent variable of the independent variable, and the F Value values shows whether the established model is significant as a whole values are given in Table 7. According to the results obtained, all sig., and all hypotheses were accepted since their values were less than 0.01.

H3a. In the relationship between SO and BP, WM has the effect of a mediation variable.

H3b. In the relationship between SO and IC, WM has the effect of a mediation variable.

H3c. In the relationship between SO and JP, WM has the effect of a mediation variable.

The AMOS program was used to analyze the effect of the mediation variable. After determining the exogenous and endogenous variables, the operations for the analysis model were performed for the H3a-H3c hypotheses. The results obtained are given in Table 8.

Table 8 when it is examined, it can be seen that all $p$-value values are significant. In order to talk about the effect of the mediation variable, in the model in which the mediation variable is involved, the standardized relationship value must be reduced or made meaningless. The standardized value between SO and BP in the model is 0.471, as shown in Table 7. When the values of Tables 7 and 8 are compared in this way, it can be seen that in all analyses where WM enters the model as a mediation variable, WM has the effect of a mediation variable and reduces the previous relationships.

6. Discussion

The common point of the definition of strategic orientation is that there are correct practices aimed at achieving ultimate and superior performance (Runing et al., 2014; Ogbari et al., 2018), and it can be assumed that performance outputs are also positively affected if carried out successfully, which is supported by the analysis results. Kohli and Jaworski (1990) emphasize the importance of cooperation in achieving common goals with the managers and employees of the organizations as a whole. This, in addition to emphasizing that the contribution of the employees to the company is positive and is based on the motivation of the employees. By looking at the research supporting the analysis results, Jayaweera (2015) and Syamsir (2020) stated that in the research, work motivation has a positive effect on job performance. Within the research of Nadhar et al. (2017), it is stated that work motivation has a positive effect on business performance. However, it is very important to know what motivation means. The concept of motivation was first described by Woodworth (1918) as the accumulation of energy that activates an organism in various ways. Motivation is defined as the drive of a person or a group at work to mobilize, direct, gain and sustain efforts (Hitka et al., 2019). Work motivation can also be defined as the willingness to make a high level of effort to achieve organizational goals by associating with contextual elements related to cultural and individual tendencies (Latham and Pinder, 2005). It is expected that organizations consisting of individuals with high work motivation will reach their goals more easily (Kurniawan and Heryanto, 2019). Individuals with low job motivation tend to leave their organizations. The important thing is that there are supportive elements to keep the motivation of the employees high. Therefore, the strategic decisions of the organization and the strategic orientation of the organization should be at a level that can impact the motivation of the employees, and the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions/factors</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha</th>
<th>Variance explained</th>
<th>KMO</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>66.968</td>
<td>0.956*</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-0.819</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>62.999</td>
<td>0.845*</td>
<td>4.028</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-1.304</td>
<td>1.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>73.565</td>
<td>0.829*</td>
<td>4.028</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-1.175</td>
<td>1.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>62.057</td>
<td>0.915*</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-1.016</td>
<td>1.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>70.135</td>
<td>0.874*</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-1.062</td>
<td>1.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All data</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): *p < 0.05
decisions determined by the management level should have a motivating contribution (Johannson et al., 2014). This motivating contribution, examined within the scope of the research model, can be seen as a result of the analysis. The concept of performance can be defined as the ability of an organization or business to reach the goals and targets by using the existing resources effectively and efficiently (Altuntas & Dönmek, 2010). Kayabası (2010) evaluates the concept of performance as an indicator of the amount and quality of an individual or a certain group or organization, and the extent to which a goal is achieved for the purpose of a job, which in general, impacts the determination and evaluation of what is achieved as a result of a planned activity. Chahal et al. (2016) stated that strategic orientation has a positive effect on business performance, and Tenhiälä and Laamanen (2018), and Kerdpitak and Boonrattanakittibhumi (2020) also stated that strategic orientation has a positive effect on firm performance and efficiency in service and productivity, while frugality in production defines performance. This result is perceived as the fulfillment of business goals and objectives (Nursoy and Simsek, 2001), and within the scope of the research model, the success achieved in strategic orientation and motivation of employees is positively reflected in the outputs. Strategic orientation and work motivation are important in the success of companies that adapt to transformation. In fact, it is known that 100 years ago, the first electric cars were being tested, but because oil was cheaper than water, electric cars could not go into mass production at that time; 100 years later, when oil resources began to decline, the automobile industry accepted the need for a transformation and started to give importance to electric car production. And in this transformation, it is understood that strategic orientation and work motivation are important in companies that produce

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6. Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC. Sig. (2 tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO, Sig. (two-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP, Sig. (two-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM, Sig. (two-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7. Linear regression results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables/Dependent variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): Adj. = Adjusted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8. Mediation variable analysis results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
automobile parts. However, it would not be correct to generalize these results for every company. Therefore, comparing companies in different sectors with comparative analyses is recommended to contribute to the literature.

7. Conclusions

Consumers’ expectations, consumption habits, income and product information change rapidly in a transition economy. Therefore, consumers’ perceptions of the benefits of a product tend to change over time. A company’s products/services that meet customers’ needs may not meet their needs tomorrow. Organizations should continuously identify the changing preferences of customers and develop their innovation capabilities accordingly. In order for organizations to be successful in the competitive environment, senior managers must respond strategically to the changes in the market, use the organization’s resources efficiently in the competitive environment, and exhibit a positive attitude toward change. The positive effect of strategic orientation can be seen in the analysis results. Strategically oriented organizations can be successful, both in terms of performance and innovation. Strategic moves play a key role in meeting the demands and expectations of customers in competition. The positive attitude of managers toward change facilitates the formation of an organizational climate that promotes innovation. Indeed, the prerequisite for success in strategy and performance include multiple facets: employee unity, a sense of cooperation and feelings of belonging to the company, and overall management empowerment that encourages employees to understand both the company and the market and to participate in the decision-making process. Therefore, it is important to create harmony among employees and to keep their motivation high in order to achieve success in performance and innovation. As a result of the research, it is understood that if the motivation of the employees is provided, there is a positive effect on both the climate of innovation and performance. Employees gathered around the same goal and target share the same identity within the organization, understand that changes and new actions are necessary, and are therefore more willing to work together and engage in productive activities. In addition, employees act together to facilitate the dissemination of ideas within the organization by participating in the decision-making process of the organization. This cooperation between employees is positively reflected in the creation of new ideas namely the climate of innovation. Naturally, explaining the success of organizations in innovation and performance is both a good management approach and the provision of motivation that will make the employees successful.

It is accepted that the limits of the study are some factors that may affect motivation. In particular, these factors, educational status, salary, experience, gender and age are outside the limits of the research. In the research, for the most part, white-collar employees in the production sector constituted the sample mass. At the same time, the results may differ in underdeveloped and developed countries, as research is also carried out in a developing country. For example, given the principle of working four days a week in Microsoft’s organization in Japan, and an increase in productivity afterward, it is unlikely that the same practice will be applied in developing and/or underdeveloped countries. The application, though, can be applied in technology-intensive countries. In this scope, the constraints of research, sector, culture, company size, technology density and the country’s level of development are important. In future studies, it is important to introduce new concepts in the field of innovation by taking into account these constraints.

The research model and the results provide the infrastructure for the creation of new research areas in terms of strategy–motivation–innovation concepts. This research can contribute to this topic, especially in the automobile industry, where there is not only rapid change but also increasing competition for those focusing on actualizing new strategic
decisions: Tesla’s introduction to the industry with the electric vehicle, the introduction of Sony’s company electric vehicle, and Apple and Google’s work on the electric vehicle. Therefore, strategic orientation and motivation to work will have an impact on the success of a business, in terms of performance and innovation. In addition, it will be possible to conduct new research in the field of strategy and innovation within different sectors and cultures, and to bring the results to the literature. When the variables examined in the research model are examined in different sectors, it may also be possible to obtain different results. For this reason, in order to contribute to the literature, it will be better to determine and examine variables in accordance with the sector or companies under investigation. Furthermore, in considering different sectors and different cultures, it will be important to consider factors, such as the effects between departments within the organization, education levels and experience, and to consider working conditions and opportunities in different cultures.
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