

# INDEX

- Actuarial assessment, 23–24
- Availability Heuristic, 73–74
- Bayesian Analysis, 19–20
- Bias
  - communicating risk of violence, 60–62
  - risk of, 59–62
- Carer associations, 87
- Causal attribution, 61
- Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors (CIIA), 37–38
- Classic encoding/decoding model of communication, 54
- Co-creation, 77, 82
- Cognitive biases, 72–74
- Collaborative innovation, 78
- Communication, 54–56
- Confirmation Bias, 74
- Contact Assessment Model (CAM), 82–83
- COSO framework, 31–35
- COVID-19 pandemic, 8–9
- Culture, 9
- Decision making
  - dual process theories, 70–72
  - effective risk communication and, 75
  - fundamentals of, 69–74
  - heuristics and cognitive biases, 72–74
- Decoding, 54–55
- Dual process theories of decision making, 70–72
- Economics, 12
- Effective communication, 54
- Effective risk communication, 56, 62, 67
  - approaches, 63–64
  - and decision making, 75
  - guidelines for, 64–65
  - save time by developing risk communication strategy, 65–67
  - stakeholders, 65
- Encoding, 54–55
- Epistemology of risk, 6–12
  - economics and risk, 12
  - law and risk, 12
  - logic, mathematics and risk, 11
  - philosophy and risk, 8
  - science, medicine and risk, 8–9
  - social sciences, psychology and risk, 9–10
  - sociology and risk, 10
- Ewart v Canada ruling, 24
- Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA), 19–20, 36–37
- Front-line workers, 87
- Fully quantitative risk analysis, 36–37
- Game theory, 11
- Gold standard approach to public sector risk management, 40–41
- Governmentality view, 10

- Heuristics, 72–74
- Idiographic approach, 23
- Illusory Correlation*, 74
- Institute for Risk Management (IRM), 5
  - IRM 2002 standards, 31, 36
- Interdependency, 79–80
- Interdisciplinary working, 89
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 4
  - ISO 31000 standard, 4–5, 31, 36
- Interprofessional practice, 77–79
  - barriers and facilitators to, 80–82
  - promising indications in journey of mental health pathway collaboration, 82–84
  - in public sector risk management, 87–89
- Interprofessional working, 77–78
  - alignment, 78
  - example, 83
  - in real-world practice, 79–82
- Law, 12
- Layers of Protection Analysis, 19–20
- Logic, 11
- Mathematics, 11
- Medicine, 8–9
- Mental Health Pathway (MHP), 82–83
- Monte-Carlo Analysis, 19–20
- Municipal Mutual Insurance (MMI), 29–30
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), 18–19
- Negative risks, 13
- NHS24 Mental Health Hub, 83–84
- Partially quantitative risk analysis, 36–37
- Partnership, 79–80
- Philosophy, 8
- Police Scotland, 82–83
- Positive risks, 13
- Power, 79–80
- Practice-focused professional body, 5
- Professional identity, 82
- Psychology, 9–10
- Public sector, 1–2, 91
  - academic literature, 3
  - gold standard approach to public sector risk management, 40–41
  - governance and standard setting organisations, 5–6
  - interprofessional practice in public sector risk management, 87–89
  - risk practice, 79–80
- Qualitative risk
  - analysis, 36–37
  - assessment, 19–22
  - matrix, 21–22
- Quantitative risk assessment, 19–22
- Representativeness Heuristic, 74
- Risk, 1–2, 6, 8–12
  - addiction, 14–15
  - analysis, 36–37
  - attitude, 14
  - aversion, 14–15
  - of bias, 59–62
  - conceptualisation, 11
  - epistemology, 6–12
  - facets, 13–15
  - governance, 75, 91
  - identification, 36
  - neutrality, 14–15
  - operational definitions of risk in practice, 4–6
  - paranoia, 14–15
  - past and current definitions of risk from academia, 2–3

- professionals, 19, 57–58
- seeking, 14–15
- tolerance, 14–15
- Risk architecture, risk strategy and risk protocol (RASP), 31–35
- Risk assessment, 17, 19, 71
  - three eras, 22–26
  - types, 19–22
- Risk communication. *See also*
  - Effective risk communication, 53, 56, 59, 62
  - format and content, 58
  - and transparency, 75
- Risk management, 29–30, 39–40, 91–92
  - in action, 42–48
  - framework, 31
  - gold standard approach to public sector risk management, 40–41
  - heuristics and cognitive biases, 72–74
  - principle, 31
  - process, 31
  - in public sector, 37–40
  - standards, framework and process, 30–37
- Risk Tsars, 40–41, 91–92
- Risk Worth Taking, 84–87
- ‘Risk-society’ view, 10
- Save time by developing risk communication strategy, 65–67
- Science, 8–9
- Scottish Social Services Council (SSSC), 84–87
- Self-directed Support (SDS), 86
- Shannon and Weaver’s Model of Communication, 55
- Sharing, 79–80
- ‘Silos’ approach, 91
- Social Care Act 2013, 86
- Social sciences, 9–10
- Sociological perspective, 10
- Sociology, 10
- Stakeholders, 65
- Statistical risk assessments, 11
- Statistics, 11
- Structured professional judgement, 25–26
- Thought, 54–55
- Tolerate, treat, transfer and tolerate of risk management (4Ts of risk management), 37
- Two-dimensional approach, 11
- Unaided practitioner judgement, 22–23
- United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 70–71
- World Economic Forum (WEF), 92
- Written communication, 54