## Index

Analytic network process (ANP), 1-2, 5-7, 9, 11-12, 47-52, 58, 77-78, 82, 85, 89-90, 92-93, 95, 110, 119, 128, 131, 184, 195, 198, 204, 207, 214, 219, 221, 224, 226-227, 229, 231-232, 255 consistency test, 52-55 model structure development, 50 - 51pairwise comparison, 52 Behaviour mode sensitivity analysis, 260, 274-280 Big Dig project, 2, 45 Bilfinger Berger and Siemens (BBS), 17, 26, 28 Bilfinger Berger Civil UK Ltd, 16 Boundary adequacy, 219, 255, 257, 280 Causality of technical uncertainties, 133, 134 Causal loop diagram (CLD), 57-58, 131, 165, 284 economic risks system, 151, 152 environmental risks system, 155 political risks system, 160 social risks system, 141, 142 STEEP risks system, 132 technical risks system, 145, 147 Causal tree diagram, 145 economic risks model, 153 environmental risks model, 158 political risks model, 163 political risks system, 160

social risks model, 144 technical risks model, 149 technical uncertainties, 133, 134 Completion risks, 67–68 Computerised model verification, 2.52 Conceptual model validation, 252 Consistency index (CI), 53 calculation, 54 Consistency ratio (CR), 52-53, 119, 123-124 calculation, 54 Consistency test, 52, 54, 119–124, 184 Cost overrun, 2, 35, 44-45, 145, 157, 168, 198 Criterion cluster, 51

Danish Great Belt rail tunnel, 45 Data validity ETN project, 220 Decision-making megaproject management, 1-2Delays, 43 mega construction projects, 44 in SD models, 57 in the tram works, 29 Deriving priorities for risks ratings, 120Dimensional consistency, 184, 219, 257 Disaggregation of dynamic simulation models, 282. 283 Dynamic risks assessment in megaproject, framework for, 225

Dynamic simulation models, validation of, 216, 250 Dynamic simulations, 184, 184-216, 250 economic risks system model, 203, 206-207, 208-209 environmental risks system model, 207, 209-210, 211-212 political risks system model, 210, 212, 214-217 social risks system model, 196, 197-199, 199, 202 technical risks system model, 198, 201, 203, 205-206 Economic risks, 64, 148, 152, 153, 168.203 completion risks, 67-68 market risk. 68 in megaprojects, 69 Economic risks system, 148–154 ANP inputs, 195 causalities, for stock variables, 153-154 causal loop diagram for, 151, 152 dynamic simulation results and discussion, 203, 207, 208 - 209evaluation tests for, 185 integrated Stock and Flow Model (SFMs) for, 168 mathematical equation, for system variables, 176 - 178one-way analysis of variance, 217 system boundary for, 138 Economic risk variables pairwise comparison matrix for, 103 verbal ratings for, 122

Edinburgh Tram Network (ETN) project, 1, 15, 16-17, 77, 84, 123, 157, 250 basic information of, 18 bridges built to accommodate, 27 construction and civil engineering works, 17, 25 - 26, 28contractual disputes, 28, 30-31 1871-1956 era, 15-16 environment of. 24 external stakeholders of, 21-22 impact of bad weather conditions, 36-37 internal stakeholders of, 19-20 organization of, 23 organizations and groups consulted during the EIA for. 34 rework and adverse environmental impacts, 35 specific risks impacting on project environment, 38 - 39stakeholder relationship map for, 25 stakeholder's attitude and influence on, 23 STEEP risks, 28 economic issues, 39 environmental issues, 33-35, 39 political issues, 35-40 social issues, 28-29, 38 technical issues, 29, 32-33, 38 - 39technical risks impacting on social and natural environments, 40 traffic diversions, 32-33 utility diversions for, 27

Engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) contract, 67 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), 33 Environmental Impact Assessment (Scotland) Regulations, 1999.33 **Environmental Resource** Management (ERM), 33 Environmental risk cluster, 68-70, 92 Environmental risks in megaprojects, 71 Environmental risks system, 154-157 ANP inputs, 195 causalities, for stock variables. 157, 162 causal loop diagram for, 155 dynamic simulation results and discussion, 207, 209-210, 211 - 212evaluation tests for, 184 integrated SFMs for, 169 mathematical equation, for system variables, 179-180 one-way analysis of variance, 217 system boundary for, 139 Environmental risk variables pairwise comparison matrix for. 106 verbal ratings for, 123 'Everything Goes According to Plan' (EGAP) principle, 3 Feedback loop approach, 281–282 Final mode ANP decision-making priorities economic risks sub-cluster, 116 Environmental risk sub-cluster, 117

political risk variables, 118 potential risks cluster, 113 social risk sub-cluster, 114 technical risk sub-cluster, 115 Financial closure, 68 Ideal priority value (IPV), 93, 95 Ideal risk priority indexes (IRPIs), 110 InterCity Express (ICE) project, in Germany, 156 Likert scale, 119 Liquidated damages (LDs), 67 Market risk. 68 Maximum eigenvalue, calculating, 53 MegaDS model, 260, 282, 287 Megaproject Big Dig project, 2, 45 Danish Great Belt rail tunnel project, 45 economic risks, 64-68, 148-154 environmental risks, 68-70, 154 - 157InterCity Express (ICE) project, 156 political risks, 70-74, 157-162 problem with megaprojects, 2-6risks. 2-5social risks, 59-61, 141-145 technical risks, 61-64, 145-148 Train à Grande Vitesse (TGV) project, 156 Model validation, 250 integrated system model objective, importance of, 254 integrated system models, methods for testing and validating, 252-254 model behaviour, validating, 258

behaviour mode sensitivity analysis, 260, 274-280 behaviour reproduction test, 258 - 259disaggregation approach, 282 feedback approach, 281-282 manageable model size, 284 - 287numerical sensitivity analysis, 259-260, 263-273 policy analysis, design and improvement, 280-281 policy implementation, 287 sensitivity analysis, 259 simulation approach, 282 - 284stock and exogenous system entities for STEEP risks models. 261-262 philosophical aspects of model validity, 250-251 process, 251-252 tests of suitability, 255 boundary adequacy, 255-257 dimensional consistency, 257 extreme conditions, 258 parameter verification, 255 structure verification, 255 validating the model structure, 254 Multi-criterion decision-making (MCDM) methodology, 48, 227 analytic hierarchical process (AHP), 48 analytic network process (ANP), 48, 227 criteria, 48, 227 Multi-Utilities Framework Agreement (MUDFA), 17, 37

Normalized priority value (NPV), 93, 95 Numerical sensitivity analysis, 259–260, 263–273

Operational validation, 252 Operations and maintenance (O&M) agreement, 68 Pairwise comparison matrix, 119, 124 economic risk variables, 103 environmental risk variables, 106 political risk variables, 107 social risk variables, 98 technical risk variables, 100 Parameter verification, of STEEP models, 219, 255 Pattern-oriented policy analysis, 281Policy analysis, design and improvement, 280-281 Political risk cluster, 70-74 Political risks in megaprojects, 75 Political risks system, 157-162

ANP inputs, 195 causalities, for stock variables, 162, 163, 164 causal loop diagram for, 160 dynamic simulation results and discussion, 210, 212-216 evaluation tests for, 185-186 integrated SFMs for, 170 mathematical equation, for system variables, 181-183 one-way analysis of variance, 217 system boundary for, 140 Political risk variables final mode ANP decision-making priorities for, 118 pairwise comparison matrix for, 107

verbal ratings for, 123

Potential risks, 4-5, 43, 49, 51 political risk cluster, 70-74 political risk variables, 107, 118, 123, 214 political risks in megaprojects, 75 political risks system, 140, 157-162, 181, 210 unweighted super matrix for, 111 verbal ratings for, 120 weighted supermatrix for, 112 Potential risks cluster, 95 final mode ANP decision-making priorities for, 113 potential risks sub-clusters, 95 - 109Problem with megaprojects, 2 megaproject risk assessment, 4 - 5megaproject risks, 2-3 new risk assessment framework. 5 - 6Project financing, 64 Project manager, 4-5, 56, 61, 63-64, 67, 80, 147, 201, 214, 221, 287 Project objectives cluster, 93 Project risk management (PRM) facilities, 5, 8, 231 Project risks, 5, 68, 74, 80-81 Project risk systems model, 131 - 140initial model development, 135 - 136model causality, 133-135 system boundaries, 136-140 Realistic 'construction time', 44 Respondent's mean scores of importance (RMSI), 85, 86 potential risks, 321-326 economic risks, 309-314 environmental risks, 315-320

social risks, 297-302 technical risks, 303-308 project objectives, 291-296 Risk analysis framework, 46 analytic network process (ANP) modelling route, 48 ANP model structure development, 50-51 consistency test, 52-55 pairwise comparison, 52 system dynamics (SD) modelling route. 55-58 Risk assessment, megaproject, 4-5 Risk assessment framework, 5-6, 11, 223 Risk assessment matrix (RAM), 224 Risk clusters, 58 economic risks, 64 completion risks, 67-68 market risk, 68 environmental risks, 68-70 political risks, 70-74 social risks, 59-61 technical risks, 61-64 Risk interdependency matrix, 93 potential risks cluster, 95 potential risks sub-clusters, 95-109 project objectives cluster, 93 Risk management, 4, 5, 12, 43, 67 Risk Priority Index (RPI), 55, 124-128, 255, 259, 260 Risk quantification, 77 analysis of risk interdependency, 77 adjustments of participants' opinions, 85 descriptive quantitative results and analysis, 82-84 qualitative approaches, 78-81 quantitative approach, 81-82

standardized quantitative results and analysis, 84-85 analytic network process (ANP) model, 85-92 consistency test, 119-124 pairwise comparison, 92-93 risk interdependency matrix, 93 potential risks cluster, 95 potential risks sub-clusters, 95 - 109project objectives cluster, 93 Risk Priority Index (RPI), 124 - 128risk rating, 119 supermatrix calculation, 110 - 119Risk rating, 119 **Risk simulation** project risk systems model, 131 - 140STEEP risks system, 141–162 system validation, 216, 218-219 system verification, 162-163, 165 Risks ratings, deriving priorities for, 120 Royal Assent, 16

SDANP framework, 46, 47 methodology, 1, 7, 11–12 practical guide for using, 230 procedure, for risks reduction in megaprojects, 228–229 risk assessment approach, 225–226 tests for building confidence in integrated models, 253–254 Sensitivity analysis, 259 behaviour mode, 260–280 numerical, 259–260 Shandwick Place and Haymarket, disruption of, 26 Social, technical, economic, environmental and political (STEEP) risks, 1, 4-8, 10-12, 46, 50, 84, 85, 89-90, 123, 128-129, 250, 251, 252, 254, 255, 256, 258, 259 ANP inputs to, 184, 195 boundary adequacy, 219 causal loop diagram (CLD), on ETN project, 132 dimensional consistency requirements, 219 dynamic hypothesis of, 135 dynamic simulations, 184, 196 - 216economic risks system, 148-154 environmental risks system, 154-157 evaluation tests for, 170, 185 - 194integrated SFMs for, 165-169 model equation formulation, 169-170, 184 one-way analysis of variance, 217parameter verification of, 219 political risks system, 157–162 risk types, 139 SD equation representation, 184 social risks system, 141-145 STEEP factors, 44, 46, 52, 58 STEEP models, 254, 276 structural verification of, 218 technical risks system, 145-148 Social risk entry points, 61 Social risks cluster, 59-62 Social risks model, 141, 144, 146, 255, 260 Social risks system, 141 ANP inputs, 195

causalities, for stock variables, 144-145, 146 causal loop diagram for, 141, 142 dynamic simulation results and discussion, 196-198, 199, 202 evaluation tests for, 185 integrated SFMs for, 167 mathematical equation, for system variables, 171-172 one-way analysis of variance, 217 system boundary for, 136 vicious cycle of grievance prevention, 143 - 144of social risks generation, 141 - 143Social risk sub-cluster final mode ANP decision-making priorities for, 114 Social risk variables pairwise comparison matrix for, 98 verbal ratings for, 121 Special purpose vehicle (SPV), 66, 67,68 Stock and flow STEEP models, 165 - 169economic risk system, 168 environmental risks system, 169 political risks system, 170 social risks system, 167 technical risks system, 167 Structural verification, of STEEP models, 170, 218, 255 Structured interview questionnaire and participants, 289 - 290Suitability, tests of, 255 boundary adequacy, 255-257 dimensional consistency, 257

extreme conditions, 258 parameter verification, 255 structure verification, 255 Super Decisions Software, 55, 95 Supermatrix calculation, 110-119 System Design Services (SDS), 17 System dynamics (SD) approach, 224, 227 System dynamics (SD) method, 1-2, 5, 47, 55-58, 259Technical risk cluster, 61-64 Technical risks in megaprojects, 65 - 66Technical risks system, 145–148 ANP inputs, 195 causalities, for stock variables, 148, 149, 150 causal loop diagram for, 145, 147 dynamic simulation results and discussion, 198, 201, 203, 205evaluation tests for, 185 integrated SFMs for, 167 mathematical equation, for system variables, 173-175 one-way analysis of variance, 217 system boundary for, 137 Technical risk sub-cluster final mode ANP decision-making priorities for, 115 Technical risk variables pairwise comparison matrix for, 100verbal ratings for, 121 Technical uncertainties, 133-135 Total priority value (TPV), 93, 95 Total risk priority index (TRPI), 110Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs), 257

Train a Grande Vitesse (TGV) project, in France, 156-157Transportation megaprojects, 3, 5, 67, 77-78, 128, 145, 148,152, 156, 161, 162, 201,218, 231, 234, 254-255,282dynamics simulation models for, 285-286disaggregation of, 283 Transport initiatives Edinburgh (tie), 16-17, 25, 28, 32, 33

Unweighted super matrix for potential risks, 111 Uses tree diagrams economic risks model, 154 environmental risks model, 159 political risks model, 163 social risks model, 146 technical risks model, 150 technical uncertainties, 133, 134 Validation defined, 216, 218 model. See Model validation system, 216, 218-219 Variation orders (VOs), 151 Vensim software, 219, 257, 280, 288 Verbal ratings economic risk variables, 122 environmental risk variables, 123 political risk variables, 123 potential risks, 120 social risk variables, 121 technical risk variables, 121 Verification defined, 218 parameter, 219, 255 structure, 218, 255 system, 162-163, 165 Weighted quantitative score (WQS) method, 49, 77-78, 85, 219, 255 Weighted supermatrix, 110

potential risks, 112