

# Audiogmenter: a MATLAB toolbox for audio data augmentation

A MATLAB  
toolbox for  
audio data  
augmentation

Gianluca Maguolo

*University of Padua, Padua, Italy*

Michelangelo Paci

*Tampere University, Tampere, Finland, and*

Loris Nanni and Ludovico Bonan

*University of Padua, Padua, Italy*

Received 25 March 2021  
Revised 18 April 2021  
Accepted 18 April 2021

## Abstract

**Purpose** – Create and share a MATLAB library that performs data augmentation algorithms for audio data. This study aims to help machine learning researchers to improve their models using the algorithms proposed by the authors.

**Design/methodology/approach** – The authors structured our library into methods to augment raw audio data and spectrograms. In the paper, the authors describe the structure of the library and give a brief explanation of how every function works. The authors then perform experiments to show that the library is effective.

**Findings** – The authors prove that the library is efficient using a competitive dataset. The authors try multiple data augmentation approaches proposed by them and show that they improve the performance.

**Originality/value** – A MATLAB library specifically designed for data augmentation was not available before. The authors are the first to provide an efficient and parallel implementation of a large number of algorithms.

**Keywords** Audio augmentation, Data augmentation, Audio classification, Spectrogram, Convolutional neural network

**Paper type** Research paper

## 1. Introduction

Deep neural networks achieved state of the art performances in many artificial intelligence fields, such as image classification [1], object detection [2] and audio classification [3]. However, they usually need a very large amount of labeled data to obtain good results and these data might not be available due to high labeling costs or due to the scarcity of the samples. Data augmentation is a powerful tool to improve the performance of neural networks. It consists in modifying the original samples to create new ones, without changing their labels [4]. This leads to a much larger training set and, hence, to better results. Since data augmentation is a standard technique that is used in most papers, a user-friendly library containing efficient implementations of these algorithms would be very helpful to researchers.

© Gianluca Maguolo, Michelangelo Paci, Loris Nanni and Ludovico Bonan. Published in *Applied Computing and Informatics*. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

The authors thank three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and for their comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. The authors are also thankful to the experts who provided valuable suggestions on the version of this paper presented at the website: <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1912/1912.05472.pdf> [32].



---

In this paper we introduce Audiogmenter, a MATLAB toolbox for audio data augmentation. In the field of audio classification and speech recognition, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first library specifically designed for audio data augmentation. Audio data augmentation techniques fall into two different categories, depending on whether they are directly applied to the audio signal [5] or to a spectrogram generated from the audio signal [6]. We propose 15 algorithms to augment raw audio data and 8 methods to augment spectrogram data. We also provide the functions to map raw audios into spectrograms. The augmentation techniques range from very standard techniques, like pitch shift or time delay, to more recent and very effective tools like frequency masking. The library is available at <https://github.com/LorisNanni/Audiogmenter>. The main contribution of this paper is to share a set of powerful data augmentation tools for researchers in the field of audio-related artificial intelligence tasks.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the specific problem background and our strategy for audio data augmentation. Section 3 details the implementation of the toolbox. Section 4 provides one illustrative example. Section 5 contains experimental results. In Section 6, conclusions are drawn.

## 2. Related work

To the best of our knowledge, Audiogmenter is the first MATLAB library specifically designed for audio data augmentation. Such libraries exist in other languages like Python. A well-known Python audio library is Librosa [7]. The aim of Librosa was to create a set of tools to mine audio databases, but the result was an even more comprehensive library useful in all audio fields. Another Python library is Musical Data Augmentation (MUDA) [8], which is specifically designed for audio data augmentation and is not suitable for more general audio-related tasks. MUDA only contains algorithms for pitch deformations, time stretching and signal perturbation but does not contain algorithms like pass filters that would not be useful for generating music data.

Some audio augmentation toolboxes are also available in MATLAB. A famous library is the time-scale modification (TSM) toolbox. It contains the MATLAB implementations of many TSM algorithms [9, 10]. TSM algorithms allow to modify the speed of an audio signal without changing its pitch. They provide many algorithms to do that because it is not trivial to do while maintaining the audio plausible, and every algorithm addresses the problem in a different way. It is clear that this toolbox can be used only on those audio tasks that do not heavily depend on the speed of the sounds.

Recently, the 2019b version of MATLAB included a built-in audio data augmenter for training neural networks. It contains very basic functions which have the advantage of being computed on every mini-batch during training; hence, they do not use a large quantity of memory. However, they can only be applied to the input layers of recurrent networks.

On first approximation, an audio sample can be represented as an  $M$  by  $N$  matrix, where  $M$  is the number of samples acquired at a specific frame rate (e.g. 44100 Hz), and  $N$  is the number of channels (e.g. one for mono and more for stereo samples). Classical methods for audio classification consisted in extracting acoustic features, e.g. Linear Prediction Cepstral Coefficient or Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients, to build feature vectors used for training Support Vector Machines or Hidden Markov Models [11]. Nevertheless, with the diffusion of deep learning and the growing availability of powerful Graphic Processing Units (GPUs), the attention moved toward the visual representations of audio signals. They can be mapped into spectrograms, i.e. graphical representations of sounds as functions of time and frequency, and then classified using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) [12]. Unfortunately, several audio datasets (especially in the field of animal sound classification) are limited, e.g. CAT sound dataset (2965 samples in 10 classes) [13], BIRD sound dataset (2762 samples in 11

classes) [14], marine animal sound dataset (1700 samples in 32 classes) [15] etc. Neural networks are prone to overfitting; hence, data augmentation can strongly improve their performance.

Among the techniques used in the literature to augment raw audio signals, pitch shift, noise addition, volume gain, time stretch, time shift and dynamic range compression are the most common. Moreover, the Audio Degradation Toolbox (ADT) provides further techniques such as clipping, harmonic distortion, pass filters, MP3 compression and wow resampling [16]. Furthermore, Sprengel *et al.* [5] showed the efficacy of augmentation by summing two different audio signals from the same class into a new signal. For example, if two samples contain tweets from the same bird species, their sum will generate a third signal still belonging to the same tweet class. Not only the raw audio signals but also their spectrograms can be augmented using standard techniques [6], e.g. time shift, pitch shift, noise addition, vocal tract length normalization (VTLN) [17], equalized mixture data augmentation (EMDA) [18], frequency masking [19] and thin-plate spline warping (TPSW) [20].


### 3. Background and strategy

Given an audio dataset  $X$  with  $M$  classes and variable number of samples per class  $X = \{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n_1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{n_2,2}, \dots, x_{1,M}, \dots, x_{n_M,M}\}$ , where  $x_{i,j}$  represents a generic audio sample  $i$  from the class  $j$ , we propose to augment  $x_{i,j}$  with techniques working on raw audio signals and to augment the spectrogram  $S(x_{i,j})$  produced by the same raw audio signals.

In Figure 1, the upper branch shows how, from the original  $i$ -th audio sample  $x_{i,j}$  from the class  $j$ , we obtain  $H$  augmented audio samples  $\text{Aug}A_h(x_{i,j})$  to be converted into the augmented “Spectrograms from Audio”  $\text{Aug}SA_h(x_{i,j})$ . The lower branch shows how  $K$  augmented “Spectrograms from Spectrogram”  $\text{Aug}SS_k(x_{i,j})$  can be obtained from the spectrogram of the original audio sample  $S(x_{i,j})$ .

In our tool, we used the function `sgram` included in the large time-frequency analysis toolbox (LTFAT) [21] to convert raw audios into spectrograms.

Figure 1 depicts our strategy; from the original audio sample  $x_{i,j}$  we obtain  $H$  intermediate augmented audio samples  $\text{Aug}A_h(x_{i,j})$  that are then converted into the “Spectrograms from Audio”  $\text{Aug}SA_h(x_{i,j})$ ; from the original spectrogram  $S(x_{i,j})$  we obtain  $K$  augmented “Spectrograms from Spectrogram”  $\text{Aug}SS_k(x_{i,j})$ . The  $H + K$  augmented spectrograms can then be used to train a CNN. In case of limited memory availability, one CNN can be trained



**Figure 1.**  
Augmentation  
strategy implemented  
in `AudioAugmenter`

with the  $H$  AugSA spectrograms, another with the  $K$  AugSS spectrograms and finally the scores can be combined by a fusion rule.

#### 4. Toolbox structure and software implementation

Audiogmenter is implemented as a MATLAB toolbox, using MATLAB 2019b. We also provide an online help as documentation (in the `./docs/` folder) that can be integrated into the MATLAB Help Browser just by adding the toolbox main folder to the MATLAB path.

The functions for the augmentation techniques working on raw audio samples are included in the folder `./tools/audio/`. In addition to our implementations of methods such as `applyDynamicRangeCompressor.m` and `applyPitchShift.m`, we also included four toolboxes, namely the ADT by Mauch *et al.* [16], LTFAT [21], the Phase Vocoder from [www.ee.columbia.edu/~dpwe/resources/matlab/pvoc/](http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~dpwe/resources/matlab/pvoc/) and the Auditory Toolbox [22].

The functions for the augmentation methods working on spectrograms are grouped in the folder `./tools/images/`. In addition to our implementations of methods such as `noiseS.m`, `spectrogramShift.m`, `spectrogramEMDA.m` etc., we included and exploited also a modified version of the code of TPSW [20].

Every augmentation method is contained in a different function. In `./tools/`, we also included the wrappers `CreateDataAUGFromAudio.m` and `CreateDataAUGFromImage.m`, using our augmentation techniques, respectively, from raw audio and spectrograms with standard parameters.

We now describe the augmentations and provide some suggestions on how to use them in the correct applications:

- (1) *applyWowResampling* [16] is similar to pitch shift, but the intensity changes along time. The signal  $x$  is mapped into:

$$F(x) = x + a_m \frac{\sin(2\pi f_m x)}{2\pi f_m}$$

where  $x$  is the input signal, and  $a_m, f_m$  are parameters. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. This is a very useful tool for many audio task and we recommend its use, although we suggest to avoid it for task that involves music, since changing the pitch with different intensities over time might lead to unnatural samples.

- (2) *addNoise* adds white noise to the input signal. It depends on the Degradation Toolbox. This algorithm improves the robustness of a tool by improving its performance on noisy signals; however, this improvement might be unnoticed when the test set is not noisy. Besides, for tasks like sound generation one might want to avoid a neural network to learn from noise data.
- (3) *applyClipping* normalizes the audio signal leaving a percentage  $X$  of the signal outside the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Those parts of the signal are then mapped to  $\text{sign}(x)$ . This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. Clipping is a common technique in audio processing; hence, many recorded or generated audio might be played by a mobile device after having been clipped. If the tool the reader wants to train must recognize this kind of signal, we recommend this augmentation.
- (4) *applySpeedUp* modifies the speed of the signal by a given percentage. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. We suggest to use this augmentation when the speed of a signal is not an important property of the signal.
- (5) *HarmonicDistortion* [16] applies the sine function to the signal multiple times. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. This is a very specific

augmentation that is not suitable for most applications. It is very useful to augment the input signals when the objective of the reader is working with sounds generated by electronic devices, since they might apply a small harmonic distortion to the original signal.

- (6) *applyGain* increases the gain of the input signal. We always recommend to use this algorithm, in general it can always be useful.
- (7) *applyRandTimeShift* randomly takes a signal  $x(t)$  as input, where  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Then a random time  $t^*$  is sampled and the new signal is  $y(t) = x(\text{mod}(t + t^*, T))$ . In words, the first and the second part of the file are randomly switched. This algorithm is very useful, but do not use it if the order of the events in the input signals that you are working with is important. For example, it is not good for speech recognition. It is useful for tasks like sound classification.
- (8) *applySoundMix* [23] sums two audio signals from the same class. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. We suggest to use this algorithm often. In particular, it is useful for multi-label classification or for tasks that involve multiple audio sources at the same time. It is worth noticing that it has also been used for single-label classification [24].
- (9) *applyDynamicRangeCompressor* applies, as its name says, dynamic range compression [25]. This algorithm modifies the frequencies of the input signal. We refer to the original paper for a detailed description. Dynamic range compression is used to preprocess the audio before being played by an electronic device. Hence, a tool that deals with this kind of sounds should include this algorithm in its augmentation strategy.
- (10) *appltPitchShift* increases or decreases the frequencies of an audio file. This is one of the most common augmentation techniques. This algorithm depends on Phase Vocoder.
- (11) *applyAliasing* resamples the audio signal with a different frequency. It violates the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem on purpose [26] to degradate the audio signal. This is a modification of the sound that might occur when unsafely changing its frequency. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. In general, it does not provide great improvement for machine learning tasks. We include it in our toolbox because it might be useful to reproduce the error due to the oversampling of low sampled signals, although they are quite rare in audio applications.
- (12) *applyDelay* adds a sequence of zeros at the beginning of the signal. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. This time delay might be useful in any situation. In particular, we suggest to use it when the random shift of point 7 is not appropriate.
- (13) *applyLowpassFilter* attenuates the frequencies above a given threshold  $f_1$  and blocks all the frequencies above a given threshold  $f_2$ . This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. Low pass filters are useful when high frequencies are not relevant for the audio task.
- (14) *applyHighpassFilter* attenuates the frequencies below a given threshold  $f_1$  and blocks all the frequencies below a given threshold  $f_2$ . This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. Low pass filters are useful when high frequencies are not relevant for the audio task.

- (15) *applyImpulseResponse* [16] modifies the audio signal as if it was produced by a particular source. For example, it simulates the distortion given by the sound system of a smartphone, or it simulates the echo and the background noise of a great hall. This algorithm depends on the Degradation Toolbox. This augmentation is very useful if the reader needs to train a tool that must be robust and work in different environments.

The functions for spectrogram augmentation are:

- (1) *applySpectrogramRandomShifts* applies pitch and time shift. These augmentations are always useful.
- (2) *applySpectrogramSameClassSum* [23] sums the spectrograms of two images with the same label. This is a very useful algorithm. In particular, it is useful for multi-label classification or for tasks that involve multiple audio sources at the same time. It is worth noticing that it has also been used for single-label classification [24].
- (3) *applyVTLN* creates a new image by applying VTLN [17]. For a more detailed description of the algorithm, we refer to the original paper. Since vocal track length is one of the main inter-speaker differences in speech recognition, VTLN is particularly suited for this kind of applications.
- (4) *spectrogramEMDAaugmenter* applies EMDA [18]. This function computes the weighted average of two randomly selected spectrograms belonging to the same class. It also applies a random time delay to one spectrogram and a perturbation to both spectrograms, according to the formula

$$s_{aug}(t) = \alpha\Phi(s_1(t), \psi_1) + (1 - \alpha)\Phi(s_2(t - \beta T), \psi_2)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are two random numbers in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $T$  is the maximum time shift and  $\Phi$  is an equalizer function. We refer to the original paper for a more detailed description. This is a very general algorithm that works in very different situations. It is a more general version of *applySpectrogramSameClassSum*.

- (5) *applySpecRandTimeShift* does the same as *applyRandTimeShift*, but it works for spectrograms.
- (6) *randomImageWarp* applies Thin-Spline Image Warping [20] (TPS-Warp) to the spectrogram, on the horizontal axis. TPS-Warp consists in the linear interpolation of the points of the original image. In practice, it is a speed up where the change in speed is not constant and has average 1. This function is much slower than the others. It can be used in any application.
- (7) *applyFrequencyMasking* sets to a constant parameter the value of some rows and some columns of the spectrogram. The effect is that it masks the real value of the input for randomly chosen times and frequencies. It was proposed in [3]. It was successfully used for speech recognition.
- (8) *applyNoiseS* adds noise to the spectrograms by multiplying the value of a given percentage of the pixels by a random number whose average is one and whose variance is a parameter. Similarly to *applyNoise*, this function increases the robustness of the trained tool on noisy data; however, if the test set is not noisy, the improvement might be unnoticed.

## 5. Illustrative examples

In the folder `./examples/` we included `testAugmentation.m` that exploits the two wrappers detailed in the previous section to augment six audio samples and their spectrograms, and `plotTestAugmentation.m` that shows the results from the previous function. The augmented spectrograms can be seen in [Figures 2 and 3](#).

[Figure 2](#) shows the effect of transforming the audio into a spectrogram and then applying the spectrogram augmentations described in the previous section. [Figure 3](#) shows the spectrograms obtained by the original and the transformed audio files. Although these figures are different, it is possible to recognize specific features that are left unchanged by the augmentation algorithms, as it is desired for this kind of algorithms.

In [Figure 2](#), the top left corner shows the spectrogram from the original audio sample. Seven techniques were used to augment the original spectrogram. The description of the outcomes is in the previous section.


In addition, we provide six audio samples from [www.xeno-canto.org](http://www.xeno-canto.org) (original samples in `./examples/OriginalAudioFiles/` and listed as MATLAB table in `./examples/SmallInputDatasets/inputAugmentationFromAudio.mat`) and six spectrograms generated by `sgram.m` from the aforementioned audio samples (in `./examples/SmallInputDatasets/inputAugmentationFromSpectrograms.mat`). The precomputed results for all the six audio samples and spectrograms are provided in the folder `./examples/AugmentedImages/`.

In [Figure 3](#), the top left corner shows the spectrogram of the original audio sample. We used 11 audio augmentation methods and extracted the spectrograms. The description of the outcomes is in the previous section.

## 6. Experimental results

The ESC-50 dataset [27] contain 2000 audio samples evenly divided in 50 classes. These classes are, for example, animal sounds, crying babies and chainsaws. The evaluation protocol proposed by their creators is a five-fold cross-validation and the human classification accuracy on this dataset is 81.3%.


We tested seven different augmentation protocol with two different networks: AlexNet [28] and VGG16 [29].



**Figure 2.**  
Spectrogram  
augmentation

---

ACI



**Figure 3.**  
Audio augmentation

---

### 6.1 Baseline

The first pipeline is our baseline. We transformed every audio signal into an image representing a Gabor spectrogram. After that we fine-tuned the neural network on the training set of every fold and we evaluated it on their corresponding test set. We trained it with a mini batch of size 64 for 60 epochs. The learning rate was 0.0001, while the learning of last layer was 0.001.

### 6.2 Standard data augmentation

The second protocol is the standard MATLAB augmentation. The training works in the same way as the baseline protocol, with the difference that every training set is 10 times larger due to data augmentation. Due to a larger training set, we only used 14 epochs for the training. For every original signal, we created 10 modified signals applying all the following functions:

- (1) Speed up the signal
- (2) Pitch shift application
- (3) Volume gain application
- (4) Random noise addition
- (5) Time shifting

### 6.3 Single signal augmentation

The third pipeline consists in applying the audio augmentations to the original signals, and for every augmentation we get a new sample. The training works in the same way as the



standard augmentation protocol. We included in the new training set the original samples and nine modified versions of the same samples obtained by applying the following:

- (1) *applyGain*
- (2) *applyPitchShift*
- (3) *applyRandTimeShift*
- (4) *applySpeedUp*
- (5) *applyWowResampling*
- (6) *applyClipping*
- (7) *applyNoise*
- (8) *applyHarmonicDistortion*
- (9) *applyDynamicRanceCompression*

#### 6.4 Single spectrogram augmentation

The fourth pipeline consists in applying the audio augmentations to the spectrograms, and for every augmentation we get a new sample. The training works in the same way as the standard augmentation protocol. We included in the new training set the original samples and five modified versions of the same samples obtained by applying the following:

- (1) *applySpectrogramRandomShifts*
- (2) *applyVTLN*
- (3) *applyRandTimeShift*
- (4) *applyRandomImageWarp*
- (5) *applyNoiseS*

#### 6.5 Time-scale modification augmentation

The fifth pipeline consists in applying the audio augmentations of the TSM Toolbox to the signals. We refer to the original paper for a description of the algorithms that we use. We apply the following algorithms twice to every signal, once with speed up equal to 0.8, once with that parameter equal to 1.5:

- (1) Overlap add
- (2) Waveform similarity overlap add
- (3) Phase Vocoder
- (4) Phase Vocoder with identity phase locking

#### 6.6 Audio Degradation Toolbox

The sixth augmentation strategy consists in applying nine techniques that are contained in the ADT. This works in the same way as single signal but with different algorithms. We applied the following techniques:

- (1) Wow resampling
- (2) Noise

---

ACI

- (3) Clipping
- (4) Harmonic distortion
- (5) Sound mix
- (6) Speed up
- (7) Aliasing

---

- (8) Delay
- (9) Lowpass filter

The results of these protocols are summarized in [Table 1](#).

These results show the efficiency of our algorithms, especially when compared to other similar approaches [30, 31] that use CNNs with speed up augmentation to classify spectrograms. In [30], it is a baseline CNN proposed by the creators of the dataset, while in [31] the authors train AlexNet as we do. In both cases, only speed up is used as data augmentation. We outperform both approaches, since they respectively reach 64.5% and 63.2% accuracy. Other networks specifically designed for these problems reach a 86.5%, although using also unlabeled data for training [19]. However, the purpose of these experiments was to prove the validity of the algorithms and the consistency with previous similar approaches. It was not reaching the state of the art performance on ESC-50. We can see that a better performing network like VGG16 nearly reaches human-level classification accuracy, which is 81.3%. The signal augmentation protocol works better than the spectrogram augmentation, but recall that the latter augmentation strategy consists in creating only six new samples. However, Audiogmenter outperforms standard data augmentation techniques when signal augmentation is applied. We do not claim any generalization of the results. The performance of an augmentation strategy depends on the choice of the algorithms, not on its implementation. What we do in our library is proposing a set of tools that must be used smartly by researchers to improve their classifiers performances. We showed in our experiments that Audiogmenter is useful in a very popular and competitive dataset and we encourage researchers to test on different tasks. The code to replicate our experiments can be found in the folder `/demos/`.

## 7. Conclusions

In this paper we proposed Audiogmenter, a novel MATLAB audio data augmentation library. We provide 23 different augmentation methods that work on raw audio signal and their spectrograms. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest audio data augmentation library in MATLAB. We described the structure of the toolbox and provided examples of its application. We proved the validity of our algorithm by training a convolutional network on a competitive audio dataset using our data augmentation algorithms and obtained results that are consistent with similar approaches in the literature. The library and its documentation are freely available at <https://github.com/LorisNanni/Audiogmenter>.

**Table 1.**  
Classification results of  
the different protocols

---

	Baseline	Standard	Single signal (ours)	Single spectro (ours)	TSM	ADT
AlexNet	60.80	72.75	73.85	65.75	70.95	67.65
VGG16	71.60	79.40	80.90	75.95	79.05	77.50

---

## References

1. Huang G, Liu Z, Van Der Maaten L, Weinberger KQ. Densely connected convolutional networks. Proc IEEE Conf Comput Vis Pattern Recognit. 2017: 4700-4708.
2. Ren S, He K, Girshick RB, Sun J. Faster R-CNN: towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 2015; 39: 1137-1149.
3. Takahashi N, Gygli M, Pfister B, Van Gool L. Deep convolutional neural networks and data augmentation for acoustic event recognition. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH; 2016. Vol. 8. 2982-86.
4. Cubuk ED, Zoph B, Mane D, Vasudevan V, Le QV. AutoAugment: learning augmentation strategies from data. Proc IEEE Conf Comput Vis Pattern Recognit. 2019: 113-123.
5. Sprengel E, Jaggi M, Kilcher Y, Hofmann T. Audio based bird species identification using deep learning techniques. 2016.
6. Oikarinen T, Srinivasan K, Meisner O, Hyman JB, Parmar S, Fanucci-Kiss A, Desimone R, Landman R, Feng G. Deep convolutional network for animal sound classification and source attribution using dual audio recordings. J Acoust Soc Am. 2019; 145: 654-662.
7. McFee B, Raffel C, Liang D, Ellis DPW, McVicar M, Battenberg E, Nieto O. librosa: audio and music signal analysis in python. Proc. 14th Python Sci. Conf., 2015.
8. McFee B, Humphrey EJ, Bello JP. A software framework for musical data augmentation. ISMIR, 2015: 248-254.
9. Driedger J, Müller M, Ewert S. Improving time-scale modification of music signals using harmonic-percussive separation, {IEEE} signal process. Lett. 2014; 21: 105-109.
10. Driedger J, Müller M. {TSM} {T}oolbox: {MATLAB} implementations of time-scale modification algorithms. Proc Int Conf Digit Audio Eff, Erlangen, Germany, 2014: 249-256.
11. Ananthi S, Dhanalakshmi P. SVM and HMM modeling techniques for speech recognition using LPCC and MFCC features. Proc 3rd Int Conf Front Intell Comput Theor Appl. 2014; 2015: 519-526.
12. LeCun Y, Bottou L, Bengio Y, Haffner P. others, Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proc IEEE. 1998; 86: 2278-2324.
13. Pandeya YR, Lee J. Domestic cat sound classification using transfer learning. Int J Fuzzy Log Intell Syst. 2018; 18: 154-160.
14. Zhao Z, Zhang S, Xu Z, Bellisario K, Dai N, Omrani H, Pijanowski BC. Automated bird acoustic event detection and robust species classification. Ecol Inf. 2017; 39: 99-108.
15. Sayigh L, Daher MA, Allen J, Gordon H, Joyce K, Stuhlmann C, Tyack P, The Watkins marine Mammal sound database: an online, freely accessible resource, Proc. Meet. Acoust. 4ENAL, 2016: 40013.
16. Mauch M, Ewert S. Others, the audio degradation toolbox and its application to robustness evaluation; 2013.
17. Jaitly N, Hinton GE. Vocal tract length perturbation (VTLP) improves speech recognition. Proc. ICML Work. Deep Learn. Audio: Speech Lang, 2013.
18. Takahashi N, Gygli M, Van Gool L. Aenet: learning deep audio features for video analysis. IEEE Trans Multimed. 2017; 20: 513-524.
19. Park DS, Chan W, Zhang Y, Chiu CC, Zoph B, Cubuk ED, Le QV. SpecAugment: a simple data augmentation method for automatic speech recognition. ArXiv Prepr. ArXiv1904.08779. 2019.
20. Bookstein FL. Principal warps: Thin-plate splines and the decomposition of deformations. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 1989; 11: 567-585.
21. Průruša Z, Søndergaard PL, Holighaus N, Wiesmeyer C, Balazs P. The large time-frequency analysis toolbox 2.0. Sound, music. Motion, Springer International Publishing, 2014: 419-442. doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-12976-1\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12976-1_25).
22. Slaney M. Auditory toolbox. Interval Res Corp Tech Rep. 1998; 10.

- 
23. Lasseck M. Audio-based bird species identification with deep convolutional neural networks. CLEF (working notes), 2018.
  24. Tokozume Y, Ushiku Y, Harada T. Learning from between-class examples for deep sound recognition. International Conference on Learning Representations; 2018.
  25. Salamon J, Bello JP. Deep convolutional neural networks and data augmentation for environmental sound classification. *IEEE Signal Process Lett.* 2017; 24: 279-283.
  26. Marks RJIII, Introduction to Shannon sampling and interpolation theory, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
  27. Piczak KJ. ESC: dataset for environmental sound classification. *Proc. 23rd ACM Int. Conf. Multimed.*, 2015: 1015-1018.
  28. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE. ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Commun ACM.* 2012; 60: 84-90.
  29. Simonyan K, Zisserman A. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.* 2014.
  30. Piczak KJ. Environmental sound classification with convolutional neural networks. 2015 IEEE 25th Int. Work. Mach. Learn. Signal Process, 2015: 1-6.
  31. Boddapati V, Petef A, Rasmusson J, Lundberg L. Classifying environmental sounds using image recognition networks. *Proced Comput Sci.* 2017; 112: 2048-2056.
  32. Maguolo G Paci M, Nanni L, Bonan L. Audiogmenter: a MATLAB toolbox for audio data augmentation. 2020. *ArXiv Prepr.* available at: [arxiv.org/abs/1912.05472](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05472).

**Corresponding author**

Gianluca Maguolo can be contacted at: [gianlucamaguolo93@gmail.com](mailto:gianlucamaguolo93@gmail.com)