## INDEX

Actionable risk communication model, 272 Active participant, 64, 76–78 Activity theory, 186, 190, 198–199 Acute phase of severe crisis, 88 Ad hoc publics, 232 Alkoven voluntary fire brigade, 237 Anger (emotions), 44, 210, 217	BBC News, 142, 144, 151, 266, 267 Bieber, Justin, 27–28 Biometric data, 194, 196 'Blodbank', 76 Blood bank communication, 76–77 Boolean expression combining operators, 22 Breaking news and prayers, 26–27
Austria social media use in, 159 2013 Floods in, 157–159 Austrian Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics (ZAMG), 158, 165 Austrian Press Agency (APA), 162, 165 Authorities, 167 health, 262 Norwegian and UK, 265–266 public, 164 Autonomic nervous system, 208	Central European floods (2013), 157, 159, 160–161 Central nervous system, 208–210 Citizens, affected, 166–167 Civil protection laws and regulations, 157 Civil Protection Server, 167 Close-to-working prototype, 197, 214 second usability test, 216–218 Closed Facebook Group, 51–52 Cognitive mental models, 5 Cognitive processes, 209–210
BBC, 7, 139, 141, 144–147, 149, 150	209–210 'Comment and date', 48

Commissives, 265, 271 Communication (see also Crisis communication; Online communication reconstruction) channels, 258	phase, 166, 236–238 public to networked crisis publics, 234 Twitter and situation awareness of crisis events, 17–19
models and social media, 233–234	Crisis communication, 17, 65–67, 87, 88, 159,
official, 158, 231, 239	206
online, 156, 163, 164	managers, 286
patterns, 234–235	official, 161
strategies, 231	SA and social media in,
Communicators	279–280
crisis, 231	social media impact on, 174—175
findings from interviews with, 286–287	
official, 172	strategies, 230 theories, 89
Community resilience, 4	tools, 258
Complex contagion	Crisis communicators,
dynamics, 24	89–90, 230,
Computational thinking, 188,	232–233, 258
198	developing tool for, 8
Computer-assisted qualitative	developing tool lol, o
content analysis, 164	Dagsavisen (Regional
"Configuring awareness",	newspaper), 281
280	Daily Mail, 141, 144
Constatives, 265, 271	Democratic values, 47
Content evaluation, 198	Department of Health (DoH),
Content strategy inspired	260
recommendations, 242	Der Standard, 171
audience, 245-246	Digital journalism, 114
develop and implement	Digital media, affordances of,
content strategy,	7, 114, 116–118
242–244	Digital technology, 116–117
stakeholders and users,	Directives, 265, 271, 272
and needs, 244–245	Disaster stages, 161
Content Strategy Quad by	Discussion forums, 19
Brain Traffic, 243	Disease outbreaks, 256
Crisis, 3–4, 136 journalism, 117	Domestic terror event, 115 Dual testimony, 46
management, 186	Dynamic communication,
news events, 115, 117	233
110 110 6 101110, 110, 117	233

Earthquakes, 230 Ebola outbreak, 137, 139, 141 Electrodermal activity (EDA), 209	social media and networked publics, 231–233 theoretical backdrop, 231 voluntary fire brigade's
Emergency, 3 information gathering tool, 187–188	online and social media communication, 237–238
management organisations, 186	web and social media literacy oriented
usability testing and, 189–191	recommendations, 246–247
Emotion(al)	Events, 188, 211
detectors, 210	awareness concerning
perception, 210	effects, 123–125
process, 120–121	crisis news, 126–127
reaction stems, 214	domestic terror, 115-116
Environmental disasters, 157,	event in Norway,
165, 230	119-121
communication models	long-wave, 136, 137, 140,
and social media,	256, 259
233-234	short-wave, 136
content strategy inspired	terrorist, 136
recommendations,	'Every crisis is unique',
242-246	100-101
crisis phase, 237	Expressives, 265, 271
crisis public to networked	Eye movements, 216
crisis publics, 234	Eye-tracking, 196, 209–210
hydrographical	
perspective, 235	Facebook, 73, 117, 118, 122,
plan ahead and	161, 166, 168, 169,
communicate	171–173, 194, 232,
proactively, 241–242	235, 238, 239, 286,
pre-crisis phase, 236-237	288
public communication,	and date, 48
238	discourse, 47
recommendations and	feeds, 189
models, 240	Facial expressions, 210,
recommendations for	215–217
rescue organisations,	Facial responses, 196
241	First responder's experiences,
research design, 234-235	101-102

Floods, 136, 230 flood-related	#showyourhearts, 28 #Utøya, 283
communication, 163,	Health authorities, 262
230	Health sector (see also Police
flood-related	sector), 98
conversations, 231	'every crisis is unique',
pre-crisis phase of, 158	100-101
Format, 118	first responder's
Frustration, 217	experiences, 101–102
Functioning high-fidelity	hospital staff experiences
prototype, 188	with Twitter, 99–100
Future crises, daily routines	High income countries
and challenges for,	(HICs), 256
97-98	'High Tide 2013', 166
Future Global Shocks, 65	Hospital staff experiences
	with Twitter, 99–100
Gatekeeping, 121	Human-computer interaction
'Global health', 137	(HCI), 207–208
Google, 129, 271	Hydrography Services, 158,
Governmental agencies, 206,	165-166
259-260	
Grief, 27, 44, 46, 47, 50,	In-depth interviews, 47–48
52-53	Individual resilience, 4
52–53 private and public, 54	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165,
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors,
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149 The Guardian and	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149 The Guardian and MailOnline, 267	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149 The Guardian and MailOnline, 267 Hard news, 114	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149 The Guardian and MailOnline, 267  Hard news, 114 Hashtags (see also Twitter),	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools,
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149 The Guardian and MailOnline, 267  Hard news, 114 Hashtags (see also Twitter), 283, 288	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141, 142–144, 145–146, 148–149 The Guardian and MailOnline, 267  Hard news, 114 Hashtags (see also Twitter), 283, 288 #ff hashtags, 28	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with,
52–53 private and public, 54 The Guardian, 141,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with, 286–287
52–53 private and public, 54  The Guardian, 141,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with, 286–287 Instagram, 188
52–53 private and public, 54  The Guardian, 141,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with, 286–287 Instagram, 188 Institutional actors, 164,
private and public, 54  The Guardian, 141,  142–144, 145–146,  148–149  The Guardian and  MailOnline, 267  Hard news, 114  Hashtags (see also Twitter),  283, 288  #ff hashtags, 28  #Oslo hashtag, 27, 28, 283  #osloexpl, 283  #oslove, 28  #prayfor () hashtag, 27  #prayfornorway hashtag,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with, 286–287 Instagram, 188 Institutional actors, 164, 167–170, 241
private and public, 54  The Guardian, 141,  142–144, 145–146,  148–149  The Guardian and  MailOnline, 267  Hard news, 114  Hashtags (see also Twitter),  283, 288  #ff hashtags, 28  #Oslo hashtag, 27, 28, 283  #osloexpl, 283  #oslove, 28  #prayfor () hashtag, 27  #prayfornorway hashtag,  33, 283	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with, 286–287 Instagram, 188 Institutional actors, 164, 167–170, 241 social media impact on,
private and public, 54  The Guardian, 141,  142–144, 145–146,  148–149  The Guardian and  MailOnline, 267  Hard news, 114  Hashtags (see also Twitter),  283, 288  #ff hashtags, 28  #Oslo hashtag, 27, 28, 283  #osloexpl, 283  #oslove, 28  #prayfor () hashtag, 27  #prayfornorway hashtag,	Individual resilience, 4 Information flows, 162, 165, 166 institutional actors, 167–170 journalists, 171–173 social media communication processes and, 167 Information handling tools, 188 Information officers, findings from interviews with, 286–287 Instagram, 188 Institutional actors, 164, 167–170, 241

Ministry of Justice, 86, 89 Interdisciplinary cooperation, 124 Mobile apps, 161 Internet, 65, 232 Monitoring challenges, 96–97 crisis, 238 Narrative therapy (NT), 46 Journalism-as-institution, 115 National sentiment, 47 Journalism-as-work, 115 Natural disasters, 160 Journalists, 115, 129, 130n3, Networked crisis publics, 156, 165, 171–173, crisis public to, 234 196 Networked publics, findings from interviews 231-233, 242 News with, 286–287 Joy (emotions), 210 agencies, 86 BBC News, 142, 144, 151, Laboratory studies, 208 266, 267 Laboratory testing of online, 118 usability, 207-208 services, 162 News workers reflections on Live streaming video applications, 160 digital journalism Live tickers, 171, 174 awareness concerning Long-wave events, 136, 137, effects of event, 123 - 125140, 256, 259 biggest event in Norway, Macro-economic 119 - 121consequences, 256 crisis news events, MailOnline, 141, 142, 144, 146 126 - 127Main crisis phase, 158 culture of collaboration, 125 - 126Many-to-many process, 280 Media (see also Social media) domestic terror event, 115 - 116logic, 117-118, 130n1mass, 163, 206 journalists transfer, niche, 163 114 - 115traditional, 156, 231 methodology, 118–119 Medical evacuation of occupational and William Pooley, organisational 145 - 147approaches, 121–123 Mental models, 5, 190, 198 online newspaper, Meteorological forecast 128 - 129social media logic, system, 168 Micro-blogging services, 18 117 - 118Ministry of Health and Care technological affordances,

116-118, 127-128

Service, 86

Niche media, 163 Non-governmental organisations (NGOs),	hashtags on Twitter, 25 Love and Justin Bieber, 27–28
186	method, 22-23
Norway attacks (see also	proportion of tweets with
Utøya terror attack)	hashtags, 26
active participant, 76–78	relevance of hashtags,
blood and security during,	19–21, 24–26
64	retweeted accounts, 33
findings, 69–78	superposters, 28–31
methodology, 68–69	Twitter and situation
Norwegian Authorities on	awareness of crisis
Twitter 22 July,	events, 17–19
69–71	
	Twitter users, 31–33
Oslo University Hospital, 72–74	NRK Dagsrevyen (TV news
	programme), 100
passive observer, 74–76	NRK Supernytt (Youth
situation awareness, social	channel), 73
media and crisis	01 1. 1
communication,	Oberösterreichische
65-67	Nachrichten, 163, 171
Social Media Strategy of	Occupational approaches to
PST, 72–76	technological
22 July events, 67–68	affordances, 121–123
Norwegian and UK	Occupational
authorities, 265–266	professionalism, 120
Norwegian Directorate of	'On-going event' view, 189,
Health (NDH), 86, 99,	196
259	Online
Norwegian Institute for	communication, 156, 163,
Public Health (NIPH),	164
259	data analysis, 162–163
Norwegian Police Security	news, 118
Services (PST), 64, 69	Online communication
Norwegian Twitter-	reconstruction, 164
community, 284	affected citizens and
Norwegian Twitter-sphere,	organisations, new
16, 68, 280	actors and others,
breaking news and	166–167
prayers, 26–27	crisis communication,
event, 21	social media impact
findings, 23–35, 283–284	on, 174–175
G-))	- ,

2013 Floods in Austria,	awakening to importance
157—159	of social media, 93–94
institutional actors,	daily routines and
journalists and remote	challenges for future
experts, 164–166	crises, 97–98
institutional actors, social	interactivity and dialogue
media impact on, 174	in terror crises, 94–96
media, social media impact	monitoring challenges,
on, 174	96-97
online data analysis,	Post-crisis phase, 158-159
162–163	Pre-crisis phase, 166,
related work, 160-162	235-237
social media, 156–157,	of floods, 158
159, 167–173	Pre-design mapping, 195
stakeholder interviews,	Pre-production mapping, 199
163-164	Private grief, 54
study design, 162	Proactive communication
theoretical background,	strategy, 241-242
159-160	Professionalism, 115, 116,
Organisational approaches to	121
technological	occupational, 120
affordances, 121–123	organisational, 116
Organisational	@PSTnorge, 71, 74
professionalism, 116	Psychophysiological
Oslo bomb, 16	measurements
Oslo police district (OPD),	psychophysiological data,
93	218
Oslo University Hospital	psychophysiological
(OUH), 33, 72–74,	testing, 211
76–78, 99	for stress detection,
Oslo-centred hashtags, 27	208-209
	use in usability prototype
Pandemic threats, 257, 271	testing, 218–220
Paracrisis, 160	Public authorities, 164
Passive observer, 74–76	Public grief, 54
Pauline Cafferkey, diagnosis	Public Health Emergency of
of, 147–149	International Concern
Periscope, 160	(PHEIC), 138, 259
Personal relevance, 232	WHO's declaration,
Police directorate (POD), 92	142–145
Police sector (see also Health	Public Health England (PHE),
sector), 92	260
50001,5 72	200

Quality broadsheets, 141 Queensland Police role in Twitter, 66	Situational crisis communication theory, 89, 159, 160, 241
Red Cross, 169–170 Regional Office for Africa (AFRO), 141 Remote experts, 165	Snapchat, 73, 286 Social democratic party, 21 Social media, 1, 16, 65–67, 117, 122, 126, 136,
Rescue organisations, recommendations for, 241	137, 140, 162, 163, 167, 206, 230, 259, 273
Researching Social Media and Collaborative Software Use in	analysis, 92 awakening to importance, 93–94
Emergency Situations project (RESCUE project), 1–2, 186,	communication models and, 233–234 connectivity element, 3
188, 207, 282, 286 tool, 186–187, 188–189,	in crisis communication, 279–280
199–200 Resilience, 4, 44, 46 Retweeted accounts, 33	in crisis situations, 160 escalation, 291 health sector, 98–102
Ringerike Hospital, 100	impact on crisis
Risk, 4, 8–9, 66, 109, 137, 256, 258, 265	communication, 174–175
for crisis management and communication, 98	impact on institutional actors, 174
public health, 138	impact on media, 174 interview subjects and
Sadness (emotions), 210	responsibilities, 91
SC, 209, 215–216 "Selfinitiated and mediated	literacy oriented recommendations,
resilience governance",	246–247
67	logic, 117–118
Semi-structured interviews, 90	in management of terror
Short-wave events, 136	crisis in Norway, 86
Situation awareness (SA), 4,	methodological approach
17-19, 65-67, 207,	90–92
278 in crisis communication,	and narrative of trauma, 45–47
279–280	need for coordination,
of crisis events, 17–19	102–103

and networked publics,	Social media information
231-233	gathering tool
perspectives from research,	development
89-90	activity theory, 198-199
platforms, 2, 156, 171,	building conceptual model,
211	191–193
police sector, 92–98	emergency information
recommendations for	gathering tool,
social media use, 8–9	187–188
in risks and crises, $5-8$	laboratory tests, 199–200
use in Austria, 159	RESCUE tool, 186–187,
Social media communication,	188–189
162, 237–238, 243	testing detailed tool design,
action-able risk	195–198
communication model,	testing tool, 193–195,
272	211–213
constative and directive	testing usability, 191
speech acts, 265	usability testing and
health authorities	emergencies, 189–191
strategies, 262	Social Media Strategy of PST,
and information flows,	72–76
167	Social media-reflexivity, 140,
	261
institutional actors, 167–170	
	Social networks, 161, 230
journalists, 171–173	Social shaping, 117
likes/re-tweets/comments, 266–267	Socio-technological foundations, 232
Norwegian and UK health	Solidarity, 47
authorities' strategies,	Sorrow, 27, 44, 57
263–264	'Split networks', 234
recommendations for	SQL database, 22
health authorities use	Stakeholders, 162, 167,
of social media,	234–235, 244–245
269–270	interviews, 163–164
research design, 259–262	management approach, 160
social medium, 273	typology, 163
strategy documents and	Standard operating procedure
interview sources,	(SOP), 289
271	Stress detection,
theoretical perspectives,	psychophysiological
257–259	measurements for,
Twitter, 267	208-209

'Structured focused' recommendations, methodology, 141 287 - 292Subjective data, 195–196 situation awareness and Subsidiarity principle, 158 social media in crisis Superposters, 19, 28–31 communication, 'Swine flu' crisis, 266 279 - 280Sympathetic nervous system, Terrorist event, 136 Testing usability of social media information Task performance tests, gathering tool, 191 207 - 208Togetherness, 52-53Traditional actors, 166 'Team Austria', 170 Technological affordances, Traditional crisis 118, 128 communicators, 156, occupational and organisational Traditional journalism, 117 approaches to, Traditional media, 156, 231 121 - 123Transport services, 167 in time of digital media, Trauma, 45-47 116 - 118Tsunamis, 290 Technological artefact, 118 Tweets or Instagram images, Terror crises, interactivity 211 and dialogue in, 22 July Commission, 67–68, 94 - 9674, 86, 98, 281 Terror-event journalism, 117 Twitter, 17, 18, 73, Terrorist attacks, 16, 30, 32, 121-122, 161, 163, 34, 67-68, 119, 125, 166, 171, 256, 267, 278, 279 287, 288 case and data, 281-282 communication analysis, findings from analysis of Norwegian Twitterof crisis events, 17–19 sphere, 283-284 and diagnosis of findings from interviews Pauline Cafferkey, with journalists, 147-149 information officers and medical evacuation of and key William Pooley, 145 - 147communicators, 286 - 287research design and methods, 139-141 findings from interviews with survivors from and social media, Utøya, 285–286 136 - 137key findings, 283 users, 31 - 33

and West African Ebola testing tool for social outbreak, 137-139, media information gathering, 211-213 141 - 142use of psychophysiological WHO's declaration of PHEIC, 142-145 measurements, 218 - 220wireframe prototype, UBIMET (meteorological forecast system), 168 213 - 214UN Mission for Emergency Utøya terror attack (see also Ebola Relief Norway attacks), 44, (UNMEER), 139 49,55-56Unfolding emergencies, 188 background, 44–45 Unity, 44 closed Facebook group, US Centers for Disease 51 - 52Control and findings from interviews Prevention (CDC), with survivors from, 138, 139 285 - 286grief and togetherness, Usability testing, 5, 186, 198, 199, 207, 213–218 52 - 53and emergencies, 189-191 hate and evil, 55 laboratory testing, inconceivable, 50-51 207 - 208methodology and data, 47-48 prototype testing, 218 - 220missing youth, 51 of social media private and public grief, 54 information gathering saved, 49 - 50tool, 191 social media and narrative User-friendliness of trauma, 45-47close-to-working prototype, 214–218 Variability of raw materials, discussion and 121 Verdens Gang (Tabloid recommendations, 218 EDA, 209 newspaper), 94 eye tracking, 209-210 VG, 54, 117, 125, 129 facial expressions, 210 digital platforms, 119 laboratory testing of interdisciplinary usability, 207-208 cooperation, 124 VG Live 24-hours News, 94 psychophysiological measurements for *VG Nett* (Online newspaper), stress detection, 73, 119 208 - 209Virtual interpersonal test methods, 206–207 communication, 48

Voluntary fire brigade, 174, 235, 239 local, 234 online and social media communication, 237–238 Voluntary initiatives, 167

Warning and alarm system, 158 Weather information, 158 Weather warnings, 158 Web 2.0 technologies, 65 Web and social media communication processes, 243 literacy oriented
recommendations,
246–247
West African Ebola outbreak,
137–139
Wireframe prototype,
213–214
World Health Organisation
(WHO), 137, 138, 259
announces outbreak of
Ebola virus disease,
141
declaration of PHEIC,
142–145

YouTube, 31, 171, 238