

Index

Note: Page numbers followed by ‘*n*’ with number indicate notes.

- AASB. *See* Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AASB)
- Acceptance and continuance of client relationship and audit engagements
 - in USA, 50
 - in UK, 75
 - in India, 97
- Acceptance and continuance of the client relationship and specific engagements
 - in USA, 46
 - in UK, 71
 - in India, 94–95
- Accounting estimate(s), 86–87
 - auditing, 122, 155, 179, 194, 215, 233
 - based on ISA (UK & Ireland)-540, 86–87
 - based on SAS-122 (AU-C 540), 61–62
 - SA-540, 106–108
- Accounting firm(s), 27–28, 134
 - of auditors, 3
 - quality control policies of
 - in India, 93–96
 - in UK, 69–74
 - in USA, 45–49
 - structured governance arrangements, 27–28
- Agreement (A), 133, 184, 274
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 46
- Annual reports, 30
- Appointment procedure for statutory auditor
 - in USA, 67
 - in UK, 91
 - in India, 110
- Appointment procedure of statutory auditor, 124
- ASB. *See* Auditing Standards Board (ASB)
- Assignment of engagement teams
 - in USA, 50
 - in UK, 75
 - in India, 97
- Assurance, firm, 3
- Attitude to authority, 36
- AU Section-161, 49
- AU Section-220, 49–50
- Audit, 3
 - firm inspection, 30
 - inspection mechanism, 125
 - methodology, 28
 - quality control for audit
 - procedure, 185, 186–187
 - regulations, 36–37
- Audit documentation, 87–88, 122–123, 128, 195–196, 197, 215, 217, 233, 236

- Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 179, 181
 - respondents percentage, 155–159
 - SA-230, 108
 - USA, 62–64
- Audit engagement, 78–79, 119, 128, 142, 188–189, 203, 207, 225, 227
 - Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 169, 171
 - SA-210, 99–100
 - USA, 53–54
- Audit evidence, 81–82, 120, 128, 191–192, 193, 209, 211–212, 229, 231
 - Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 174–176
 - respondents percentage, 148–152
 - SA-500, 102–103
- Audit Firm Governance Code, 70
- Audit inspection mechanism
 - in USA, 68
 - in UK, 92
 - in India, 111–112
- Audit planning, 81, 120, 128, 190–191, 209, 210, 229–230
 - Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 169, 173
 - respondents percentage, 145–148
 - SA-300, 102
 - USA, 56–57
- Audit policies and procedures of engagement team
 - in India
 - accounting estimate, 106–108
 - audit documentation, 108
 - audit engagement, 99–100
 - audit evidence, 102–103
 - audit planning, 102
 - audit report, 109–110
 - audit sampling, 103
 - internal control system
 - and role of internal auditors, 100–101
 - overall objectives of independent auditor, 99
 - quality control policies of engagement team, 97–99
 - working of expert, 105–106
 - in UK, 74
 - accounting estimates, 86–87
 - audit documentation, 87–88
 - audit engagement, 78–79
 - audit evidence, 81–82
 - audit planning, 81
 - audit report, 88–90
 - audit sampling, 82–83
 - external party
 - confirmation, 83–84
 - internal control system
 - and role of internal auditors, 79–80
 - overall objectives of independent auditor, 77–78
 - quality control policies of engagement team, 74–77
 - work of expert, 84–85
 - in USA, 49
 - accounting estimates, 61–62
 - audit documentation, 62–64
 - audit engagement, 53–54
 - audit evidence, 57–58
 - audit planning, 56–57

- audit report, 64–66
- audit sampling, 58
- external party
 - confirmation, 59–60
- internal control system
 - and role of internal auditors, 54–56
- objectives of independent auditor, 52–53
- quality control policies of engagement team, 49–52
- work of expert, 60–61
- Audit quality, 6, 10, 26
 - contextual factors of, 33–37
 - factors of audit quality, 26
 - input factors, 27–28
 - output factors, 29–30
 - process factors, 28–29
 - regulatory framework at international level, 37–39
- Audit report, 88–90, 123–124, 128, 196–198, 215, 218, 237, 238
- Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 179, 181
 - respondents percentage, 159
- SA-700, 109–110
- USA, 64–66
- Audit sampling, 82–83, 121, 128, 192, 194, 209, 213, 229, 229–233, 234
- Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 174, 177
 - respondents percentage, 152
- SA-505, 103
- USA, 58
- Audited financial statements, 29
- Auditing accounting estimates, 122, 128, 194, 196, 215, 216, 233, 235
- Chi-square test of
 - homogeneity, 179, 180
 - respondents percentage, 155
- Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AASB), 39
- Auditing sections (AU sections). *See* Statement of Auditing Standard (SAS)
- Auditing Standards Board (ASB), 49
- Auditor, 99
 - independent (SA-200) report, 29
- Big Six firms, 7
- Business practices, 33, 244
- CAs. *See* Chartered accountants (CAs)
- CCAI. *See* Council of Chartered Accountants of India (CCAI)
- Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), 45
- CEO. *See* Chief executive officer (CEO)
- Certified public accountant firms (CPA firms), 45
- Chartered accountants (CAs), 4, 185
 - analysing opinions of CAs and Students, 133
 - audit documentation, 155–159
 - audit engagement, 142
 - audit evidence, 148–152
 - audit planning, 145–148
 - audit report, 159
 - audit sampling, 152
 - auditing accounting estimates, 155
 - external party confirmation, 152–155

- general issues governing
 - quality of audit, 159–164
 - internal control system
 - and internal auditors, 142–145
 - overall objectives of
 - independent auditor, 139–142
 - quality control for audit
 - procedure, 134–139
 - research methodology, 133–134
 - work of expert, 155
- examining homogeneity of
 - opinions between CAs and students, 165–184
- nonparametric Mann–Whitney test, 198
- audit documentation, 215, 217
- audit engagement, 203, 207
- audit evidence, 209, 211–212
- audit planning, 209, 210
- audit report, 215, 218
- audit sampling, 209, 213
- auditing accounting
 - estimates, 215, 216
- external party confirmation, 209, 214
- fulfilment of assumptions
 - for study, 200–201
- general issues governing
 - quality of audit, 215, 219, 220–221
- internal control system
 - and internal auditors, 203, 208
- methodology for test, 201–202
- overall objectives of
 - independent auditor, 203, 206
 - quality control for audit
 - procedure, 203, 204–205
 - quality control procedure
 - for statutory financial audit, 219, 222
 - work of expert, 215, 216
- Chartered Accountants
 - (Amendment) Act, 41
- Chi-square test of homogeneity, 165–184
- methodology, 165–166
 - audit documentation, 179, 181
 - audit engagement, 169, 171
 - audit evidence, 174–176
 - audit planning, 169, 173
 - audit report, 179, 181
 - audit sampling, 174, 177
 - auditing accounting
 - estimates, 179, 180
 - external party
 - confirmation, 174, 178
 - general issues governing
 - quality of audit, 179–184
 - internal control system
 - and internal auditors, 169, 172
 - objectives of independent auditor, 169, 170
 - quality control for audit
 - procedure, 166–168
 - work of expert, 174–179
- Chief executive officer (CEO), 46, 94, 115
- CIA. *See* Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)
- Clarified Auditing Sections (AU-C Sections), 49
- Client engagement, 57
- CLPs. *See* Continuous learning programmes (CLPs)
- Collective behaviour, 36

- Commercial law, 33
- Comparative study of quality control framework, 114
 - audit documentation, 122–123
 - audit engagement, 119
 - audit evidence, 120
 - audit planning, 120
 - audit report, 123–124
 - audit sampling, 121
 - auditing accounting estimates, 122
 - external confirmations, 121
 - general issues governing quality of audit, 124–125
 - objectives of independent auditor, 118
 - quality control procedure at engagement level, 117–118
 - quality control procedure at firm level, 114–116
 - use of work of internal auditors, 119–120
 - using work of expert, 121–122
- Consultation
 - in USA, 47
 - in UK, 72
 - in India, 95
- Consultation in case of audit engagement
 - in USA, 51
 - in UK, 76
- Contextual factors of audit quality, 33–37
- Continuous learning programmes (CLPs), 132, 215, 237
- Continuous professional development (CPD), 124–125
 - development for statutory auditors
 - in USA, 67
 - in UK, 91
 - in India, 111
- Corporate fraud, 5
- Corporate governance, 35–36
- Council of Chartered Accountants of India (CCAI), 41
- CPA firms. *See* Certified public accountant firms (CPA firms)
- CPD. *See* Continuous professional development (CPD)
- Cultural factors, 36
- Data reliability rule, 133
- Decision rule, 202
- Degree of freedom, 202
- Demographic profile of respondents, 21–23
- Dependent variable, 133, 200
- Differences of opinion
 - in USA, 48
 - in UK, 73
 - in India, 96
- Differences of opinion in case of audit engagement
 - in USA, 51
 - in UK, 76
- Disagreement (D), 133, 184, 274
- Documentation of audit engagement
 - in USA, 51
 - in UK, 76–77
 - in India, 98
- Documentation of system of quality control
 - in USA, 48
 - in UK, 74
 - in India, 96–97

- Engagement documentation
 - in USA, 48
 - in UK, 73
 - in India, 96
- Engagement level
 - input factors at, 28
 - process factors at, 29
- Engagement performance
 - in USA, 47–48
 - in UK, 72–73
 - in India, 95–96
- Engagement performance of an audit engagement
 - in USA, 51
 - in UK, 75–76
 - in India, 98
- Engagement quality control
 - review (EQCR), 47, 51, 72, 95, 98, 115–116, 203
 - in USA, 47–48
 - in UK, 72–73
 - in India, 95
- Engagement quality control review in case of audit engagement
 - in USA, 51
 - in UK, 76
- Engagement team, quality control policies of (SA-220), 97–99
- Ethical audit, 8
- European Union (EU), 69
- External confirmations, 121
- External party confirmation(s), 128
 - Chi-square test of homogeneity, 174, 178
 - respondents percentage, 152–155
 - USA, 59–60
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), 1*n*1
- Financial decisions, 3, 25
- Financial fraud, 4, 7
- Financial manoeuvring, 4–5
- Financial reporting
 - applicable financial reporting framework, 34–35
 - laws and regulations relating to, 33–34
 - supply chain, 30–33
 - timetable, 37
- Financial Reporting Council (FRC), 69–70
- Financial statements, 1, 88
 - audited financial statements, 29
 - auditing, 29
 - quality control for statutory audit of, 5, 43
 - audit policies and procedures of engagement team, 97–110
 - general issues governing quality of audit, 110–112
 - quality control framework in India, 93
 - quality control framework in UK, 69–92
 - quality control framework in USA, 45–68
 - quality control policies of accounting firm, 93–96
 - in select countries, 44
 - quality of statutory audit in, 25
 - contextual factors of audit quality, 33–37
 - factors of audit quality, 26–30
 - financial reporting supply chain, 30–33
 - users, 32, 33

- Firm level
 - input factors at, 27–28
 - process factors at, 28–29
- Foreign investors, 5
- FRC. *See* Financial Reporting Council (FRC)
- General issues governing quality of audit, 110–112, 114, 124, 128
 - appointment procedure of statutory auditor, 124
 - audit inspection mechanism, 125
 - Chi-square test of homogeneity, 179–184
 - CPD for statutory auditors, 124–125
 - in India, 110–112
 - nonaudit services of statutory auditors, 125
 - respondents percentage, 159–164
 - in UK, 90–92
 - in USA, 66–68
 - whistle blower policies, 124
- Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAPs), 1
- Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS), 50, 52
- Human resources
 - in USA, 47
 - in UK, 71–72
 - in India, 95
- Hypothesis, 201–202, 222
- IAASB. *See* International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB)
- IAESB. *See* International Accounting Education Standards Board (IAESB)
- IASB. *See* International Accounting Standard Board (IASB)
- ICAI. *See* Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI)
- IESBA. *See* International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA)
- IFAC. *See* International Federation of Accountants (IFAC)
- IFRS. *See* International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)
- IMF. *See* International Monetary Fund (IMF)
- Independent auditor, 128
 - Chi-square test of homogeneity, 169, 170
 - overall objectives of an independent auditor, 77–78, 118, 139–142, 185, 188, 203, 206, 225, 226
 - percentage of respondents, 140–141
 - USA, 52–53
- Independent variable(s), 133, 200
 - using Pearson's correlation coefficient and T-test, 219, 222–237
- India
 - quality control framework in, 93
 - audit policies and procedures of engagement team, 97–110

- general issues governing
 - quality of audit, 110–112
 - quality control policies of
 - accounting firm, 93–96
 - roles of firm's personnel, 39
 - SQC-1, 40
 - system of quality control, 39–40
 - Individual audit, information on, 30
 - Information system, 35, 244
 - Input factors, 26
 - at engagement level, 28
 - at firm level, 27–28
 - at national level, 27
 - personal attributes, 27
 - Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI), 4, 39, 128
 - Internal auditors, 79–80, 189–190, 203, 208, 225, 228–229
 - SA-610, 100–101
 - use of work of internal auditors, 119–120
 - See also* Statutory auditor(s)
 - Internal control system and internal auditors, 79–80, 128, 189–190, 203, 208, 225, 228–229
 - Chi-square test of homogeneity, 169, 172
 - Respondents' percentage, 142–145
 - USA, 54–56
 - SA-610, 100–101
 - International Accounting Education Standards Board (IAESB), 39
 - International Accounting Standard Board (IASB), 38–39
 - International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB), 38, 43, 49
 - International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA), 38
 - International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), 37–38, 43, 49
 - International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), 34–35
 - International level, regulatory framework governing audit quality at, 37–39
 - International Monetary Fund (IMF), 45
 - International Public Sector Accounting Standard Board (IPSASB), 38–39
 - International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS), 38–39
 - International Standard on Auditing (ISA), 38, 49
 - (UK & Ireland)-200, 77–78
 - (UK & Ireland)-210, 78–79
 - (UK and Ireland)-220, 97
 - quality control policies of accounting firm, 69–74
 - quality control policies of engagement team, 74–77
 - (UK & Ireland)-230, 87–88
 - (UK & Ireland)-300, 81
 - (UK & Ireland)-500, 81–82
 - (UK & Ireland)-505, 83–84
 - (UK & Ireland)-530, 82–83
 - (UK & Ireland)-540, 86–87
 - (UK & Ireland)-610, 79–80
 - (UK & Ireland)-620, 84–85

- (UK & Ireland)-700, 88–90
See also Quality Control for
 Audit Procedure;
 Standard on Auditing
 (SA)
- International Standard on
 Quality Control
 (ISQC), 26*n*1, 38,
 69–74, 114
 ISQC-1, 69–70, 93–94
- IPSAS. *See* International Public
 Sector Accounting
 Standards (IPSAS)
- IPSASB. *See* International Public
 Sector Accounting
 Standard Board
 (IPSASB)
- ISA. *See* International Standard
 on Auditing (ISA)
- Kolmogorov–Smirnov test,
 260–271
- Leadership responsibilities for
 quality on audits
 in USA, 50
 in UK, 75
 in India, 97
- Leadership responsibilities for
 quality within the firm
 in USA, 46
 in UK, 70
 in India, 94
- Litigation environment, 37, 244
- Management
 and charged with
 governance, 32
 and regulator, 32
 statutory auditor and, 30–31
 and users of financial
 statements, 33
- Management Discussion
 and Analysis
 (MD & A), 1
- Mann–Whitney test, 198
See also Nonparametric
 Mann–Whitney test
- Mean score, 184
- audit
 documentation, 195–196,
 197
 engagement, 188–189
 evidence, 191–192,
 193
 planning, 190–191
 report, 196–198
 sampling, 192, 194
- auditing accounting
 estimates, 194, 196
- external party confirmation,
 192, 195
- general issues governing
 quality of audit, 198,
 199
- internal control system and
 internal auditors,
 189–190
- overall objectives of
 independent auditor,
 185, 188
- quality control
 for audit procedure, 185,
 186–187
 procedure for statutory
 financial audit, 198,
 200
- work of expert, 194, 195
- Monitoring
 in USA, 48
 in UK, 73–74
 in India, 96
- Monitoring of audit
 engagement
 in USA, 51
 in UK, 76
 in India, 98
- National level
 input factors at, 27

- process factors at, 28
- Neutral (N), 20, 133, 184, 198, 274
- Non-Big Six firms, 7, 12, 15
- Nonaudit services of statutory auditors, 67, 111, 125, 245
 - in USA, 67–68
 - in UK, 91–92
 - in India, 111
- Nonparametric
 - Mann–Whitney test, 198–219
 - assumptions for, 200–201
 - audit documentation, 215, 217
 - audit engagement, 203
 - audit engagement, 203, 207
 - audit evidence, 209, 211–212
 - audit planning, 209, 210
 - audit report, 215, 218
 - audit sampling, 209, 213
 - auditing accounting estimates, 215, 216
 - CAs and students using, 198
 - external party confirmation, 209, 214
 - general issues governing quality of audit, 215, 219
 - internal control system and internal auditors, 203, 208
 - methodology, 201–202
 - overall objectives of independent auditor, 203, 206
 - quality control for audit procedure, 203, 204–205
 - quality control procedure for statutory financial audit, 219, 222
 - work of expert, 215, 216
- Null hypothesis, 200
- Output factors, 26, 29
 - aggregate view on audit firm inspection, 30
 - annual reports, 30
 - audited financial statements, 29
 - auditor’s report, 29
 - information on individual audit, 30
 - reports from those charged with governance, 29–30
 - transparency report, 30
- PCAOB. *See* Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB)
- Pearson’s correlation coefficient, 219, 222–237
- Personal attributes, 27
- Primary data, questionnaire for, 273
- Principle-based approach, 34–35
- Process factors, 26
 - at engagement level, 29
 - at firm level, 28–29
 - at national level, 28
- Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), 7
- QRB. *See* Quality review board (QRB)
- Quality control
 - for audit procedure, 185, 186–187, 203, 204–205, 222–225
 - environment, 5
 - policies of accounting firm, 69–74, 93–96

- policies of engagement team (SA-220), 97–99
 - procedure
 - at engagement level, 117–118
 - at firm level, 114–116
 - for statutory financial audit, 127, 133, 164–165, 198, 200, 219, 222
 - of statutory audit, 5
 - for statutory audit of financial statements, 5
 - system, 39–40
- Quality Control for Audit Procedure, 128
- Chi-square test of homogeneity, 166–168
- respondents percentage, 134–139
 - in different agreement levels, 135–138
- Quality control framework
 - in India, 93–112
 - in UK, 69–92
 - in USA, 45–68
- Quality of statutory audit of financial statements, 25
 - contextual factors of audit quality, 33–37
 - factors of audit quality, 26–30
 - financial reporting supply chain, 30–33
 - Indian scenario, 39–41
 - regulatory framework
 - governing audit quality at international level, 37–39
- Quality review board (QRB), 41
- Regulator(s), 32
 - and financial statements users, 33
 - and management, 32
 - and those charged with governance, 33
- Regulatory framework, 26
 - governing audit quality at international level, 37–39
 - in India, 5
 - at national level, 27
- Relationship between independent variables and satisfactory quality control of statutory audit, 219
 - audit documentation, 233, 236
 - audit engagement, 225, 227
 - audit evidence, 229, 231–232
 - audit planning, 229, 230
 - audit report, 237, 238
 - audit sampling, 229, 233, 234
 - auditing accounting estimates, 233, 235
 - external party confirmation, 233, 234
 - general issues governing quality of audit, 237, 239–240
 - internal control system and internal auditors, 225, 228–229
 - overall objectives of independent auditor, 225, 226
 - quality control for audit procedure, 222–225
 - work of expert, 233, 235
- Relevant ethical requirement
 - in USA, 46
 - in UK, 70–71
 - in India, 94
- Relevant ethical requirement in an audit engagement
 - in USA, 50

- in UK, 75
 - in India, 97
 - Reliability of data, 133
 - Reports
 - annual reports, 30
 - auditor's report, 29
 - from charged with
 - governance, 29–30
 - transparency report, 30
 - Respondents' perception
 - analysing opinions of CAs and students, 133–165
 - audit documentation, 155–159
 - audit engagement, 142
 - audit evidence, 148–152
 - audit planning, 145–148
 - audit report, 159
 - audit sampling, 152
 - auditing accounting estimates, 155
 - external party
 - confirmation, 152–155
 - general issues governing quality of audit, 159–164
 - internal control system and internal auditors, 142–145
 - overall objectives of independent auditor, 139–142
 - quality control for audit procedure, 134–139
 - research methodology, 133–134
 - work of expert, 155
 - examining homogeneity of opinions between CAs and students, 165–184
 - importance associated with variable by individual respondent groups
 - using mean score, 184–198
 - objectives, 128
 - percentage of respondents, 165
 - quality control procedure for statutory financial audit, 127, 133, 164–165
 - reliability of data, 133
 - satisfactory quality control of statutory audit, 219, 222–237, 238–240
 - significant difference between CAs and students using nonparametric Mann–Whitney test, 198–219
 - variables selection, 128–132
- Rule-based framework, 35
- SA. *See* Standard on Auditing (SA); Strong agreement (SA)
- Sarbanes Oxley Act (SOX Act), 9
- SAS. *See* Statement of Auditing Standard (SAS)
- SAS-122 (AU-C 200), independent auditor objectives, 52–53
- SAS-122 (AU-C 210), audit engagement, 53–54
- SAS-122 (AU-C 220), quality control policies of engagement team, 49–52
- SAS-122 (AU-C 230), audit documentation, 62–64
- SAS-122 (AU-C 300), audit planning, 56–57
- SAS-122 (AU-C 500), audit evidence, 57–58

- SAS-122 (AU-C 505), external party confirmation, 59–60
- SAS-122 (AU-C 530), audit sampling, 58
- SAS-122 (AU-C 540), accounting estimates, 61–62
- SAS-122 (AU-C 620), work of expert, 60–61
- SAS-122 (AU-C 700), audit report, 64–66
- SAS-128 (AU-C 610), internal control system and role of internal auditors, 54–56
- SD. *See* Strong disagreement (SD)
- SEBI. *See* Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI)
- SEC. *See* Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), 4
- Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI), 4
- SOX Act. *See* Sarbanes Oxley Act (SOX Act)
- SQC. *See* Standard on Quality Control (SQC)
- SQCS. *See* Statement of Quality Control Standard (SQCS)
- Stakeholders, 1, 2, 25
interactions among, 30–33
- Standard on Auditing (SA), 3n10, 39, 97, 128
accounting estimates (SA-540), 106–108
audit documentation (SA-230), 108
audit engagement (SA-210), 99–100
audit evidence (SA-500), 102–103
audit planning (SA-300), 102
audit report (SA-700), 109–110
audit sampling (SA-505), 103
internal control system and role of internal auditors (SA-610), 100–101
overall objectives of an independent auditor (SA-200), 99
quality control policies of engagement team (SA-220), 97–99
working of expert (SA-620), 105–106
See also International Standard on Auditing (ISA)
- Standard on Quality Control (SQC), 3n11, 114
SQC-1, 4, 17, 40, 93–97, 134
- Standardised test statistic (Z), 202
- Statement of Auditing Standard (SAS), 49–52
- Statement of Quality Control Standard (SQCS), 114
SQCS-8, 45–49
- Statutory auditor(s), 25, 119
appointment procedure of, 124
CPD for, 124–125
and financial statements users, 32
and management, 30–31
nonaudit services of, 125
plans, 81
and regulators, 32
and those charged with governance, 31–32

- Statutory financial audit, quality control procedure for, 198, 200, 219, 222
- Strong agreement (SA), 133, 184, 274
- Strong disagreement (SD), 133, 184, 274
- t*-test, 219, 222–237
- Test statistic (*U*), 200, 201–202
- Transparency report, 30
- Uncertainty avoidance, 36
- United Kingdom (UK), 34–35, 44, 69
- principle-based approach, 34
- quality control framework in, 69
- audit policies and procedures of engagement team, 74–90
- general issues governing quality of audit, 90–92
- quality control policies of accounting firm, 69–74
- United States of America (USA), 4, 35, 37–38, 44
- quality control framework in, 45
- audit policies and procedures of engagement team, 49–66
- general issues governing quality of audit, 66–68
- quality control policies of accounting firm, 45–49
- SAS-122 (AU-C 210), 119
- Variables selection, 128–132
- Whistle blower policies, 124
- in USA, 67
- in UK, 91
- in India, 110–111