

# Index

- Abandonment, 213
- Ableism, 9
- Academic accommodations, 100–102
- Academic achievement, 145
- Academic engaged time, 96
- Accountability, 171, 182
- Advanced learners, 175–176
- Advanced learning
  - identifying academic needs, 173–174
  - misconceptions about, 173–176
  - options, 175–176
  - planning instruction, 174–175
  - prioritizing equity over excellence, 175–176
  - students with advanced learning needs, 172
- Advanced learning plan (ALP), 177–178
- Advanced Research Projects Agency for Education (ARPA-ED), 211
- Advocacy, 45, 109–110
  - commitment to effective instruction, 114–115
  - commitment to individualization, 115–116
  - commitment to science and evidence-based practice, 113–114
  - special education values and, 113–116
- “Alternative facts”, 13
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 100
- “Anti-classification” approach, 11
- “Anti-subordination” approach, 11
- Antiintellectualism, 31
- Antispecial education, 11–12
- Anxiety, 193
- Applied behavior analysis, 115
- Appropriate education. *See also* Public education
  - commitment to effective instruction, 114–115
  - commitment to individualization, 115–116
  - commitment to science and evidence-based practice, 113–114
  - special education values and advocacy, 113–116
- Appropriateness, 72–73
- Artificial intelligence (AI), 210–211
- Asset-based approach, 174
- Asset-based sociocultural approaches in education, 177
- Autism spectrum disorders (ASD), 87, 193
- Bandwagons, 15–16
- Basic learning principles, 2
- Behavior management program, 96
- Behavioral engagement, 96
- Behavioral method, 45
- Belonging, 10
- Best-evidence synthesis, 148, 160–161
  - contributions and implications, 162–163
  - control or comparison groups, 146–147
  - included studies and select study information, 151–153
  - legal precedents for evaluating effectiveness of special education, 144–145
  - limitations, 161
  - method, 148–150
  - PRISMA diagram, 149

- results, 154–160
  - special education services, 160
  - special education services in US, 144–147
  - study’s purpose, 147–163
  - prior work’s methodological limitations, 146
- Brown v Board of Education*, 44, 60, 63, 110–111
- Business-as-usual control group (BAU control group), 150
- Case law, 69–70
- Central Obligation of Special Education, 63–65
- CHAMPS (behavior management program), 96
- Civil rights of SWED, 44–45
- Class, 45
- Classwide Peer Tutoring (CWPT), 99
- Climate science, 80–81
- Cognitive engagement, 96
- Concerted action, 31
- Contingencies, 7
- Continuum of alternative placements (CAP), 60, 65, 68–69, 170, 194–195, 202
  - appropriateness, 72–73
  - case law, LRE, and CAP, 69–70
  - courts and struggle to interpret congressional intent, 71–72
  - Daniel R. R. v. State Board of Education*, 70–71
  - free appropriate public education, 63–65
  - integration, 75
  - least restrictive environment, 65–68
  - legislative intent, FAPE, LRE, and CAP, 72–75
  - options, 75
  - right to education, 60–63
- Continuumism, 30–31
- Corrective feedback, 96–97
- COVID-19 pandemic, 80–82, 84, 203
- Creativity, innovation, and entrepreneurship education (CIE), 178
- Current practices, 191–198
- Curriculum, 192–193
- Daniel R. R. v. State Board of Education*, 69–71
- Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), 211
- Deficit perspectives, 174–175
- Demography of disabilities, 193–194
- Denials, 22–23, 25
- Depression, 193
- Derelict antiableism, 11–12
- Devolution, 5, 16, 22
  - devolution and necessity of “This One, Not That One”, 16–22
- “Disabilities”, 9
  - changing demography of, 193–194
- Disability studies (DS), 5–6, 24
- Disability studies in education (DSE), 24
- Diversity, 11
- Documentation, 81–82
- Dodges, 22–23, 25
- Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998–1999 (ECLS-K), 204
- Eating disorders, 193
- Education community, 47–48
- Education for All Handicapped Children Act (EAHCA), 43–44, 60, 62, 115, 190, 209–210
- Education plan (EP), 177–178
- Education(al), 2
  - asset-based sociocultural approaches in, 177
  - environments, 176
  - policy stresses, 47
  - reform, 127
  - research, 85
- Effective instruction
  - commitment to, 114–115

- features application in special education, 97–98
- science of, 94
- Effectiveness of special education, 144–145
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 171
- Eligibility for special education, 198
- Emotional disturbance (ED), 207
- Andrew F. v. Douglas County School District*, 145
- Enlightenment thinking, 18
- Every Student Succeeds Act (ESS), 144, 171–172
  - students with advanced learning needs, 172
- Evidence based (EB), 47
- Evidence-based practices (EBP), 47, 49, 51, 79–80, 109–110
  - climate science, 81–82
  - commitment to science and, 113–114
  - COVID-19, 82–84
  - implications, 84–85
  - science, 85–89
  - science and logic under assault, 80–85
  - in special education, 114
- Evidence-based special instruction
  - appropriate for all students, 98
  - characteristics of effective practices, 103
  - corrective feedback, 96–97
  - CWPT, 99
  - effective instructional features
    - application in special education, 97–98
  - explicit instruction, 94
  - explicit vocabulary instruction, 99
  - increased academic engaged time, 96
  - intensive instruction, 95–96
  - reinforcement, 97–98
  - schema-based direct instruction strategy, 99
  - science of effective instruction, 94
  - self-monitoring of attention, 99–101
  - SRSD, 98–99
  - systematic instruction, 94–95
- “Evil geniuses”, 15–16
- Evolution, 16–22
- Excellence gaps, 179–180
  - creating responsive learning environments for student growth, 180–181
- Excellence gaps intervention model (EGIM), 179–180
- Exceptional children portray special education, 3
- Exceptions, 7
- Explicit correction procedures, 97
- Explicit instruction, 94, 98
- Explicit vocabulary instruction, 99
- Families and relative strengths, 196–197
- Frameworks, 125
- Free and appropriate public education (FAPE), 43–44, 60, 63, 65, 72, 75, 101–102, 144–145, 170, 209–210
  - relationship of FAPE to LRE, 70–71
- Free public education, 54
- Full inclusion, 5–6, 207
  - proponents, 111
- Fully inclusive education, 110, 113
- Gender-identity issues, 193
- General education curriculum, 111–112
- General practitioners (GPs), 46–47
- General receptive vocabulary measures, 99
- Gifted education, 172–173, 175–176
  - policy, 181
- Giftedness, 31
- Global warming, 81–82
- Graphophonemic corrections, 97
- Gullibility, 15–16
- High-quality instruction, 47

- High-quality QEDs, 147
- Identify-the-bright-kid model, 181
- Implicit error correction, 97
- Implicit instruction, 94
- Improvement, 89
- Inclusion, 69, 128, 206, 208
- Inclusion proponents, 111
- Inclusionary education, 5–6, 16
- Inclusionism, 30–31
- Inclusive education, 12, 110
- Inclusive special education, 16
- Individual education plans (IEP), 206
- Individual education programs (IEPs), 192
- Individual learning plans, 177–178
- Individualization, 46
  - commitment to, 115–116
  - individualization-for-all model, 44
- Individualized approach, 111
- Individualized education program (IEP), 48, 63–65, 116, 144–145, 170, 177
- Individualized inclusion reality check, 128
- Individualized plans, 192–193
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 2, 43–44, 48, 60, 75, 93–94, 121–122, 144, 170, 190, 207
  - IDEA-based special education, 122
  - LRE in, 67
- Instruction, 192–193
- Instructional accommodations, 100–101
- Instructional disability, 208
- Instructional methods, 115
- Instructional technology, 212
- Integration, 75
- Intellectual disability (ID), 9, 207
- Intellectual giftedness, 31
- Intellectually coherent special education, 19
- Intensity of instruction, 96
- Intensive instruction, 95–96
- Intensive intervention, 47, 49–51
- Interest-driven inquiry activities, 174–175
- Learner Variability Project, 212
- Least restrictive environment (LRE), 30–31, 44–45, 52, 65, 68–70, 194–195
  - legislative intent, FAPE, LRE, and CAP, 72–75
  - placement requirements, 65–66
  - relationship of FAPE to, 70–71
- Logic under assault, science and, 80–85
- Louisiana law, 60
- Mainstreaming, 67, 69
- Masking, 82
- Maximum extent appropriate, 52
- Meaning-based corrections, 97
- Measures of Academic Progress (MAP), 174
- Misconceptions about advanced learning, 173–176
- “Moon shot” funding opportunities, 211
- Multidisciplinary team, 49–50
- Multitiered systems of support (MTSS), 121–122, 177, 206, 208
  - intentions, 122
  - key features and components of, 123
  - origins, 122–123
  - prospects for improving special education, 126–134
  - and RTI, 123
  - sound thinking about improving special education, 123–126
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 81
- National AI Research Institute, 211–212
- National Assessment of Educational Performance (NAEP), 179, 203–205

- National Association of Gifted Children (NAGC), 181
- National Science Foundation (NSF), 211–212
- Needed changes in special education, 201–202
- No Child Left Behind (NCLB), 48
- No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), 144, 171
- Nonindividualized education, 44
- Objectivity, 4–5
- Observational data, 146
- Observational research design, 148
- Office for Special Education Programs (OSEP), 63
- One-on-one teaching, 95–96
- One-size-fits-all approaches, 44–45, 100, 175
- Operation Warp Speed (OWS), 211
- Opportunity gaps, 179
- Ordinary least-squares regressions (OLS regressions), 157
- Paperwork, 196
- Paraprofessionals, 190–191, 198
- Patriotism, 12
- Pennsylvania Association of Retarded Citizens (PARC) v. the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*, 62
- Personal perspective on special education
  - attempts to implement form of response to intervention or instruction, 191–192
  - changing demography of disabilities, 193–194
  - current practices, 191–198
  - excessive paperwork, 196
  - families, 196–197
  - individualized plans, curriculum, and instruction, 192–193
  - misunderstanding of meaning of least restrictive environment, 194–195
  - needed changes in special education, 201–202
  - out of order or in use, 197
  - other issues, 197–198
  - personal disclosure, 190–191
  - related services, 197
  - special education then, 198–201
  - student evaluation, 195–196
- Personal protective equipment (PPE), 83
- Personalized learning, 44
- Personalized learning plans (PLPs), 44
- Place, 16
- Placement
  - determination process, 73
  - factors to avoid in, 74
  - in least restrictive environment, 170
- Policy revisions related to advanced learning, 181–183
  - changing policy to support advanced learning, 181–183
  - twice-exceptional students in special education policy, 183
- Policy stasis, 209
- Political economy, 12–13
- Politics, 12–13
- Positive behavior interventions and supports framework (PBIS framework), 123
- Postmodernism, 4
- Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), 193
- POW + TREE strategy, 98
- Primary prevention, 122–123, 126–127
- Prioritizing equity over excellence, 175–176
- PRISMA diagram, 149
- Professional learning, 182
- Public education
  - current and future needs, 54
  - evidence-based practices, 47–49
  - evidence-based practices, intensive intervention, and specially designed instruction, 47–51
  - intensive intervention, 49–50

- special education teacher
  - preparation, 53–54
- specialized instruction delivered by
  - specialized educational professionals, 51–53
- specialized service delivery model, 51–53
- specially designed instruction, 50–51
- unique responsibilities of special education, 43–47
- Public Law, 43–44, 122, 190
- Quasiexperimental design (QED), 146, 148
- Questions, 11, 17
- Race-based discrimination, 60
- “Radical reform” of special education, 16
- Reaganism, 12–13
- Reality checks, 126–134
  - special education problems related to what and how, 132–134
  - special education problems related to what and where, 126–128
  - special education problems related to who, 128–132
- Reality-based community, 2
- Regression-based methods, 146–147
- Rehabilitation Act (1973), 170–171
- Reinforcement, 97–98
- Relativism, 4
- Research and development (R&D), 211
- Research-to-practice movements, 87
- Resourcing, 45
- Response to intervention (RTI), 123, 177, 191–192, 208
  - MTSS and, 123
- Responsive learning environments
  - creation for student growth, 180–181
- Revitalization, 210–211
- Revolution, 16, 22, 210–211
- Right to education, 54, 60, 63
- Rights of individuals, 44
- SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, 82
- Schema-based direct instruction strategy, 99
- School-wide positive behavior interventions and supports (SWPBIS), 207–208
- Schoolwide PBIS framework, 123
- Science, 85–89
  - commitment to science and evidence-based practice, 113–114
  - of effective instruction, 94
  - of instruction, 94
  - and logic under assault, 80–85
  - probabilities, 86
  - shortcomings of, 86–89
- Scientific thinking, 80, 86
- Secondary prevention, 122–123
- Self-evaluation, 100
- Self-monitoring of attention, 99–101
  - meeting student needs, 100–101
- Self-recording, 100
- Self-Regulated Strategy Development (SRSD), 98–99
- Separate Car Act, 60
- Severe disabilities, appropriate education for
  - commitment to effective instruction, 114–115
  - commitment to individualization, 115–116
  - commitment to science and evidence-based practice, 113–114
  - special education values and advocacy, 113–116
- Sociocultural perspectives on advanced learning, 176–179
  - asset-based sociocultural approaches in education, 177
  - excellence gaps, 179–180

- sociocultural approaches to advanced academics, 176–178
- span abilities, 178–179
- Special education, 2, 46, 51, 190, 203
  - causes of special education's devolution and way forward, 8–16
  - claims, 2–3
  - devolving, 25–32
  - dodges and denials, 22–23, 25
  - EBP in, 114
  - effective instructional features application in, 97–98
  - emergence and future, 5–6
  - futures, 209–214
  - getting into devolution, 26–29
  - Gullibility and Bandwagons, 15–16
  - improving special education outcomes for SWED, 125–126
  - improving special education practices for SWED, 124–125
  - inclusion and multitiered systems of support, 206–208
  - laws, 170
  - legal precedents for evaluating effectiveness of, 144–145
  - MTSS prospects for improving, 126–134
  - nature of problem, 6–8
  - needed changes in, 201–202
  - notions of truth and implications for, 13–15
  - politics, and political economy, 12–13
  - responses to criticisms, 3–5
  - revolution, devolution, and evolution, 16–22
  - and separate identity, 13
  - services, 160
  - services in US, 144–147
  - sound thinking about improving, 123–126
  - special education works, 204–206
  - special educators, 30–32
  - teacher preparation, 53–54
  - twice-exceptional students in special education policy, 183
  - unique responsibilities of, 43–47
  - in United States, 109–110
  - values and advocacy, 113–116
- Special Education Identification, 129
- Special educators, 30–32
- Specialized classrooms, 110–111
- Specialized educational professionals, 51–53
- Specialized instruction delivered by specialized educational professionals, 51–53
- Specialized service delivery model, 51–53
- Specially designed instruction, 50–51
- Specific learning disabilities (SLD), 204–206
- State accountability systems, 182–183
- State licensure, 54
- Strengths-based approach, 46–47, 174
- Student evaluation, 195–196
- Students with advanced learning needs, 172–173
- Students with disabilities (SWD), 16
- Students with educational disabilities (SWED), 5, 16, 43–44, 121–122, 144
  - improving special education outcomes for, 125–126
  - improving special education practices for, 124–125
- Students with learning difficulties, 173
- Students without disabilities (SWOD), 144, 146–147
- Support, 45
- Systematic instruction, 94–95
- Talent development, 176
- Teacher preparation, 182
  - special education, 53–54
- Technology, 125
- Tertiary prevention, 122–123
- Thinking, 5–6, 11

- “Trickle-down” idea, 13
- Twice-exceptional students, 172–173
  - in special education policy, 183
- United States, special education
  - services in, 109–110, 144, 147
- Universal design for learning (UDL), 212
- Unmoored thinking, 4–5
- Vaccine hesitancy, 84
- Vaccines, 84
  - development and deployment of, 82