INDEX

Activity/process indicators,	Clean delivery attention
33	kit, 92
Acute respiratory	Clients, 27
infections (ARIs), 90	Coding, 153
Advertising media, 60	Collection of information,
Analysis of the investment,	20, 49, 73
102-105	Community, 26, 27–28, 87
Anticipated impacts versus	Community leader, 95–96
non-anticipated	Contingent value, 22,
impacts, 35	63-64
Application (App), Uber,	Control group, 51
74, 75, 77, 78	Conversion into monetary
Attribution, 50, 53, 100	value, 22
calculation of, 55	Corporate social
case of education, 123	responsibility, 1
Avoided cost, 62, 112	
Awareness-raising stage,	Demand curve, 63
5-7	Desirable versus
	undesirable impacts, 34
"Business control"	Diarrheal disease, 90
activity, 75	Direct and indirect
	impacts, 34–35

Economic indicators, 45
Education, 113
application of the SROIM
model in, 118–120,
121–125

Calculation of isolation,

Cash flow, steps for, 68

Children stage, 9-10

54, 55

Cash outflows,

67-68

Educational supervision, nutritional styles of, 155 reinforcement, 91–92 Employees, 26, 28, 77 organic Environment, 28, vegetable gardens, 92 134 - 139training in nutrition, Environmental impact, 36, hygiene, and health, 92 37, 38, 40 valuation, 97, 101-113 stakeholders versus Environmental indicators, 45 impacts matrix, 98 - 101Falling-in-love process, 6, 7 Health and Productive Field School methodology, (project), 87 126 Hedonic prices, 22, 63 Higher income, 59-60, 81, Financial indicators, 2 141 Free advertising, value of, 60 "Imminent delivery kit", Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), 37 Impact indicators, 15, 22, Government entities, 27 37, 48 GPS navigator, 75 Impact investor, 28, 29, 45, 50, 53, 69, 70 Grandparents stage, 10 - 13attribution of, 53 Grassroots organizations, Impact measurement 28 indicators, 134-135 Group discussion, 160 Impacts versus Stakeholders Health and nutrition, Indicators Matrix, 59 Implementation stage, 8, 73, 87 productive agricultural 56, 78, 94 development, 90 Inductive process, 161 social development, 90 Information-collection application of the methods, 49 SROIM model, Information gathering 93-97 techniques, health, 90-91 152 - 163non-institutional births, focus groups, 160

advantages, 161

92 - 93

Life cycle art of asking questions of marriage, 12 in, 161 limitations, 162–163 of target beneficiary, 18, use, 161 38-44,93Local Educational interview, 154 advantages and Management Unit (LEMU), 118 disadvantages of, Logic Framework, 15, 23 154 - 155applying for, 156-157indicators, 15 designing, 155–156 observation, 157–160 Management indicators, surveys, 152-153 2, 101 Market price, 59-61 advantages and disadvantages, 154 Marriage case, 5-13, Input indicators, 32–33 40 - 44Integration matrix of social awareness-raising, 40, 41 end of life cycle, 41, 42 inclusion programs, 142 - 146implementation, 41, International Standard 41 - 42Organization, 35 life cycle, 42, 43 Intervention use, 41, 42 isolation of, 20-22, Marriage project, 3 Marriage stage, 7-950 - 55social network, 28 Mayor, 96 Millennium development Interview, 154 goals (MDG), 110 advantages and Mining Company, 112 disadvantages of, 154 - 155Mining Foundation, 96, application for, 156–157 97, 111, 113 designing, 155-156Monetary value, calculation of, 56-65Monetary value of initial JaipurKnee, 71 benefits, 57 Kitchen stove, improved, Multiplier effect of 91,94 investment, 20 Knowledge acquisition, price of, 60 Non-institutional births,

92 - 93

Kyoto protocol, 61

Nutritional reinforcement, Rural Health Post, 96 Rural Medical Post, 94 1, 56, 58, 91–92, 105 Opportunity costs method, Sampling surveys, 152 Secondary information, 64 - 65Organic vegetable gardens, 49, 57 Shareholders, defined, Outcome indicators, 34, 26 - 27"Sharing expenses", 75 105 Social cash flow, 4, 22, Outcomes/impacts, typology of, 34 65-68, 113, 128Output indicators, 34 Social discount rate, 113, 118, 149-150 Participant observation, Social inclusion programs, integration matrix of, 157, 159 Pilot test, 155 142 - 146Polyaluminum, 134 Social indicators, 45 Prevention costs, 64 Social intervention Primary information, 49, 73 process, 17 Production function, Social network, 18, methods based on, 62 25-32,93Productive project, 4, 120, formation of, 28-32stakeholders, 25-28 126-127, 132-133calculation of SROIM, Social responsibility 128 projects, 1, 2, 3, 4, market articulation, 127 15, 87 objectives, 126 Social return on organization, 127 investment, 2, 3, 18, technical training and 20, 50 implementation, Social sensitivity of 126 - 127business, 1 visualizing the real Social value of time, 60 impacts, 127-128 SROIM (Social Return on Public investment project Investment extracts resources, 149 Management) model, 2-4, 15-23,25 - 71Rapport, 156 Replacement cost, 62 application

in education, 118-120,	life cycle of target
121-125	beneficiary, 48
in social development,	sustainability indicators,
93–97	45-48
in Uber, 77–84	"Stated preference
calculation of, 16,	technique", 64
20-23, 48, 69-71,	Suppliers, 26, 28, 31
84-87, 119	Sustainability indicators, 3,
calculation of monetary	15, 18, 25, 32-38,
value, 56-65	45-48, 150-152
collection of	interrelationship between,
information, 49	36
isolation of intervention,	typologies of, 32–36
50-55	Sustainable development,
social cash flow, 65-68	35
objectives, 17	Sustainable social
philosophy of, $5-13$	interventions, 37
awareness-raising stage,	
5-7	Target beneficiary
children stage, 8-10	intervenido, 28
grandparents stage,	life cycle of, 18, 38–44
10-13	Traditional stoves,
marriage stage, $7-8$	disadvantages of,
SROIM formula, 23	90-91
visualizing the impacts,	Training in nutrition,
15, 17–20, 25–48	hygiene, and health, 92
life cycle of target	Transfer of results, 64
beneficiary, 38–44	Transversal indicators, 141
social network, 25–32	Travel cost, 63
stakeholders versus	Trend line, 52
impacts matrix, 44–48	Triangulation, 159–160
sustainability indicators,	Typology of indicators,
32-38	32–36
Stakeholders, 25–28, 112	
Stakeholders versus	Uber, case study, 73
impacts matrix, 20, 21,	background, 73
44-48	entry of, 74–77
advantages of, 48	SROIM model, 77

calculation of SROIM, 84–87 visualizing the real impacts, 77–83 stakeholders versus impacts matrix, 83 Use stage, 10

Valuation techniques of intangibles, 62

Valuation techniques of tangible impacts, 59 Value of human life, 61 Value of ton of CO₂, 60-61

Willingness to pay (WTP), 61, 63, 64 Work time, 60