INDEX

transparency, 108 Barrick, 180 Benevolent concern, 100, 108 Biodiversity offsets, 183–184 Business thinking about social CODELCO company, 163–164 Cognitive justifications, 97 Cognitive legitimacy. See also Pragmatic legitimacy, 103–104
--

capability, 103-104	Control and avoidance
independent technical support,	relationship, 124
103	Cooperative relationship, 124
Collaborative SLO, 81	Corporate community foundation
Columbia Center on Sustainable	models, 76
Investment (CCSI), 153	Corporate policy, 179–180
Communication level, 105,	Corporate social responsibility
109–110	(CSR), 44, 102
Community/communities, 185	Corporate structures and hierarchy
agreements, 170-171	40–41
development, 4–5	Crisis, 21
internal influence of community	Cultural heritage management
relations staff, 39-40	plans, 175-176
strategy in social conflict, 22	D :: 1:
Community-business cooperation	Decision-making
relationship, 125-127	adjustment level, 98
Community-business	factors, 97, 99
interdependence	processes, 83
relationship, 124-125	relative power, 98–99
Community-company, 123	risk tolerance, 98
community-company control	Democratic societies, 20
and avoidance relationship,	Development table, 86
124–125, 134, 137	Dialogue table, 85–86
community-company	Distributive negotiation, 125
cooperation relation,	Distributive tactics, 124
134–137	Due diligence, 186
Company/companies, 118, 185	Early Warning System (SAT),
company-government, 122	140–141
strategy in social conflict,	Ebola, 160
22–24	Economic growth with local
top-down approach, 76–78, 80	procurement and supplier
Company–community relation	development strategies,
case study, 88–90	169–170
company-community perception	Ecosystem services, 184
of worldview, 75–76	Efficient negotiation management,
multistakeholder partnerships,	126
80–87	Emerging infectious diseases
paternalist model, in-house	(EIDs), 160
strategy, and company's	Emission of toxic substances, 162
top-down approach, 76–80	Emissions, reducing, measuring,
Compliance Advisor Ombudsman	and reporting, 180
(CAO), 148	Emotional concerns of interaction,
Consensus-based approach,	141–144
100–101	Emotional interaction in extractive
Contextual factors, 97	game 128-129

Employment, 48	General Memorandum of
Energy efficiency, 168–169	Understanding (GMoU), 85
Environment, 44–45	Geological, Mining and
Environmental Impact Assessment	Metallurgical Institute
(EIA), 122, 135	(INGEMMET), 33
Equal opportunities for women,	Glencore, 158, 183
164–165	Gold Fields, 169, 175–176
External audit, 37	Governments, 118
case study, 50	government-community,
external factors, 38	122–123
internal factors, 38	Graduate programs into
nature, 37–39	recruitment strategies, 164
process of performing, 38–39	
External factors, 44–49	Hak ulayat, 156
co-ownership of process, 49	High-density polyethylene (HDPE), 176–177
employment, 48	Hiring, 41
environment, 44–45 human rights and culture, 47	Human immunodeficiency virus/
land, 46	acquired immunodeficiency
legal license to operate, 48	syndrome (HIV/AIDS), 159
partnership, 48–49	among employees, 161
social, 44	Human rights
sphere of influence, 49	and culture, 47
water, 45–46	impact assessments, 185-186
Extractive game triangle model,	Impact and Danielt Agreements
117–118	Impact and Benefit Agreements
actors of central triangle, 117,	(IBAs), 85–87
119, 121	Impact-benefit, 170–171
case study, 130–148	In-house strategy, 76–80
company and local community,	Inclusive employment, 155
124–128	Inclusiveness of direct employment, 170
emotional concerns of	Independent technical support, 103
interaction, 128–129	
stakeholders, 121–123	Indigenous peoples, 186
triangles of interaction, 119–121	Industry, 171–172
Extractive industry, social conflict	Infection prevention and control (IPC), 160
in, 19–20	Infectious diseases, anticipating,
m, 1> 20	mitigating, and monitoring
Formal processes, 42	for, 160–161
Free, Prior, and Informed Consent	Infrastructure, 171–172
(FPIC), 186	Innovation, 171–172
Gender equality, 164–166	Input legitimacy, 84–85
Gender-sensitive work	Institutions, 184–186
environments, 165	Integrity, 105, 109
chivinoninichts, 103	1110g111y, 100, 100

Interdependence relationship, 134–137	Legitimacy, 95–96 case study, 107–110
Interest-based negotiation, 125,	model, 97–105
128, 137, 141	strategies, 106–107
Internal audit, 37	Livelihood restoration, 156
case study, 50	Local communities, 75–76,
external factors, 38	118–119
internal factors, 38 nature, 37–39	Local procurement, 155–156, 171–172
process of performing, 38–39	Los Andes de Cajamarca
Internal factors	Association (ALAC), 168
business thinking about social	Marifacture discussion 21
management, 39	Manifest conflict, 21
corporate structures and	Marine impacts, 182
hierarchy, 40–41	Marine resources, social impacts
formal processes, 42	and relationships to, 182
internal influence of community	Mediation, 102–103
relations staff, 39–40 labor, 43	Memorandum of understanding (MoU), 85
legal function, 42–43	Mental health, 161-162
staff attitudes, 41	Meta model of social conflict
International Council on Mining	analysis, 24–25
and Minerals (ICMM), 123,	Mine skills baseline and
154	assessment, life of, 163
	Minera San Cristobal S.A (MSC),
International Finance Corporation	157
(IFC), 108, 148 International Union for	Mineral processing, 45
	Mining, 154, 156, 171–172
Conservation of Nature	activities, 172–173
(IUCN), 188	anticipating and preventing risk
Joint fact-finding process,	of conflict, 173–174
126–127	and life below water, 181-182
Joint-Fact Finding (JFF), 146-147	and life on land, 182-184
Justice, 184–186	and reduced inequalities,
JX Nippon Mining & Metals,	172–174
165–166	waste, 176-177
	Mitsubishi materials, 168–169,
Kindness, 100	176, 179
Labor, 43	MMG company, 160, 177–178,
Land, 46	181
access, 156	Moral justifications, 97
Latent conflict, 20–21	Moral legitimacy. See also
Leadership, 104	Cognitive legitimacy,
Legal function, 42–43	100–103
Legal license to operate, 48	benevolent concern, 100

consensus-based approach, 100–101 mediation, 102–103 participation, 103 security, 100 similarities, 101–102 transparency, 102 Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA), 148 Multistakeholder partnerships. See also Partnership(s), 80, 82, 87 examples of multistakeholders models, 85–87 input legitimacy, 84–85 output legitimacy, 84–85 throughput legitimacy, 84–85 NANA Regional Corporation, 89 National Office for Dialogue and	Orano, 172 Organizations, 87, 176, 179 Output legitimacy, 84–85 Participation, 103, 109 Participation, process, partnership, and permanent change (4Ps), 4–7 Participatory environmental monitoring and surveillance committees (PEMSCs), 86–87 Partnership(s), 48–49 for goals, 187–188 networks, 81 transferring innovative and environmentally sound technologies, 187–188 transparently mobilizing resources, 187
Sustainability (ONDS), 139–140	Paternalist model, 76–80 Peace, 184–186
National procurement, 155–156	Peace Bonus, 29
National Water Authority (ANA), 140–141, 144	Personal protective equipment (PPE), 165
Negotiation approach, 125, 128, 137, 141	Planning land use for life of mine,
Newcrest, 175	Polarization, 20–21
Newcrest Diversity and Inclusion	Pollution prevention, 162
Strategy, 170	Polyus, 161, 184
Newcrest Mining Limited, 165	Position-based negotiation, 125,
Newmont, 164, 170, 183–184, 188	128, 137, 141
Noncommunicable disease (NCD), 159–160 Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), 76, 101–102, 167–168	Poverty eradication, 154–156 Pragmatic legitimacy. <i>See also</i> Cognitive legitimacy, 97, 99 norms, 99 stakeholder engagement, 99
Norms, 99	Predictability, 105, 109
Norsk Hydro ASA, 155	Private companies, 118
Occupational health and safety,	Quality education, 162–164
159 Ok Tedi Mine, Papua New Guinea, 30–31 study questions, 31 Operational activities, 174	Racks, 102–103 Rancas, 33 Red Dog Mine in Alaska, 88–90 Reforestation, 184

Regional Development Councils	in extractive industry, 19–20
(RDCs), 29	framework, 24–27
Regional procurement, 155–156	stages, 20–22
Relative power, 98–99, 110	strategies in, 22–24
Renewable energy, 169	Social conflict diagnostic tool
Reputational capital, 9–10	(SCDT), 49–51, 66, 68
Reputational concern, 104, 109	Social identity theory, 101–102
Responsible consumption and	Social investments, 48–49
production, 177–179	Social license to operate (SLO),
extraction, 178–179	2-4, 7, 20, 95
implementing environmentally	compliance, 8
sound management of	earned, 7–8
chemicals and all wastes,	extractive projects, 1-2
178	features, 7–11
minimizing mine inputs and	as forum for negotiation, 8
waste, 177–178	gaining trust, 9
responsible sourcing, 179	intangible, 9
Rights of women, 166	as meeting social expectations, 8
Río Locumba Consortium (CRL),	one-size-fits-all program, 10
140–141	outcomes, 10
Rio Tinto, 181	preventing costs, 11
Risk tolerance, 98	programs, 75–76
Royalties, 154	relationships, 9
C E:11: 22	reputational capital, 9-10
Sacra Familia, 33	shared value creation, 2-3
Security, 100	specific site, 11
Security, 108–109	Social license withdrawal, 21-22
Settlement, 156	Social management, business
Shared infrastructure solutions, 172	thinking about, 39
	Social resistance in mining industry,
Shared value creation, 2–3	20
strategic management of, 11–13 Sibanye-Stillwater, 182	Social responsibility, 77
Similarities, 101–102	into company's governance,
Situational factors, 104–105	systems, and procedures, 88
alignment of interests, 105	guidance on integrating social
communication level, 105	responsibility throughout
leadership, 104	extractive company, 87–88
predictability and integrity, 105	setting direction of organization
reputational concern, 104	for, 87–88
Skill development, 155, 171–172	Social time, 40
Skills-based trust, 96–97	South32, 170
Social conflict	Southern Peru Copper Corporation
action plans, 67–70	Toquepala Processing Plant
cases, 27–33	Expansion Project in Tacna,
Cases, 27 33	Peru, 130–131

actors of central triangle, 131–132	SDG9, 171–172 SGD10, 172–174
emotional concerns of interaction, 141–144 interaction triangles, 132–134 interdependence relation, 134–137 negotiation, 137–141 recommendations, 148 trust and generate value, 144–147 Sphere of influence, 49 Staff attitudes, 41 Stakeholders community–company, 123 company–government, 122 engagement, 99, 110 extractive game triangle model,	Taxes, 154 Technical scholarships, 164 Technical time, 40 Teck company, 163, 167, 173–174 Throughput legitimacy, 84–85 Training, 41 Transparency, 102, 108 in water management, 157 Trust, 9, 95–97 case study, 107–110 model, 97–105 strategies, 106–107 Trustees, 96–97, 105 Tuberculosis among employees, 161
121–123 government–community, 122–123	UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN), 153
Strategic management of shared value creation, 11–13 SLO, 11–13 Surface mining, 45 Sustainable cities and communities,	United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), 153 Urban development, anticipating and mitigating negative impacts of, 176
174–177 Sustainable Development Goals	Vale company, 161, 167, 178
(SDGs), 81, 151, 153 framework, 153–188 SDG1, 154–156 SDG11, 174–177 SDG12, 177–179 SDG13, 179–181 SDG14, 181–182 SDG15, 182–184 SDG16, 184–186 SDG17, 187–188 SDG2, 156–158 SDG3, 159–162 SDG4, 162–164 SDG5, 162–164 SDG6, 166–168 SDG7, 168–169	War, 21 Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTP), 140–141 Water, 45–46 basin, 45–46 conserving and recycling, 167 quality and reporting on water use, 167 social, cultural, and technical aspects, 167–168 Water Balance Committee, 142–143 WET Project, 188 Win–lose strategies, 135 Workforce education, training, and
SDG7, 166–169 SDG8, 169–171	technical programs, 163–164

World Health Organization (WHO), 161 World Heritage, 175–176

Yurajhuanca, 33

Zero hunger, 156–158 farmland and livestock free from contamination and dust, 158 finding synergies, 157
infrastructure with shared
benefits to agriculture,
158
transparency in water
management, 157
Zero-sum negotiation, 125