

Index

- Adhesiveness, 24–25
- Adjuncts of disciplinary power, 123
- Adjuncts of penal power. *See also*
 - Subalterns of penal power, 1, 123
 - coerced involvement and ethical blindness, 134–135
 - medicalisation and psychology, 124–126
 - psychologist as ‘expert’, 126–130
 - psychology, power and
 - neutralisation, 135–141
 - psychology and bureaucratic forms of power, 130–134
- Advisory Council on Penal System, 31–32
- Alcohol Related Violence (ARV), 50
- All-encompassing, 24–25
- American Psychological Association, 41
- Armouring, 180–181
- Austerity, 5, 18–19, 107–108
- Authoritative structures, 23
- Avoidance, 181–182

- Bad press, 5
- Bad Psychology: How Forensic Psychology Left Science Behind* (Forde), 6–7
- Banter, 154–157, 174–175
- Becoming New Me 1 (BNM1), 50
- Behavioural malignancies, 72
- Belief-based, motivators, 57
- Blindness
 - ethical, 134–135
 - moral, 40–41
- British Psychological Society (BPS), 34–35, 145

- Building Better Relationships (BBR), 50
- Building Skills for Recovery (BSR), 50
- Bullying, 150–154
- Burdens, 167–171
- Bureaucracy, 5, 33, 106, 133–134, 143–144, 184
- Bureaucratic forms of power, 130–134
- Bureaucratic legitimacy, 133–134

- Care, 3, 40, 184
- Categorical Imperative, 62
- Chartership
 - assessment, 114
 - process, 111–116
- Choices, Actions, Relationships, Emotions (CARE), 50
- Coerced involvement, 134–135
- Coercive entities, 92
- Coercive power, 17–18
- Cognition deficiency, 47–48
- Cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT), 33
- Cognitive impairment, 64
- Cognitive malignancies, 72
- Coherence, 133
- Communicative element, 11
- Conceptual categorisation, 56
- Conceptual variables, 56
- Confirmation bias, 23–24
- Contemporary forensic practitioner
 - role, 45–46
- Control
 - engendering, 15–16
 - of individuals, 1
 - social, 12
- ConVerse* (newspaper), 5
- Coping strategies, 179–180
- ‘Correctional’ psychologist, 45

- Criminal Justice Act (2010), 35–36
 Criminal Justice Acts, 36
 Criminality, 13–14
 Criminologically/psychologically
 positivistic ‘treatment
 industry’, 34
 Culture, 38–39
 hyper-masculine staff, 167
 staff, 38–45, 162
 Cynic, 85–89
 Cynical Retributive, 132–133
 Cynical Retributivism, 81
 Cynical Retributivist, 59, 129–130
 Cynicism, 151–152
- Defeminised professional façade,
 168
 Democratic Therapeutic Community
 Model (DTC), 50
 Democratic Therapeutic Community
 Model Plus (TC+), 50
 Denial of responsibility, 138–139
 Deviance, 12–15
 Disciplinary capital, 20–21, 24
 deviance, 12–15
 expertise, 15–21
 keepers of gate, 25–28
 knowledge, 15–21
 medicalisation of society, 12–15
 power, 15–25
 prison, 15–25
 psychology, 21–25
 Disciplinary change, 191
 Disciplinary discourses, 21
 Disciplinary interests, 191
 Disciplinary power, 16–17
 Discourse, 14
 Dismissive behaviour, 110
 Domestic Violence Inventory, 48
 Dominance
 Impositional Dominance
 Isolational Dominance
 Dramaturgical conflation, 43–44
 ‘Dual-relationship’ problem, 40–41
- Dyadic, 17
 motivations, 57
 relationship, 19
- Egocentric motivators, 57
 Emotion work, 7–8, 190
 Emotional
 emotional labour, 60, 68, 75, 80,
 83–88, 118–122, 156
 emotive labour, 60, 63–64, 68,
 74–75, 79–80, 88, 121–122,
 188–189
 malignancies, 72
 management, 40
 work environments, 39–40
 Emotions, 39–40, 43–44
 England
 forensic psychology in prison, 30–31
 prison in, 1
 prison system of, 21–22
 psychological services within
 prisons, 2, 8
 Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, 41
 Enhanced Thinking Skills programme
 (ETS programme), 50–51
 Entanglement, 29
 Epistemological themes, 13–14
 Ethical blindness, 134–135
 Ethics, 27–28, 145
 Eugenics, 11–12
 Experience of prison, 38–45
 Expert, 123–124
 psychologist as, 126–130
 Expertise, 11, 15–21, 130, 140–141, 184
- Femininities, 166–167, 169
 Force, 17–18
 Forensic psychological service
 development, 30–36
 Forensic psychologists. *See also*
 Occupational experiences
 of forensic psychologists,
 1–5, 47, 55, 124, 183
 Cynic, 85–89

- Functionalists, 69–76
- Humanists, 60–69
- Idealist, 81–85
 - in prison, 123
- Retributivists, 81
- Utilitarians, 77–81
- Forensic psychology, 6–7, 83–84
 - in British penal context, 12
 - discipline of, 106–107
 - historical structure, 37–38
 - role in prisons in England and Wales, 30–31
- Free sorting, 56
- Frustration, 117
- Functionalism, 69
- Functionalists, 8–9, 59, 69–76, 78, 82, 93, 131–132, 184–185, 187–188
- Gatekeepers, 18
- Gender
 - bias, 166
 - Gender hostility, 179
 - gendered constraints, 167–171
 - relations, 7–8
- Goal achievement, 57
- Good order and discipline (GOAD), 114–115
- Governmentality, 20
- Happiness, 77
- Healthy Identity Intervention (HII), 50
- Healthy Sex Programme (HSP), 50
- Hegemonic masculinities, 166, 178–179, 186
- Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service (HMPPS), 5, 9–10, 26, 30, 36
 - Equality Policy Statement, 186
- Historical, Clinical and Risk Management-20 (HCR-20), 48–49
- HMP Dovegate, 51–52
- HMP Gartree, 51–52
- HMP Grendon, 51–52
- HMPS, 38, 50–51
- Horizon, 50, 51
- Human Resource literature, 57
- Humanists, 8–9, 59–69, 78, 93, 124, 127–128
- Hyper-masculine staff culture, 167
- Hypothesised outcomes, 56
- Idealist, 81–85, 121–122, 126
- Identity Matters (IM), 50
- Impositional dominance by uniformed staff, 157–159
- Imprisonment, 67, 77, 88–89
- In-office meditation, 159
- Incentives and Earned Privileges scheme, 20–21
- Indeterminate Sentences for Public Protection (IPP), 35–36
- Individuals, control of, 1
- Ineffective knowledge sharing, 144
- Inside Time* (newspaper), 5, 138–139
- Integrated Research Application System (IRAS), 25–27
- Intellectual and professional challenge, 96–99
- International Personality Disorder Examination (IPDE), 48
- Interpersonal power, 186
- Interventions, 71–72, 79–80, 124–125
- Intimidating/intimidation, 150–154, 158, 173
- Invasive exploratory surgery, 125
- Isolational dominance by uniformed staff, 159–163
- Juvenile Risk Assessment Scale (J-RAS), 48
- Kaizen, 50
- Keepers of Gate, 25–28
- Key Performance Targets (KPTs), 107
- Knowledge, 15–21

- Labour product, 92–96
- Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Prisoners Act (LASPO), 35–36
- Legitimate power, 17–18
- Level of Service Inventory–Revised (LSI-R), 48
- Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (LS/CMI), 48
- Lived experience, 3
- Living as New Me, 50
- Maintaining accuracy, 133
- Managerial ‘interventions’, 12–13
- Managerialism, 33
- Mapping exercise, 59
- Masculinities, 7–8, 165
 hegemonic masculinities, 166, 178–179, 186
- Medical intervention, 124
- Medical model, 125
 of forensic psychology, 79–80
- Medicalisation
 of deviance, 126
 processes, 12
 and psychology, 124–126
 of society, 12–15
- Mental discipline, 17–18
- Minimisation, 175
- Ministry of Justice, 4, 5–7, 30, 38, 52
- Modern risk society, 34
- Moral
 abeyance, 23
 blindness, 40–41
- Morality, 1, 113
- Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA), 147
- National Offender Management Service (NOMS), 30, 38, 147
- National Research Committee (NRC), 25–27
- Negative banter, 157
- Negative peer relationships, 109–111
- Neo-liberal political economy, 1
- Neutralisation, 135–141, 175
- New Me Strengths, 50
- New Public Management (NPM), 31, 33
- Normalisation, 14–16
- Occupational
 dissatisfaction, 102
 factors, 104–109
 morality, 7–8
 motivators, 57
 role, 102–104
 satisfaction, 91–92
- Occupational experiences of forensic psychologists. *See also* Forensic psychologists, 9, 92
- emotional labour, 119–122
- intellectual and professional challenge, 96–99
- labour product, 92–96
- negative peer relationships, 109–111
- occupational dissatisfaction, 102
- occupational factors, 104–109
- occupational role, 102–104
- occupational satisfaction, 91–92
- ontological ambiguity, 102–104
- prosociality and support, 99–102
- relational frustrations, 117–119
- social frustrations, 117–119
- spillage problem, 119–122
- team dynamics, 109–111
- training and career advancement, 111–116
- Offender, 51–52, 82–83, 124
 behaviour, 125
 management, 143–150
- Offender Assessment System (OASys), 36, 47–48, 147
- Offender Group Re-Conviction Scale (OGRS), 48

- Offender Management model
(OM model), 147, 148
- Offender Management Units (OMUs),
145, 147–150, 182
- Offender Personality Disorder
pathway, 5
- Offending behaviour, 140–141
- Offending Behaviour Programmes
Unit (OBPU), 49–50
- Ontological ambiguity, 102–104
- Ontological Security, 57, 111, 148,
187–188
- Ontology, 13, 64
- OPDP, 52
- Organisations, 165
- ‘Othering’ of people in prison, 72
- Palliating, 77–78
- Panopticism, 15, 20–21
- Paradox. *See also* Adjuncts of penal
power, 183, 187
- Parole Board, 137–138
- Pathologized ‘offender’ labels, 22
- Penal. *See also* Adjuncts of penal
power; Subalterns of penal
power
monoliths, 1
power, 6
shift, 20
- Penalty, 31
- People-centricism, 61
- Physical discipline, 17–18
- Physiological, motivators, 57
- Positivism, 13, 83
- Positivist criminology, 13–14
- Power, 7–8, 15–25, 123, 135–141, 184,
187
dynamics, 187
psychology and bureaucratic forms
of, 130–134
- Pragmatism, 74–75
- Precision, 133
- Preparation PIPE, 52
- Primary Care Trust, 31–32
- Prison, 1, 15–25, 29–30, 166
officers, 2
staff cultures and experience of,
38–45
- Prison Service, 37–38, 47–50, 53, 70
- Prisoners, 22
- Professional discourses, 15–16
- Professional expertise, 14
- Progression PIPE, 52
- Propositioning, 176–179
- Prosociality and support, 99–102
- Provision PIPE, 52
- Psychological services, 150
development, 66
- Psychologically informed
interventions, 34
- Psychologically Informed Planned
Environments (PIPEs), 5,
51–53
- Psychologists, 154, 184–186, 188–189
as ‘expert’, 126–130
working in prisons, 165
- Psychology, 21–25, 135–141
medicalisation and, 124–126
offender management *vs.*, 143–150
of power, 130–134
- Psychology of Criminal Conduct, 34
- Psychopathy Checklist Revised
(PCL-R), 48–49
- Public protection, 69–71
- Quantitative method, 56
- Quasi-psychological mumbo-jumbo,
138–139
- Quintic power, 187
- Rehabilitatedness, 22–23
- Rehabilitation, 23, 29, 31–32, 34,
51–52, 71, 93–94
- Rehabilitative relationship, 129
- Relational frustrations, 117–119
- Repressive welfarism, 66–67
- Resentment, 25–26
- Resolve, 50

- Responsibilisation, 33, 79
 Responsibility, 97–98
 Retributive Idealist, 59, 85, 121, 148–149
 Retributivists, 8–9, 59, 70, 81, 132, 187–189
 Risk, 29, 31–32
 assessments, 45–46
 assuaging, 34, 124
 management, 45–46
 technologies, 32–33
 Risk Matrix 2000 (rm2000), 48
 Risk of Serious Recidivism (RSR-tool), 48
 Risk/needs model, 41–42, 135
 ‘Risk/needs responsivity’ approach, 34
 Riskiness, 22–23, 71

 Scriptural economy, 33
 Securitised knowledge, 16
 Security, 145–146
 Self-perpetuating logics, 184
 Senior Management Team (SMT), 136
 Severe and Dangerous Personality Disorder (SDPD), 49
 Sex discrimination, 166
 Sex Offender Treatment Programmes (SOTP), 51, 119, 158, 170
 Sexism, 179
 in workplace, 171–176
 Sexual assertiveness, 178–179
 Sexual Violence Risk-20 (SVR-20), 48
 Sexualised
 gossiping, 20, 176–179
 propositioning, 176–179
 Social Darwinism, 11–12
 Social frustrations, 117–119
 Social needs, 57
 Sociality, 38–39, 187
 Societal shift, 20
 Society, 69–70
 Soft power, 19–20
 Spillage problem, 119–122
 Staff cultures of prison, 38–45, 162

 Strain, 117
 Stress, 117
 Structured Assessment of Risk & Need (SARN), 48–49
 Subalterns of penal power. *See also*
 Adjuncts of penal power
 banter, 154–157
 impositional dominance by
 uniformed staff, 157–159
 isolational dominance by uniformed
 staff, 159–163
 territoriality, 143–150
 uniformed body, 150–154
 Subject, 82–83
 Supervisor, 45, 99–101, 116
 Suspicion, 151–152
 Symbolic attainment, 191
 Symbolic capital, 7–8, 20–21
 Systems of knowledge, 16

 Team dynamics, 98, 100–101, 109–111
 Territorialism, 185–186
 Territoriality, 143–150
 Therapeutic communities, 51–53
 Therapeutons, 51–52
 Thinking Skills Programme (TSP), 50
 Totality, 165–166
 ‘Traditional and sexist’ prison, 173
 Training and career advancement, 111–116
 Treatment
 industry, 6, 29–30, 46–51, 49–50
 programmes, 71–72
 2003 Act. *See* 2003 Criminal Justice Act
 2003 Criminal Justice Act, 36, 47–48
 Typology, 55–56, 190

 Uniformed body, 150–154
 Uniformed staff
 impositional dominance by, 157–159
 isolational dominance by, 159–163
 ‘Us and them’ mentality, 152–153

- 'Usual' subaltern, 17
- Utilitarianism, 77
- Utilitarians, 8–9, 59, 59, 77–81, 131–132, 184–185, 187–188
- Values, 97–98
- Violence Risk Scale (VRS), 48–49
- Violent Extremist Risk Assessment v2 (VERA-2), 48
- Vulnerability, 6, 128, 160–161
- Wales
 - forensic psychology in prison, 30–31
 - prison in, 1
 - prison system of, 21–22
 - psychological services within prisons, 2, 8
- Well-being, 127–128
- Wild inaccuracies, 131–132
- Women's Risk/Needs Assessment (WRNA), 48
- Women's work, 170
- Workload, 104–109
- Workplace
 - sexism in, 171–176
 - violence, 173–174