

Index

- Abstract systems, 30–31
- Accountability, 25, 27
 - for reasonableness, 59–60
- Accountable care organisations (ACOs), 16
- Acheson report, 107
- Action on smoking and health (ASH), 100
- Acupuncture, 44
- Adaptation policy, 125
- Adaptation strategies, 123
- Affluenza disease, 120
- Age discrimination in healthcare, 51
- Agenda setting, 88
- Air pollution, 121–122
- Allocation decisions, 55
- Appraisal of cost-effectiveness of medicines, 68
- Arm pain study, 43–44
- Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 68, 83
- Autonomy, 22, 52

- Bias, 90–91
 - corporate, 63
- ‘Big bang’ reforms, 8–9
- Big Pharma, 63–68
- Biographical disruption, 49
- Biomedicine, 42
- Body mass indicator (BMI), 112–113
- Bourdieu’s theory, 111
- British Medical Journal (BMJ), 11
- Bureaucratic model, 27
- Business-as-usual paths (BAU paths), 124

- Cancer Drugs fund, 67
- Cancer survival, 18
- Care Quality Commission (CQC), 9
 - report, 75
- ‘Case and judgement base’ approach, 60
- Celebrity culture, 92
- Chains of trust relations, 81
- Change4Life programme, 113
- Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), 123
- Choice policies, 39, 45
- Chronic illness, 48–50
- Citizens’ health movements, 120
- Class, 3
 - inequalities, 103
 - occupational, 112
 - precariat, 116, 128
 - social, 3, 77, 88, 103–104, 111
- Clean Air Acts, 121
- Climate change, 123
 - controlling, 125
 - damaging effects, 124
- Climate Change Act (2008), 125
- Clinical autonomy, 22, 27
- Clinical commissioning groups (CCGs), 9
- Clinical discretion, 24
- Clinical freedom, 57s
- Clinical governance, 26
- Clinical judgement, 62
- Co-production, 62–63
 - of regulatory arrangements, 33
- Coalition
 - government, 26, 37, 39, 109
 - policies, 14
- Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), 77–78, 83
- Commissioning
 - decisions, 10
 - groups, 9
- Commodification, 39
- Commonwealth fund survey, 18
- Communitarian systems, 18
- Community health councils, 36–37
- Comparative analysis, 17–19
- Competition Commission, 10
- Complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs), 42–43
- Conceptual approaches to dignity, 51
- Conceptual level of medicalisation, 82
- Confidence, 30–31
- CONITEC, 70
- Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition
 - government, *see* Coalition
- Conservative government, 11, 107, 126
 - policy, 10
- Consistency in public interest, 25
- Consumer activity in healthcare, 46
- Consumerism, 24, 35
- Contested illnesses, 127
- Corporate bias, 63
- Corporate rationalisers, 3

- Corporatisation, 24
 Cosmetic surgery, 11
 ‘Creeping’ privatisation, 12
 Critical theory, 85
 Cuba’s National Centre for Scientific Research (CNIG), 71
 Cuban drug development and evaluation, 71
 Cultural capital, 105
 Cultural explanation, 105
 Cut practice, 32
- Daily Mail*, 95
 Decision-making approach, 46, 59–61
 Deinstitutionalisation, 73
 Demand side strategies, 58
 Demedicalisation, 82
 Democratic deficit in NHS, 35
 Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), 122
 Department of Health (DoH), 9
 Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC), 13
 Dependency, 50
 Depletion, 120–121, 123–126
 Depression, 74
 Deprofessionalisation thesis, 23–24
 Diagnostic categories of mental disorders (DSM), 82
 Dignified practices, 51
 (Dis)trust, 31, 81
 Disability, 48–50
 Disease, 127–131
 Affluenza disease, 120
 mongering, 68
 Disengagement, 50
 Doctor–patient relationship, changing nature of, 46–48
 Drug
 industry, 55, 64
 safety policy, 65
- Ebola
 epidemic, 6
 outbreak, 99–100
 Economic appraisals of technology, 59
 Economic autonomy, 22
 Economic determinism, 73
 Embodied trust, 29
 Employment, 130
 Employment Equality Regulation, 129
 Empowerment of patients, 15
 ‘Enforced pause’ for thought, 8
 Enforced trust, 29
 Environmental factors, 119
 Environmental policy, public health successes through, 119
 Environmentally sustainable health systems, 126
- Epistemic uncertainty, 61
 EU medicines regulatory network (EMRN), 65
 Eudaimonic model, 77
 European Free Trade Association (EFTA), 65
 European Medicines Agency (EMA), 64–65
 European Union (EU), 45
 Evidence-based clinical guidelines, 24
 Evidence-based medicine (EBM), 58
 Explicit rationing, 56, 58–59
 strengths and weaknesses, 62–63
- Feminist approaches, 21
 Food
 consumption, 112
 industry, 115
 scandals, 96–99
 Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 64
 Food Banks, 112
 Fragmentation of services, 9
 Freidson’s theory of restratification, 24
 Functionalist/systems approach, 21
- Gatekeeping strategy, 7
 General Medical Council (GMC), 28
 General practitioner (GP), 7
 ‘Gig’ economy, 128
 Glasgow Media group, 94
 Government policy, 25, 36–38
 Government-driven public health interventions, 119
 Green health policy, 120
 Greenism, 120, 132
 Griffiths proposals, 26
 Gross domestic product (GDP), 18
- Hardship, 50, 52
 Health (*see also* Responsive health service)
 capital, 106
 gap to absolute deprivation, 104
 and health services, 39
 health-related behaviours, 111
 policy, 1
 policy-making process, 1
 promotion policies, 111
 systems, 18
 watch, 17
 Health and Safety at Work (HSW), 129
 Health and Safety Executive (HSE), 129
 Health and Social Care Act, 7–15, 109
 Healthcare, 39–40, 61
 social inequalities in experience of, 50–53
 Healthwatch, 9
 High-profile targets, 19
 High-trust behaviours, 41
 Hospital-based private sector, 10
 Human papillomavirus (HPV), 110
 Hyperbolic syringe model, 88

- Illnesses, 127–128
 Implicit rationing, 56–57
 strengths and weaknesses, 62–63
 Independent Food Aid Network (IFAN), 112
 Independent sector providers (ISPs), 13
 Independent Sector Treatment Centres, 12
 Indian health system, 32–34
 Individualistic health systems, 18
 Industrialisation, 119
 Institutional corruption, 63
 Institutional level of medicalisation, 82
 Institutional trust, 31
 Institutionalisation, 73
 Instrumental-strategic approaches, 31
 Integrated care partnerships (ICPs), 16
 Integrated care systems (ICSs), 16
 Interactional level of medicalisation, 82
 Internal/quasi market, 8
 International comparisons, 68–72
 Internet, 24, 35, 47, 53, 68, 87, 90
 Interpersonal trust, 31
 Interpersonal uncertainty, 61
 Interprofessional working relationships, 23
 Inward observability, 34

 Judicialisation, 71

 Knaves, 22
 Knights, 22
 Knowledge gap, 23
 Kyoto Protocol, 126

 Labelling, 85
 Labour government, 2, 25, 107–108
 policy, 12, 108
 Labour policy strategy, 108
 Large hospital asylums, 73
 Lay expertise, 13, 43, 49, 57
 Legal duties, 15
 Lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans people (LGBT
 people), 76
 Life course approach, 106
 Lifestyle behaviours as social and moral problem,
 110–115
 Local Healthwatch organisations, 9
 Local involvement networks (LINKs), 37
 Low-trust behaviours, 41

 Managerialism, 25–28
 Market
 market-oriented society, 106
 model, 28
 principles, 8, 39
 type of organisation, 8
 Marketisation, 10, 38
 evidence of increasing, 13

 Marmot report, 108
 Marxist approach, 21
 Mass media, 87
 and influence, 87–89
 Measles, Mumps and Rubella (MMR), 6
 Media, health policy in, 87, 90–92
 case studies, 92–101
 and changing shape of health policy making,
 89–92
 mass media, 87
 Media advocacy, 100
 Medical journals, 68
 Medical profession
 Medical profession, 3, 5, 22
 devaluation, 25
 practices and strategies, 21
 trustworthy, 29–31
 Medical professionalism, 21, 57
 changing approaches to medical governance,
 28–29
 discourse, 27
 government policy and English NHS, 25
 managerialism and medical
 profession, 25–28
 sociological narratives, 21–25
 trust and failing medical governance, 32–34
 Medical sociologists, 2
 Medical-authority model, 98
 Medicalisation, 2, 68, 82–85
 Medicines Act (1968), 64
 Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory
 Agency (MHRA), 65
 Medicines regulation, 68–72
 Menschenwurde, 51
 Mental health, 94–96
 system, 74
 Mental Health Act (1983), 74
 Mental health policy (*see also* Responsive health
 service)
 changing shape, 73–78
 medicalisation and psychiatric treatments
 policy, 82–85
 mental health users, 85–86
 trust, risk and, 78–82
 Mental healthcare, 31, 74
 Mid Staffordshire scandal (2013), 25, 100
 Middle-income countries, 113
 Mix-and-match approach, 44
Muddling Through Elegantly, 60
 Mutual recognition, 52

 National guidelines, 24
 National Health Service (NHS), 1, 5, 7
 clinical governance policy, 78
 English NHS, 25, 126–127
 Health and Social Care Act, 7–15
 Improvement, 9

- interplay of powerful interest groups shaping organisational developments, 15–17
- performance, 17–19
- National health systems, 58
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 5, 60
- emergence, 58–59
- in England, 55
- Negotiation strategy, 67
- Neo-Weberian approach, 21–23
- Neoliberal epidemic, 116
- Neoliberal ideologies, 9
- Neoliberalism, 38, 106
- ‘Net zero’ emissions, 125
- Neurotic conditions, 74
- New Labour administration, 8
- Newsworthiness, 91–92, 97
- Nitrogen dioxide, 122
- Non-suicidal self-harm (NSSH), 76
- Normalisation, 49
- Nursing, 23
- Obesity, 113
- epidemic and policies, 6, 103
- Occupational class, 112
- Occupational health services, 130
- Office of National Statistics (ONS), 111
- Optimistic approach, 50
- Organisation(al), 8
- professionalism, 27
- trust, 31
- Oslo effect, 122
- Outsourcing, 12
- Paris Agreement, 126
- Paternalistic relationship, 46
- Patient
- charters, 37
- choice, 35
- education and policies, 58
- patient–consumer model, 98
- trust, 31
- Pay beds, 10
- People living in deprivation, 132
- Personal trust, 30
- PHARMAC, 69
- Pharmaceutical price regulation scheme, 65
- Pharmaceuticalisation, 68
- Pharmaceuticals, 64
- Pharmacological revolution, 73
- Pharmacy, 23
- Physiotherapy, 23
- Plastic surgery, 11
- Pluralistic health systems, 18
- Poachers turned gatekeepers, 27
- Policosanol, 71
- Policy
- analysis, 4, 57–58
- decision-making, 48
- policy-makers, 99
- process, 7s4
- themes, 4
- Policy making, 1–2, 4
- in NHS, 63–68
- Political autonomy, 22
- Political process, 1
- Politics
- of recognition, 3
- of redistribution, 3
- ‘Polluter pays’ principle, 122
- Pollution, 120–121
- Portrayal of disability, 96
- Post-Fordism, 38
- Postcode lottery, 58
- Precariat class, 116, 128
- Primary care trusts (PCTs), 9
- Priming, 88
- Prioritisation criteria, 60
- Priority setting, 55–57
- Private financial initiative (PFI), 11
- Private health (*see also* Public health)
- insurance, 12
- sector in England, 10
- Private healthcare and insurance, 69
- Private hospitals, 11
- Private providers, 10, 12–13, 19, 42
- Privatisation, 4–5, 7, 9–10, 12–14, 17, 19, 32
- Proactive mass media, 89–90
- Procedural uncertainty, 61
- Professional(ism), 27
- autonomy, 15
- journalists, 97–98
- model, 27
- scientific medicine, 23
- Proletarianisation, 23–24
- Psychiatric treatments policy, 82–85
- Psychiatry, 79
- Psychosocial explanation, 107
- Psychotic mental health problems, 31
- Public health (*see also* Private health)
- interplay of powerful interest groups in public health policies, 115–116
- professionals, 99
- successes through environmental policy, 119
- Public Health England (PHE), 9
- Public Involvement Forums (PALs), 37
- Public sphere model, 98
- Public voice, 35
- Quality adjusted life years (QALYs), 59
- Quasi internal market, 57

- Rationing, 55–57, 59–61
- Re-institutionalisation, 74
- Recognition, 51–52
- Regulatory failure, 32–33
- Relational-communicative approaches, 31
- Relative deprivation, 104–105
- RENAME (National Essential Medicines List), 70
- ‘Rescue’ principles, 57
- Respect, 51–52
- Responsible Officers (ROs), 29
- Regulations, 28
- Responsive health service, 35
- assessing impact of policy, 40–46
- changing nature of doctor–patient relationship, 46–48
- government policy, 36–38
- increasing policy focus on choice for patients, 38–40
- patient perspectives and lived experience of disability and chronic illness, 48–50
- social inequalities in experience of healthcare, 50–53
- socio-political context, 35–36
- Restratification, 24
- Revalidation, 24, 28–29
- Risk, 78–82
- Royal College of Physicians (RCP), 121
- Safety of drugs, 63
- Scandals, 25
- Schizophrenia, 74
- Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), 84
- Self-identity, 49
- Self-interest, 22
- Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), 92
- Single technology appraisals (STA), 66
- Sistema Unico de Saude (SUS), 70
- Smoking, 111, 114, 115
- Social
- characterisation, 85–86
- class, 3, 77, 88, 103–104, 111
- constructivism, 85
- forces, 61
- mobility, 106
- position and role of social media, 101
- problems, 6
- reaction theory, 85
- realism, 85
- relationships, 77
- ‘value judgements’ criteria, 67
- Social inequalities, 6
- characterising policy, 106–110
- and environment, 131–132
- in experience of healthcare, 50–53
- explanations for, 104–106
- in health, 103, 123
- interplay of powerful interest groups in public health policies, 115–116
- lifestyle behaviours as social and moral problem, 110–115
- nature and extent of, 103–104
- ‘Social service’ role, 74
- Socio-economic position (SEP), 77
- Socio-economic status (SES), 50, 103, 113–114
- Socio-historical circumstances, 22
- Socio-political context, 35–36
- Sociological/sociology, 2
- analysis of work, 130
- approaches to medical professionalism, 30
- narratives of medical professionalism, 21–25
- research, 49
- theories of medical professionalism, 130
- Soy protein isolate, 96–97
- Stakeholder model, 28
- State-funded laboratories, 70
- Stern report, 125
- Stewardship, 33
- Strategic health authorities, 9
- Structural interest approach, 3
- Sugar tax, 113
- Sugary-based drinks, 113
- Sure Start programme, 109
- Sustainability, 120–121, 126–127
- Sustainable Development Unit (SDU), 127
- Systematic analysis, 92
- Thalidomide, 64
- Theoretical approach, 3, 23
- ‘Tick box’ model of clinical caring, 27
- Time to Change* campaign, 94
- Tobacco
- consumption, 122
- industry, 115
- Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), 71
- Traditional media, 101
- Tranquillisers, 73
- Transition institutionalisation, 74
- Transparency in public interest, 25
- Treasury, 58
- Trussell Trust, 112
- Trust, 29–31, 40, 61, 78–82
- chains, 31, 81
- and failing medical governance, 32–34
- relations, 33
- Trustworthy medical profession, 29–31
- Uncertainty, 23, 61
- Undermedicalisation, 128

- United Kingdom professional standards authority, 28
- Urbanisation, 119
- Vaccination, 93–94
- Viagra (drug), 58
- Vitamin D production, 123
- Wanless report, 58
- Weberian analysis, 22
- Well-being, 127–131
- Work, 130
 - stress, 128, 130
- Working environment, 127–131
- Workplace trust, 31
- World Trade Organisation (WTO), 71