Reconstruction of a homogeneous polynomial from its additive decompositions when identifiability fails

Reconstruction of a homogeneous polynomial

11

Received 24 April 2019 Revised 28 August 2019 Accepted 23 September 2019

E. Ballico¹

Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, Povo, Italy

Abstract

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an integral and non-degenerate complex variety. For any $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ let $r_X(q)$ be its X-rank and S(X,q) the set of all finite subsets of X such that $|S| = r_X(q)$ and $q \in \langle S \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the linear span. We consider the case |S(X,q)| > 1 (i.e. when q is not X-identifiable) and study the set $W(X)_q := \bigcap_{S \in S(X,q)} \langle S \rangle$, which we call the non-uniqueness set of q. We study the case dim X = 1 and the case X a Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^n . We conclude the paper with a few remarks concerning this problem over the reals.

Keywords X-rank, Veronese embedding, Symmetric tensor rank, Additive decomposition, Real X-rank **Paper type** Original Article

1. Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an integral and non-degenerate variety defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} with characteristic 0. For any set $A \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ let $\langle A \rangle$ denote its linear span. Fix any $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$. The X-rank $r_X(q)$ of X is the minimal cardinality of a finite set $S \subset X$ such that $q \in \langle S \rangle$. The notion of X-rank includes the notion of tensor rank of a tensor (take X a multi projective space and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ its Segre embedding) and the notion of additive decomposition of a homogeneous polynomial or its symmetric tensor rank (take as X a projective space and as $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ one of its Veronese embeddings). See [3,13,18,19] for a long list of applications of these notions.

Notation 1. Let S(X,q) denote the set of all $S \subset X$ such that $|S| = r_X(q)$ and $q \in \langle S \rangle$. Set $W(X)_q := \bigcap_{S \in S(X,q)} \langle S \rangle$.

JEL Classification — 14N05, 14H99, 15A69

© E. Ballico. Published in the *Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences*. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this license may be seen at http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

Declaration of Competing Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The publisher wishes to inform readers that the article "Reconstruction of a homogeneous polynomial from its additive decompositions when identifiability fails" was originally published by the previous publisher of the *Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences* and the pagination of this article has been subsequently changed. There has been no change to the content of the article. This change was necessary for the journal to transition from the previous publisher to the new one. The publisher sincerely apologises for any inconvenience caused. To access and cite this article, please use Ballico, E. (2019), "Reconstruction of a homogeneous polynomial from its additive decompositions when identifiability fails", *Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 41-52. The original publication date for this paper was 13/09/2019.



Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences Vol. 27 No. 1, 2021 pp. 41-52 Emerald Publishing Limited e-ISSN: 2588-9214 p-ISSN: 1319-5166 DOI 10.1016/j.ajmsc.2019.09.001 The set $W(X)_q$ is the main actor of this paper. We often write W_q if X is clear from the context.

Remark 1. Note that W_q is a linear subspace of \mathbb{P}^r containing q and that if $W_q = \{q\}$, and $\mathcal{S}(X,q) = \mathcal{S}(X,q')$ for some $q' \in \mathbb{P}^r$, then q' = q. We will call W_q the non-uniqueness set of q. We have dim $W_q = r_X(q) - 1$ if and only if $\langle S \rangle = \langle S' \rangle$ for all $S, S' \in \mathcal{S}(X,q)$. In particular $Wq = \{q\}$ and $q \notin X$ imply $|\mathcal{S}(X,q)| > 1$.

In this paper we prove one result on the Veronese variety (i.e. on the additive decomposition of homogeneous polynomials) (Theorem 3) and three results for the case $\dim X = 1$ (Theorems 1 and 2 and Proposition 1). The proof of the result on the Veronese variety uses one of the results for curves.

We first prove the following two cases (with X a curve) in which $W_q = \{q\}$.

Theorem 1. Fix an even integer $r \ge 2$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an integral and non-degenerate curve. There is a non-empty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $r_X(q) = r/2 + 1$ for all $q \in \mathcal{U}$ and the following properties hold:

- (a) We have $\{q\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X,q)} \langle S \rangle$ for all $q \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (b) For all $(q, q') \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{P}^r$ if S(X, q') = S(X, q), then q' = q.

Theorem 2. Fix an integer $d \ge 2$ and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ be the rational normal curve. Take any $q \in \mathbb{P}^d$ such that S(X,q) is not a singleton. Then $W_q = \{q\}$. Moreover, if S(X,q) = S(X,q') for some $q' \in \mathbb{P}^d$, then q' = q.

Take a non-degenerate $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$. For any integer t > 0 the t-secant variety $\sigma_t(X)$ of X is the closure in \mathbb{P}^r of the union of all linear spaces $\langle S \rangle$ with $S \subset X$ and |S| = t. The border rank or border X-rank $b_X(q)$ of $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ is the minimal integer $b \ge 1$ such that $q \in \sigma_b(X)$. We say that a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ irredundantly spans q if $q \in \langle A \rangle$ and $q \notin \langle A' \rangle$ for any $A' \subsetneq A$. We use Theorem 2 to prove the following result for the order d Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^n .

Theorem 3. Fix integers n,d,b,k, such that $n \ge 2$, $d \ge 8$, $4 \le 2b \le d$ and $d+2-b \le k \le 2d-2$. Let $\nu_d: \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^r$, $r = \binom{n+d}{n}-1$, be the orderd Veronese embedding. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a line. Set $Y:=\nu_d(L)$. Fix $q' \in \langle Y \rangle$ such that $b_Y(q')=b$ and $r_Y(q')=d+2-b$. Fix a general $U \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that |U|=k-d-2+b. Let $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ be any point irredundantly spanned by $\{q'\} \cup \nu_d(U)$. Then:

- (1) $r_X(q) = k \text{ and } S(X,q) \supseteq \{E \cup U\}_{E \in S(Y,q')}$
- (2) If $k \leq 2d-3$, then $S(X,q) = \{E \cup U\}_{E \in S(Y,q')}$ and $W_q = \langle U \cup \{q'\} \rangle$.

In Section 4 we consider the following problem. For any positive integer t let $\mathcal{S}(X,q,t)$ be the set of all $S \subset X$ such that |S| = t and S irredundantly spans q. We have $\mathcal{S}(X,q,t) = \emptyset$ for all $t < r_X(q)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X,q,r_X) = \mathcal{S}(X,q) \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of irredundantly spanning set we have $\mathcal{S}(X,q,t) = \emptyset$ for all $t \geq r+2$. Since X is integral and non-degenerate, for all (X,q) we have $\mathcal{S}(X,q,r+1) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{S}(X,q,r+1)$ contains a general subset of X with cardinality r+1. There are easy examples of triples (X,q,t) such that $r>t>r_X(q)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X,q,t) = \emptyset$ (Remark 3). It easy to check that $\mathcal{S}(X,q,t) \neq \emptyset$ for all t such that $t+1-\dim X \leq t \leq r$ (Lemma 2). Set $W(X)_{q,t} := \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X,q,t)} \langle S \rangle$, with the convention $W(X)_{q,t} := \mathbb{P}^r$ if $\mathcal{S}(X,q,t) \neq \emptyset$. We often write $W_{q,t}$ instead of $W(X)_{q,t}$:

In Section 4 we prove the following result.

Reconstruction

homogeneous

polynomial

Proposition 1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, $r \geq 4$, be an integral and non-degenerate curve. Then there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{P}^r such that $W_{q,t} = \{q\}$ for all $q \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $|(r+2)/2| \leq t \leq r$.

In Section 5 we briefly discuss the case of real algebraic subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$. In particular we show that a statement similar to Theorem 1 over \mathbb{R} is true if we take as \mathcal{U} a non-zero open subset of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$, for the euclidean topology (Theorem 4), but it fails if we ask for a non-empty open subset of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ for the Zariski topology (Remark 5).

We thanks a referee for useful comments.

2. Preliminary observations

The *cactus rank* or *cactus X-rank* $c_X(q)$ of $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ is the minimal degree of a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset X$ such that $q \in \langle Z \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(X,q)$ denote the set of all zero-dimensional schemes $Z \subset X$ such that $\deg(Z) = c_X(q)$ and $q \in \langle Z \rangle$.

Remark 2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, $d \geq 2$, be a degree d rational normal curve. We use [18, §1.3] and [14] for the following observations. Fix $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$.

- (i) We have $b_X(q) = c_X(q)$ (18, Lemma 1.38) and $|\mathcal{Z}(X,q)| = 1$ (18, Part (i) of Theorem 1.43).
- (ii) If $c_X(q) < r_X(q)$, then $c_X(q) + r_X(q) = d + 2$ and $\mathcal{S}(X,q)$ is infinite. Let Z be the only element of $\mathcal{Z}(X,q)$, $d+2-c_X(q)$ is the minimal degree of a scheme $A \subset X$ such that $q \in \langle A \rangle$ and $A \not\supseteq Z$.
- (iii) If $r_X(q) > c_X(q)$, then $\{q\} = \langle Z \rangle \cap \langle S \rangle$, where $\{Z\} = \mathcal{Z}(X,q)$ and S is any element of S(X,q) (this also follows from the fact that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^1,L) = 0$ for any line bundle L on \mathbb{P}^1 with $\deg(L) \geq -1$, as in the proof of Claim 1).
 - (iv) then If $r_X(q) > b_X(q)$, then dim S(X,q) = d + 3 2b ([14, eq. (9)]).
- (v) If d is odd and $r_X(q) = (d+1)/2$ (i.e. $r_X(q) = b_X(q)$ is the generic rank), then $S(X,q) = \mathcal{Z}(X,q)$ and |S(X,q)| = 1 ([18, Theorem 1.43]).
- (vi) Assume d even and $r_X(q) = d/2 + 1$ and so q has the generic rank and $b_X(q) = r_X(q)$, but we do not assume that q is general in \mathbb{P}^d . Fix $S, S' \in \mathcal{S}(X, q)$ such that $S \neq S'$.

Claim 1. $\langle S \rangle \cap \langle S' \rangle = \{q\}.$

Proof of Claim 1. Since $S \neq S'$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}(X,q)$, we have $q \notin \langle S \cap S' \rangle$. The Grassmann's formula gives $h^1(\mathbb{P}^1,\mathcal{I}_{S \cup S'}(d)) > 0$. Since $h^1(\mathbb{P}^1,L) = 0$ for any line bundle L on \mathbb{P}^1 with $\deg(L) \geq -1$ and $q \notin X$, we have $S \cap S' = \emptyset$ and $h^1(\mathbb{P}^1,\mathcal{I}_{S \cup S'}(d)) = 1$. Thus the Grassmann's formula implies $\dim(\langle S \rangle \cap \langle S' \rangle) = 0$, proving Claim 1.

Obviously Claim 1 implies $W_q = \{q\}$ in this case, which by [18, Part (i) of Theorem 1.43] is the only case in which $r_X(q) = b_X(q)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(X,q)$ is not a singleton.

Note that (iii) implies that each $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ with $c_X(q) \neq r_X(q)$ is uniquely determined by the zero-dimensional scheme evincing its cactus rank and by one single set evincing its rank (any $S \in \mathcal{S}(X,q)$ would do the job). Obviously part (i) implies that most $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ (the ones with $r_X(q) = b_X(q)$) are not uniquely determined by $\mathcal{S}(X,q)$. By parts (i) and (ii) for each $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $r_X(q) = b_X(q)$ there are exactly ∞^t , $t := r_X(q) - 1$, points $o \in \mathbb{P}^r$ with $\mathcal{S}(X,o) = \mathcal{S}(X,q)$.

In the proof of Theorem 3 we use the following result ([5, Theorem 1], [4, Theorem 2]); we use the assumption $d \ge 6$ to have $4d - 5 \ge 3d + 1$ and hence to apply a small part of [5, Theorem 1].

Lemma 1 ([5, Theorem 1], [4, Theorem 2]). Fix an integer $d \ge 6$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $n \ge 2$, be a finite set such that $|S| \le 4d - 5$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(d)) > 0$ if and only if there is $F \subseteq S$ in one of the following cases:

- (1) |F| = d + 1 and F is contained in a line:
- (2) |F| = 2d + 2 and F is contained in a reduced conic D; if $D = L_1 \cup L_2$ with each L_i a line we have $L_1 \cap L_2 \notin F$ and $|F \cap L_1| = |F \cap L_2| = d + 1$;
- (3) |F| = 3d, F is contained in the smooth part of a reduced plane cubic C and F is the complete intersection of C and a degree d hypersurface;
- (4) |F| = 3d + 1 and F is contained in a plane cubic.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1–3

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove part (b) it is sufficient to prove part (a), because S(X, q') = S(X, q) implies $\{q'\} \subseteq Wq' = W_q$ and $W_q = \{q\}$ for $q \in \mathcal{U}$.

Since part (a) is trivial in the case r=2, we assume $r\geq 4$. Since no non-degenerate curve is defective ([23, Corollary 1.5 and Remark 1.6]), there is a non-empty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{V}\subset \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $r_X(q)=r/2+1$ and dim $\mathcal{S}(X,q)=1$ for all $q\in \mathcal{V}$.

For each set $S \subset X$ such that |S| = r/2 + 1 and $\dim(S) = r/2$ let $\ell_S : \mathbb{P}^r \setminus \langle S \rangle \to \mathbb{P}^{r/2-1}$ denote the linear projection from $\langle S \rangle$. For a general S we have $\langle S \rangle \cap X = S$ (scheme-theoretically) by Bertini's theorem and the trisecant lemma ([24, Corollary 2.2]) and $\ell_{S|X\setminus S}$ is birational onto its image, again by the trisecant lemma and the assumption $r \geq 4$.

birational onto its image, again by the trisecant lemma and the assumption $r \geq 4$. Fix a general $S \subset X$ such that |S| = r/2 + 1. Let $X_S \subset \mathbb{P}^{r/2-1}$ be the closure of $\ell_S(X \setminus S)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{r/2-1}$. There is a finite set $E \subset X_S$ containing $X_S \setminus \ell_S(X \setminus S)$ and such that for each $p \in X_S \setminus E$ there is a unique $o \in X \setminus S$ such that $\ell_S(o) = p$. For any set $A \subset X_S \setminus E$ let $A_S \subset X \setminus S$ denote the only set such that $\ell_S(A_S) = A$. Any general $A \subset X_S \setminus E$ such that |A| = r/2 + 1 is linearly dependent, but each proper subset of A is linearly independent. Thus $\langle S \rangle \cap \langle A_S \rangle$ is a single point, $q_{S,A}$, and $q_{S,A} \notin \langle B \rangle$ for any $B \subsetneq A_S$. For a general A we get as A_S a general subset of X with cardinality r/2 + 1. Thus for a general A we have $q_{S,A} \notin S'$ for any $S' \subsetneq S$. We start with $S \in S(X,o)$ for a general $o \in \mathbb{P}^r$. Thus $o \in S(x,a)$ and $o \in S(x,a)$ by construction we have $o \in S(x,a) \in S(x,a)$. For a general $o \in S(x,a)$ and $o \in S(x,a)$ by the generality of $o \in S(x,a)$ we get that the points $o \in S(x,a)$ over a non-empty Zariski open subset of $o \in S(x,a)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Set $b:=b_X(q)$. Since $\mathcal{S}(X,q)$ is not a singleton, we have $q \notin X$ and hence $b \geq 2$. Part (vi) of Remark 2 covers the case $r_X(q) = b$ and hence we may assume $r_X(q) > b$. Thus $r_X(q) = d + 2 - b$. By part (v) of Remark 2 we have $\mathcal{S}(X,q) \geq 2$. We will prove the stronger assumption that $\{q\} = \bigcap_{A \in \Gamma} \langle A \rangle$, where Γ is any irreducible family contained in $\mathcal{S}(X,q)$ and with dim $\Gamma = d + 3 - 2b$; we do not assume that Γ is closed in $\mathcal{S}(X,q)$.

(a) First assume b=2. We use the proof of [20, Proposition 5.1]. Fix $a \in \mathbb{P}^d \setminus \{q\}$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ be a general hyperplane containing q. Since $q \notin X$, Bertini's and Bezout's theorems give that $X \cap H$ is formed by d distinct points. Since X is connected, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_X \to \mathcal{I}_X(1) \to \mathcal{I}_{X \cap HH}(1) \to 0$$

gives that $X \cap H$ spans H. Thus $q \in \langle X \cap H \rangle$. Since $r_X(q) = d$, we get $X \cap H \in \mathcal{S}(X,q)$. The generality of H gives $a \notin H$, concluding the proof that $W_q = \{q\}$.

(b) Step (a) and part (i) (resp. part (vi)) of Remark 2 for the case d odd (resp. d even) and q with generic rank cover all cases with $d \le 4$. Thus we may assume $d \ge 5$ and use induction on d. Fix a general $o \in X$. Let $\ell_o : \mathbb{P}^d \setminus \{o\} \to \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ denote the linear projection from o. Let

homogeneous

polynomial

 $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ denote the closure of $\ell_o(X \setminus \{o\})$ in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} . Y is a rational normal curve of \mathbb{P}^{d-1} . Set Reconstruction $q' := \ell_o(q)$ and $Z' := \ell_o(Z)$ (by the generality of o we have $o \notin \langle Z \rangle$ and hence Z' is welldefined, $\deg(Z') = b$ and $\dim\langle Z' \rangle = b - 1$). The generality of o also implies that $q \notin \langle Z'' \cup \{o\} \rangle$ for any $Z'' \subsetneq Z$ (here we use that X is a smooth curve and hence Z has only finitely many subschemes). Thus $q' \in \langle Z' \rangle$ and $q' \notin \langle Z'' \rangle$ for all $Z'' \subsetneq Z'$. Since Y is a degree d-1 rational normal curve and $b \le d/2$, parts (i) and (ii) of Remark 2 imply $b_Y(q') = b$ and $\mathcal{Z}(Y,q')=\{Z'\}$. Fix an irreducible family $\Gamma\subseteq\mathcal{S}(X,q)$ such that dim $\Gamma=d+3-2b$ (it exists by part (v) of Remark 2). Let \mathcal{B} denote the set of all $A \in \Gamma$ such that $o \in A$. By part (v) of Remark 2 and the generality of o we have $B \neq 0$ and dim B = d + 2 - 2b. Set $\mathcal{A} := \{\ell_o(B \setminus \{o\})\}_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$. Since Y is a rational normal curve, parts (i) and (ii) of Remark 2 imply $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(Y,q')$. We have dim $\mathcal{A} = (d-1) + 3 - 2b$. The inductive assumption gives $\{q'\} = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \langle A \rangle$. Thus $\langle \{o, q\} \rangle = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \langle B \rangle$. Since dim $\Gamma = \dim \mathcal{S}(X, q) = d + 3 - 2b$ (part (v) of Remark 2) and o is general in X, there is $S \in \Gamma$ such that $o \notin S$. Thus $\bigcap_{A \in \Gamma} \langle A \rangle = \{q\}.$

Proof of Theorem 3. By Autarky ([19, Exercise 3.2.2.2]) we may assume $U \neq \emptyset$. Since U is general in \mathbb{P}^n , we have dim $\langle \nu_d(U) \cup Y \rangle = \min\{r, \dim\langle Y \rangle + |U|\}$. Since dim $\langle Y \rangle = d$ and d+|U| < r, we have $\langle \nu_d(U) \rangle \cap \langle Y \rangle = \emptyset$. By Theorem 2 we have $W(Y)_{q'} = \{q'\}$. Take $E \in \mathcal{S}(Y,q')$ and set $A := U \cup E$. The set $\{q'\} \cup U$ irredundantly spans q and $\langle Y \rangle \cap \langle \nu_d(U) \rangle = \emptyset$. Hence we have $E \cap U = \emptyset$ and |A| = k. Since |A| = k and $q \in A$ $\langle \nu_d(A) \rangle$, we have $r_X(q) \leq k$. Since U is general in \mathbb{P}^n , we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_A(t)) = \max\{0, h^0(\mathcal{I}_E)\}$ (t) -|U| for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$; to use this equality we need to fix one element, E, of S(Y, q'), before choosing a general U.

Note that we have $W_q = \langle \nu_d(U) \cup \{q'\} \rangle$ for any q such that $S(X, q) = \{E \cup U\}_{E \in S(Y, q')}$ by Theorem 2. Assume either $r_X(q) < k$ or $k \le 2d-3$ and the existence of $B \in \mathcal{S}(X,q) \setminus$ $\{E \cup U\}_{E \in \mathcal{S}(Y,q')}$. In the former case take $B \in \mathcal{S}(X,q)$. Set $S := A \cup B$. In both cases we have $|B| \le |A|$ and $|A| + |B| \le 4d - 5$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(d)) > 0$ ([6, Lemma 1]) there is $F \subseteq S$ in one of the cases listed in Lemma 1.

- (a) Assume the existence of a plane cubic $T \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $|T \cap S| \geq 3d$.
- (a1) Assume n=2. Thus T is an effective divisor of \mathbb{P}^2 . Consider the residual exact sequence of T in \mathbb{P}^2 :

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap T}(d-3) \to \mathcal{I}_S(d) \to \mathcal{I}_{S \cap T,T}(d) \to 0 \tag{1}$$

Since $|S \setminus S \cap T| \le 4d - 5 - 3d = d - 5$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap T}(d - 3)) = 0$. Thus either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A \setminus A \cap T = B \setminus B \cap T$. Assume for the moment $L \not\subseteq T$. Bezout gives $|L \cap T| \leq 3$. Since U is general and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)) = 10$, we have $|U \cap T| \le 9$. Thus $|B \cap T| \ge 3d - 12 > 12 \ge |T \cap A|$ and hence |B| > |A|, a contradiction. Now assume $L \subset T$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) = 6$ and $U \cap L = \emptyset$, we get $|A \cap T| \le d + 8 - b$. Thus $|B \cap T| \ge 2d - 5 + b$ and again |B| > |A|, a contradiction.

(a2) Assume n > 2. Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane containing the plane $\langle T \rangle$ (so $M = \langle T \rangle$ if n = 3). Since S is a finite set and M is a general hyperplane containing $\langle T \rangle$, we have $S \cap M = S \cap \langle T \rangle$. Consider the residual exact sequence of M in \mathbb{P}^n :

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap M}(d-1) \to \mathcal{I}_S(d) \to \mathcal{I}_{S \cap M,M}(d) \to 0 \tag{2}$$

Since $|S \setminus A \cap M| \le 4d - 5 - 3d = d - 5$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap M}(d - 1)) = 0$. Thus either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A \setminus A \cap M = B \setminus B \cap M$. Since no 4 points of U are coplanar, we have $|A \cap M| \le d+5-b < 3d-d-2+b$. Thus |B| > |A|, a contradiction.

- (b) Assume the existence of a plane conic D such that $|S \cap D| \ge 2d + 2$.
- (b1) Assume n=2. Consider the residual exact sequence of D in \mathbb{P}^2 :

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap D}(d-2) \to \mathcal{I}_S(d) \to \mathcal{I}_{S \cap D,D}(d) \to 0 \tag{3}$$

First assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap D}(d-2))>0$. Since $|S\backslash S\cap D|\leq 4d-5-2d-2=2(d-3)-1$, there is a line $R\subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $|R\cap (S\backslash S\cap D)|\geq d-1$ ([9, Lemma 34]). Thus $|S\cap (D\cup R)|\geq 3d+1$. Step (a1) gives a contradiction. Now assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap D}(d-2))=0$. Either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A\backslash A\cap D=B\backslash B\cap D$. Assume for the moment $L\not\subseteq D$. Thus $|L\cap D|\leq 2$. Since U is general and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))=6$, we have $|U\cap D|\leq 5$. Thus $|B\cap D|>|A\cap D|$ and so |B|>|A|, a contradiction. Now assume $L\subset D$. Write $D=L\cup R$ with R a line. Set $\{o\}:=L\cap R$. Since $U\cap L=\emptyset$, $|L\cap R|=1$ and $|U\cap R|'\leq 2$ for each line R', we have $|A\cap D|\leq d+4-b$. Let $|Z'\cap R|=1$ the only degree $|R\cap R|=1$ and $|R\cap R|=1$ for Remark 2). Set $|R\cap R|=1$ and $|R\cap R|=1$ for Remark 2). Set $|R\cap R|=1$ for $|R\cap R|=1$

- (1) $\deg(Z \cap L) \ge d + 2$;
- (2) $\deg(Z \cap R) \ge d + 2$;
- (3) $\deg(Z \cap R) = \deg(Z \cap L) = d + 1$ and $o \notin Z_{red}$.

Recall that $A \setminus A \cap B = B \setminus B \cap D$ (and hence) $|B \cap D| \le |A \cap D|$ and that $|A \cap D| \le d + 4 - b$.

(b1.1) Assume $\deg(Z \cap L) \ge d + 2$. Since $Z \cap L = Z' \cup (B \cap L)$, we get $|B \cap L| \ge d + b - 2$. Consider the residual exact sequence of L in \mathbb{P}^2 :

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap L}(d-1) \to I_S(d) \to \mathcal{I}_{S \cap LL}(d) \to 0 \tag{4}$$

First assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap L}(d-1))>0$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap (R\cup L)}(d-2))=0$, the residual exact sequence of R gives $h^1(R,\mathcal{I}_{S\cap R\backslash S\cap R\cap L}(d-1))>0$. Thus $|S\cap R\setminus S\cap \{o\}|\geq d+1$. Since $|U\cap R|\leq 2$, we get $|B\cap R\setminus B\cap \{o\}|\geq d-1$ and hence |B|>|A| (because $d\geq 5$), a contradiction.

Now assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap L}(d-1)) = 0$. By [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] we get $A \setminus A \cap L = B \setminus B \cap L$. Since $|B \cap L| \ge d+2-b = |A \cap L|$, we get $|B \cap L| = d+2-b$. In this case part (1) of Theorem 3 is proved. To prove part (2) we need to prove that $B \cap L \in \mathcal{S}(Y,q')$. Since $|B \cap L| = d+2-b$ and $\deg(Z \cap L) \ge d+2$, we get $Z_1 \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\deg(Z \cap B) = d+2$. Thus $\langle \nu_d(B \cap L) \rangle \cap \langle \nu_d(Z') \rangle$ is a single point, q'', and $B \cap L \in \mathcal{S}(Y,q'')$. Since U is general, and $|U| \le \binom{d+2}{2} - d$, we have $\langle \nu_d(U) \rangle \cap \langle \nu_d(L) \rangle = \emptyset$.

Since $B \setminus B \cap L = A \setminus A \cap L = U$, we get q'' = q', proving part (2) in this case.

(b1.2) Assume $\deg(Z\cap R)=\deg(Z\cap L)=d+1$ and $o\notin Z_{\mathrm{red}}$ (i.e. $o\notin Z'_{\mathrm{red}}$). Since $\deg(Z')=b$ and $\deg(Z''\cap D)=b+|U\cap R|\leq b+2$, we get $|L\cap B|\geq d+1-b$, $|R\cap B|\geq d-1$ and $o\notin B$. Thus $|B\cap D|\geq 2d+2-b$. Since $|B\cap D|\leq |A\cap D|\leq d+4-b$, we obtain a contradiction.

(b1.3) Assume $\deg(Z \cap R) \ge d+2$. Since $|U \cap R| \le 2$ with strict inequality if $o \in Z'_{\text{red}}$ and every point of $\langle \nu_d(R) \rangle$ has rank $\le d$ by Sylvester's theorem, we get $|U \cap R| + 2$

Reconstruction

homogeneous

polynomial

 $\deg(Z'\cap R)=2,\ |B\cap R|=d\ \text{and}\ U\cap B\cap R=\emptyset.\ \text{If}\ h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap R}(d-1))=0,\ \text{we have}\ A\setminus A\cap R=B\setminus B\cap R\ \text{by}\ [7,\ \text{Lemmas}\ 5.1]\ \text{or}\ [8,\ \text{Lemmas}\ 2.4\ \text{and}\ 2.5]\ \text{and}\ \text{hence}\ |B|>|A|,\ \text{a contradiction}.\ \text{Now assume}\ h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap R}(d-1))>0.\ \text{Since}\ h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap D}(d-2))=0\ \text{in this part}\ \text{of the proof, the residual exact sequence}\ \text{of}\ L\ \text{gives}\ h^1(L,\mathcal{I}_{L\cap S\backslash L\cap S\cap R}(d-1))>0\ \text{and hence}\ |S\cap (L\backslash L\cap R)|\geq d+1.\ \text{Thus}\ |B\cap (L\setminus L\cap R)|\geq b-1.\ \text{We get}\ |B\cap D|\geq d+b-1.\ \text{Since}\ A\backslash A\cap D=B\setminus B\cap D=U\setminus U\cap D,\ \text{we get}\ d+b-1\leq d+4-b\ \text{and hence}\ b=2\ \text{and}\ |B\cap L|\leq 2.\ \text{Since}\ |U\cap R|+\deg(Z'\cap R)\geq 2\ \text{and}\ q'\ \text{uniquely determined}\ by\ q'\ \text{and one point}\ \text{of}\ R\setminus \{o\}.\ \text{Since}\ \text{we took}\ \text{a general}\ U\ \text{after fixing}\ q',\ \text{we have}\ |U\cap R|\leq 1\ \text{if}\ R\cap L\in Z'_{\text{reg}}\ \text{Hence}\ \text{(varying the points of}\ U\setminus U\cap R\ \text{(if}\ U\not\subseteq R)\ \text{we may (after fixing}\ q')\ \text{assume that}\ U\setminus U\cap R\ \text{is}\ \text{general}\ \text{in}\ \mathbb{P}^n\setminus D.\ \text{Since}\ A\setminus A\cap D=B\setminus B\cap D=U\setminus U\cap D,\ |U\setminus U\cap D|\leq \binom{d+2}{2}-2d$

-1 and $U \setminus U \cap D$ is general, we have $\langle \nu_d(U \setminus U \cap D) \rangle \cap \langle \nu_d(D) \rangle$. Thus there is a unique $q'' \in \langle \nu_d(D) \rangle$ such that $q \in \langle \{\nu_d(U \setminus U \cap R), q''\} \rangle$. We have $q'' \in \langle \nu_d(A \cap D) \rangle \cap \langle \nu_d(B \cap D) \rangle \cap \langle \{q', \nu_d(U \cap R)\} \rangle$. Thus is it sufficient to prove parts (1) and (2) of the theorem for $q'', A \cap D$ and $B \cap D$ instead of q, A and B, i.e. in the rest of this step we assume $U = U \cap R$. Since $|B| \leq |A|$, we have $|B \cap L| \leq 2$. Thus $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup (L \cap B)}(d - 1)) = 0$. if $o \notin Z'_{\text{red}} \cap B$, [7, Lemma 5.1] gives a contradiction, because $Z' \nsubseteq B$. Now assume $o \in Z'_{\text{red}} \cap B$. Since $o \in Z'_{\text{red}} \cap B$ since $o \in Z'_{\text{red}} \cap B$ is uniquely determined by $o \cap B$ we observed that $|U \cap R| \leq 1$. Thus (under the assumption $o \cap B$), we have $|U| \leq 1$. Since $|B \cap R| = d$, $o \in B \cap B$ by assumption and $o \cap B$ we get deg($o \cap B$) ≤ $o \cap B$ is a contradiction.

(b2) Assume n > 2. Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane containing the plane $\langle D \rangle$. Thus $S \cap M = S \cap \langle D \rangle$. Since U is general, no 4 points of U are coplanar. Thus $|U \cap M| = |U \cap \langle D \rangle| \leq 3$.

(b2.1) Assume $h^1(I_{S \setminus S \cap M}(d-1)) > 0$. Since $|S \setminus S \cap M| \le |A| + |B| - 2d - 2 \le 2(d-1) + 1$, there is a line $R' \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $|R' \cap (S \setminus S \cap M)| \ge d + 1$. If $R' \subset \langle D \rangle$, then $R' \cup D$ is a plane cubic and we may apply step (a1). Thus we may assume $R' \nsubseteq \langle D \rangle$. Let $N \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane containing N. Since S is a finite set, the generality of M and N gives $S \cap (M \cup N) = S \cap (D \cup R')$. Consider the residual exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap (M \cup N)}(d-2) \to \mathcal{I}_S(d) \to \mathcal{I}_{S \cap (M \cup N), M \cup N}(d) \to 0 \tag{5}$$

of $M \cup N$ in \mathbb{P}^n . Since $|S \setminus S \cap (M \cup N)| \leq |A| + |B| - 3d - 3 \leq d - 1$, we have $h^1(I_{S \setminus S \cap (M \cup N)} \cap (d-2)) = 0$. Thus either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A \setminus A \cap (M \cup N) = B \setminus B \cap (M \cup N)$. We have $A \cap (M \cup N) \subseteq E \cup (U \cap (M \cup N))$ and hence $|A \setminus A \cap (M \cup N)| \geq k - d - 2 + b - 5$. Since $|A \cap (M \cup N)| \leq d + 7 - b$, we get $|B \cap (M \cup N)| \geq 3d + 3 - d - 7 + b = 2d - 4 + b$. Since $|B \setminus B \cap (M \cup N)| \geq k - d - 2 + b - 5$, we get a contradiction.

(b2.2) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap M}(d-1))=0$. Either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A\setminus A\cap M=B\setminus B\cap M$. Since $|U\cap M|=|U\cap \langle D\rangle|\leq 3$, we have $|U\setminus U\cap M|\geq k-d-5+b$. Assume for the moment $L\nsubseteq \langle D\rangle$. We get $|E\cap M|\leq 1$ and hence $|A\setminus A\cap M|\geq k-4$. Since $A\setminus A\cap M=B\setminus B\cap M$, we get $|S\cap M|\leq |A|+|B|-2k-8$ and hence $2d+2\leq 8$, a contradiction. Now assume $L\subset \langle D\rangle$. If $L\nsubseteq D$ we get (since $|L\cap D|\leq 2$) $|S\cap M|\geq 3d+b$. Since $A\setminus A\cap M=B\setminus B\cap M$ and $|U\setminus U\cap M|\geq k-d-1+b$, we get $|S|\geq 2d+2b+k-1$, a contradiction.

(c) Assume the existence of a line $R \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $|R \cap S| \ge d+2$. Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane containing R (so M=R if n=2). Since S is a finite set and M is a general hyperplane containing R, we have $M \cap S = R \cap S$. Since U is a general subset of \mathbb{P}^n with cardinality k-d-2+b, no 3 of its points are collinear (and hence $|U \cap R| \le 2$) and $U \cap L = \emptyset$. Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane containing R (so M=R if n=2). Since S is a finite set and M is a general hyperplane containing R, we have $M \cap S = R \cap S$.

- (c1) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap M}(d-1))>0$. Since $|S\setminus S\cap M|\leq |A|+|B|-d-2\leq 3(d-1)-1$, either there is a line R_1 such that $|R_1\cap (S\setminus S\cap M)|\geq d+1$ or there is a conic D_1 such that $|D_1\cap (S\setminus S\cap M)|\geq 2d$. If R and R_1 (resp. R and R_1) are contained in a plane, and in particular if n=2, step (b) (resp. step (a)) gives a contradiction, because $|S\cap (R\cup R_1)|\geq 2d+3$ (resp. $|S\cap (R\cup D_1)|\geq 3d+2$). Thus we may assume that this is not the case and in particular we may assume n>2. Let N be a general hyperplane containing R_1 (resp. R_1). We use the residual exact sequence (5). Note that R_1 (resp. R_1) (re
- (c1.1) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap (M\cup N)}(d-2))>0$. We exclude the existence of D_1 , because $|S\cap (R\cup D_1)|\geq 3d+2$ and hence $|S\backslash S\cap (M\cup N)|\leq d-1$. Thus in this case we may assume the existence of R_1 . Since $|S\cap (R\cup R_1)|\geq 2d+3$, we have $|S\setminus S\cap (M\cup N)|\leq |A|+|B|-2d-3\leq 2(d-2)+1$. By [9, Lemma 34] there is a line R_2 such that $|R_2\cap S\setminus S\cap (M\cup N)|\geq d$. Let M' be a general hyperplane containing R_2 . Consider the residual exact sequence of $M'\cup M\cup N$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap (M\cup N\cup M')}(d-3))=0$, because $|S\setminus S\cap (M\cup N\cup M')|\leq 2k-d-2-d-1-d\leq d-4$. Either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A\setminus A\cap (M\cup N\cup M')=B\setminus B\cap (M\cup N\cup M')$. Since M,N and M' are general, we have $S\cap (M\cup N\cup M')=S\cap (R\cup R_1\cup R_2)$. Since M is general, no 3 of the points of M are collinear. Thus $|U\cap (R\cup R_1\cup R_2)|\leq 6$. Hence $|A\setminus A\cap (M\cup N\cup M')|\geq k-d-8+b$. Since $A\setminus A\cap (M\cup N\cup M')=B\setminus B\cap (M\cup N\cup M')$, we get $|S\cap (M\cup N\cup M')|\leq 2k-2k+2d+16-2b$. Hence $2d+16-2b\geq 3d+3$, a contradiction.
- (c1.2) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap (M\cup N)}(d-2))=0$. Either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A\setminus A\cap (M\cup N)=B\setminus B\cap (M\cup N)$. Since $U\cap (M\cup N)=U\cap (R\cup R_1)$, we have $|U\setminus U\cap (M\cup N)|\geq k-d-6+b$. Assume for the moment $L\notin \{R,R_1\}$. We get $|L\cap (M\cup N)|\leq 2$. Thus $|A\setminus A\cap (M\cup N)|\geq k+b-8$. Since $A\setminus A\cap (M\cup N)=B\setminus B\cap (M\cup N)$, we get $|S\cap (M\cup N)|\leq 16-b<2d+3$ (even when instead of |S| we take 2k). Thus we may assume that either L=R or L=R'. In both cases, writing $D:=R\cup R'$ we are in the case solved in step (b1).
- (c2) Assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S\backslash S\cap M}(d-1))=0$. Either [7, Lemma 5.1] or [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give $A\setminus A\cap M=B\setminus B\cap M$.
- (c2.1) Assume R = L. We get $U = A \setminus A \cap L = B \setminus B \cap L$. Thus $B = U \cup (B \cap L)$. Since $\langle \nu_d(U) \rangle \cap \langle Y \rangle = \emptyset$, $q \in \langle \nu_d(U) \cup Y \rangle$, $q \notin \langle \nu_d(U) \rangle$, $q \notin \langle \nu_d(Y) \rangle$ (because $U \neq \emptyset$) and $\langle \nu_d(U) \rangle \cap \langle Y \rangle = \emptyset$, there are uniquely determined $q_1 \in \langle \nu_d(U) \rangle$ and $q_2 \in \langle Y \rangle$ such that $q \in \langle \{q_1, q_2\} \rangle$. The uniqueness of q_2 gives $q_2 = q'$. Since $\langle \nu_d(U) \rangle \cap \langle Y \rangle = \emptyset$ and $q \in \langle \nu_d(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu_d(B) \rangle$, we get $q' \in \langle \nu_d(B \cap L) \rangle$. Thus $|B \cap L| \geq r_Y(q') = |A \cap L|$. Since $|B| \leq |A|$ and $A \setminus A \cap L = B \setminus B \cap L$, we get |B| = |A| and $B = U \cup F$ with $F \cap U = \emptyset$ and $F \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q')$. Thus the theorem is true in this case.
- (c2.2) Assume $R \neq L$. Since $|L \cap R| \leq 1$, we get $|E \cap R| \leq 1$. Since $|U \cap R| \leq 2$, we get $|A \cap R| \leq 3$ and hence $|B \cap R| \geq d-1 > |A \cap R|$. Since $|A \setminus A| = |B \setminus B| = R$, we get |B| > |A|, a contradiction. \square

4. Irredundantly spanning sets

Lemma 2. If $r + 1 - \dim X \le t \le r$, then $S(X, q, t) \ne \emptyset$.

Proof. The case $t = r + 1 - \dim X$ is an obvious consequence of the proof of [20, Proposition 5.1]. Assume $r + 2 - \dim X \le t \le r$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be the intersection of X and $(t + \dim X - r - 1)$ general quadric hypersurfaces. By Bertini's theorem Y is an integral and non-degenerate subvariety of \mathbb{P}^r . Thus for any q we have $S(X, q, t) \supseteq S(Y, q, t)$. Since $t = r + 1 - \dim Y$, we get $S(Y, q, t) \ne \emptyset$. \square

Reconstruction

homogeneous

polynomial

Remark 3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, $d \ge 4$, be a rational normal curve. Fix $q \in \mathbb{P}^d$ such that $r_X(q) = 2$. Since any subset of X with cardinality at most d+1 is linearly independent, the definition of irredundantly spanning set gives $S(X, q, t) = \emptyset$ for all t such that $3 \le t \le d-1$.

Proof of Proposition 1. Since a finite intersection of non-empty Zariski open subsets of \mathbb{P}^r is open and non-empty and the interval $\lfloor (r+2)/2 \rfloor < t < r$ contains only finitely many integers, it is sufficient to prove the statement for a fixed t. The case t = r is true by Remark 3. The case r even and t = r/2 + 1 is true by Theorem 2. Thus when r is even we may assume $r/2+2 \le t \le r$. Since we saw that the case r=t is always true, we proved the proposition for r = 4. Thus we may assume $r \ge 5$ and that the proposition is true for all curves in a lower dimensional projective space. Fix a general $p \in X$ and call $\ell : \mathbb{P}^r \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ the linear projection from p. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the closure of $\ell(X \setminus \{p\})$ in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . Y is an integral and nondegenerate curve. Since p is general in X, it is a smooth point of X and hence $\ell_{|X\setminus\{p\}}$ extends to a surjective morphism $\mu: X \to Y$ with $\mu(p)$ associated to the tangent line of X at p. Thus $Y = \mu(X)$. By the trisecant lemma ([24, Corollary 2.2]) and the generality of p we have $\deg(L \cap X) \leq 2$ for every line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $p \in L$. Hence $\ell_{|X \setminus \{p\}}$ is birational onto its image and there is a finite set $F \subset X$ containing p such that $\mu_{|X\setminus F|}$ induces an isomorphism between $X \setminus F$ and $Y \setminus \mu(F)$. Fix the integer t such that $|(r+2)/2| \le t \le r$ and write z := t-1. By the inductive assumption and, if r is odd and $t = \lfloor (r+2)/2 \rfloor$, Theorem 2 applied to the projective space \mathbb{P}^{r-1} there is a non-empty Zariski open subset \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} such that $W(Y)_{q,z} = \{q\}$ for all $q \in \mathcal{V}$. Fix $a \in \mathcal{V}$ and finitely many $\hat{S}_i \in \mathcal{S}(Y,a,z)$, $1 \le i \le e$, such that $\{a\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{e} \langle S_i \rangle$. Restricting if necessary \mathcal{V} we may assume that (for a choice of sufficiently general $S_1(a), \ldots, S_e(a)$) we have $S_i(a) \cap \mu(F) = \emptyset$ for all i and all a. Hence there is a unique $A_i(a) \subset X \setminus F$ such that $\mu(A_i(a)) = S_i(a)$. Since $p \in F$, $B_i(a) := A_i(a) \cup \{p\}$ has cardinality t, $1 \le i \le e$. Set $\mathcal{U}_p := \ell^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathbb{P}^r \setminus \{p\}$. For each $a \in \mathcal{V}$, set $L_a := \{p\} \cup \ell^{-1}(a)$. Each L_a is a line containing p, \mathcal{U}_p is the union of all $L_a \setminus \{p\}$, $a \in \mathcal{V}$, and $L_a = \bigcap_{i=1}^e \langle B_i(a) \rangle$. Fix $a \in \mathcal{V}$ and $b \in L_a \setminus \{p\}$. Note that each $B_i(a)$ irredundantly spans b. Fix another general $o \in X$, $o \neq p$. We get in the similar way a set \mathcal{U}_o . It is easy to check that $W_{q,t} = \{q\}$ for all $q \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}_p$. Thus we may take $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_b \cap \mathcal{U}_0$.

5. Real varieties and real ranks

Up to now we worked over an algebraically closed field K with characteristic zero. In this section we take $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, but we consider varieties $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ defined over \mathbb{R} . Not only we fix the real structure of X but we assume that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ is defined over \mathbb{R} . We call $X(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ the set of all complex points of X and \mathbb{P}^r . For any $q \in \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ we have defined the X-rank $r_X(q)$ and the set S(X,q). In this section we write $r_{X(\mathbb{C})}(q)$ instead of $r_X(q)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{C}),q)$ instead of $\mathcal{S}(X,q)$. Since X is defined over \mathbb{R} , the set $X(\mathbb{R})$ of its real points is welldefined. Since the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ is defined over \mathbb{R} , we have $X(\mathbb{R}) = X(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$. Easy examples show that a nice X defined over \mathbb{R} may have $X(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$. For instance take the smooth plane conic $C := \{x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_3^2 = 0\}$ (we have $C(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$). Felix Klein proved that for every integer $g \ge 0$ there is a smooth curve $X(\mathbb{C})$ of genus g defined over \mathbb{R} and with $X(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$ ([17, Proposition 3.1]). Thus the assumption that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is large is necessary. We assume that X has a smooth point defined over \mathbb{R} (in symbols, we assume $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$). Set $n:=\dim X=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X(\mathbb{C})$. The sets $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ and $X(\mathbb{C})$ also have a euclidean topology. With the euclidean topology $X_{\operatorname{reg}}(\mathbb{R})$ is a topological (and C^∞) manifold with pure dimension n and the assumption $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ says that this manifold is non-empty. The assumption $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to assuming that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is Zariski dense in $X(\mathbb{C})$, because $\operatorname{Sing}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is a union of complex varieties of dimension < n. For any set $S \subset \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ let $\langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex linear

projective subspace of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ spanned by S, i.e. the linear space that in the previous sections we called $\langle S \rangle$. For any $S \subset \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ we write $\langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ for the minimal real projective subspace of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ containing S. Since $S \subset \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$. Since $X(\mathbb{R}) = X(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$, $X(\mathbb{R})$ is Zariski dense in $X(\mathbb{C})$ and $X(\mathbb{C})$ spans $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$. Thus for each $q \in \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ the $X(\mathbb{R})$ -rank (i.e. the minimal cardinality of a set $S \subset X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $q \in \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$) is a well-defined integer. For any $q \in \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ let $S(X(\mathbb{R}),q)$ denote the set of all $S \subset X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $q \in \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $|S| = r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q)$. The interested reader may find the definition of a real semialgebraic set in [12, §2.1]. The set $S(X(\mathbb{R}),q)$ is semialgebraic ([12, Proposition 2.2.7]). Set

$$W_q(X(\mathbb{R})) := \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)} \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

We always have $r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q) \geq r_{X(\mathbb{C})}(q)$ and in many cases the inequality is strict. For instance, when $X \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, $d \geq 3$, is a degree d rational normal curve for each integer t such that $\lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor < t \leq d$ there is $q \in \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ such that $r_{X(\mathbb{C})}(q) = \lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor$ and $r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q) = t$ [10,15]. See [11] for definitions and many examples when $X(\mathbb{C})$ is a smooth curve and [1,2,21,22] for tensors and symmetric tensors. When $r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q) = r_{X(\mathbb{C})}(q)$ we have $S(X(\mathbb{R}),q) \subseteq S(X(\mathbb{C}),q)$ and hence $W_q(X(\mathbb{R})) \supseteq W_q(X(\mathbb{C})) \cap \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$. We give below an example with $r_{X(\mathbb{C})}(q) = r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q) = 2$, $W_q(X(\mathbb{R}))$ a real line and $W_q(X(\mathbb{C})) = \{q\}$ (see Example 1).

Theorem 4. Fix an even integer $r \geq 2$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an integral and non-degenerate curve defined over \mathbb{R} and with $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$. There is a non-empty euclidean open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ such that $r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q) = r/2 + 1$ for all $q \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\{q\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)} \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $q \in \mathcal{U}$.

Note that we also get $\{q\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)} \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, because $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)} \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over \mathbb{R} and hence its dimension as a complex projective space is the dimension of the real projective space $(\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)} \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)} \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark 4. We recall that the Zariski topology of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ (i.e. the topology in which the closed sets are the intersection with $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ of a Zariski closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$) may be defined by taking as closed subsets the zero-loci of real homogeneous polynomials. Non-empty euclidean open subsets of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ are Zariski dense. To show that in Theorem 4 we cannot take as \mathcal{U} a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ it is sufficient to find a curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ with $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ and with two different typical ranks. By [10] one can take the rational normal curve of \mathbb{P}^r , $r \geq 4$.

Before proving Theorem 4 we describe in the next remark the topology of the real part $X(\mathbb{R})$ of an integral projective curve defined over \mathbb{R} .

Remark 5. Let $X(\mathbb{C})$ be an integral projective curve defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $\eta:Y(\mathbb{C})\to X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the normalization map. Both $Y(\mathbb{C})$ and η are defined over \mathbb{R} and hence $Y(\mathbb{R})$ is well-defined and $\eta(Y(\mathbb{R}))\subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$. Since η is an isomorphism over $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}), X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})$ is essentially $Y(\mathbb{R})$ minus a finite set. Call g the genus of $Y(\mathbb{C})$. F. Klein described the possible real parts $Y(\mathbb{R})$ of genus g smooth curve defined over \mathbb{R} ([17, Proposition 3.1]). Topologically $Y(\mathbb{R})$ is the union of k pairwise disjoint circles, with k an integer between 0.and g+1. Thus the topological space $X(\mathbb{R})$ is obtained from $Y(\mathbb{R})$ by an equivalence relation which only identifies finitely many finite subsets of $Y(\mathbb{R})$ and then, sometimes, one adds to $\eta(Y(\mathbb{R}))$ finitely many isolated real points of $Y(\mathbb{R})$, each of them the image of two complex conjugate points of $Y(\mathbb{C})\setminus Y(\mathbb{R})$. Thus $Y(\mathbb{R})$ is finite (and hence not Zariski dense in $Y(\mathbb{C})$) if and only if $Y(\mathbb{R})=\emptyset$, i.e. if and only if $Y_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})=\emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 4. Since $X_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, there is a set $J \subset X(\mathbb{R})$ homeomorphic to a nonempty open interval of \mathbb{R} for the euclidean topology (Remark 5). Since J is infinite, it is Zariski dense in $X(\mathbb{C})$. As in the proof of Theorem 1 let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-empty Zariski open subset

Reconstruction

homogeneous

polynomial

Example 1. Fix an integer $r \geq 3$. Let $Y(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be the degree r+1 rational normal curve. Let σ denote the complex conjugation of $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $p_1, p_2 \in Y(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_3 \in Y(\mathbb{C}) \setminus Y(\mathbb{R})$. Set $p_4 := \sigma(p_3)$. We may take homogeneous coordinates z_0, \dots, z_{r+1} of $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $p_3 = [1:a_1:\dots:a_{n+1}]$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for all i and $a_i \notin \mathbb{R}$ for at least one i. Set $o_1 := [1:\text{Re}(a_1):\dots:\text{Re}(a_{r+1})]$ and $o_2 := [1:\text{Im}(a_1):\dots:$ Im (a_{r+1})]. We have $o_i \in \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $o_1 \neq o_2$, because $p_3 \notin \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $r \geq 2$, $o_i \notin X(\mathbb{C})$. We have $|\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}| = 4$ and hence $\langle \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a 3. -dimensional complex linear subspace. Since $\sigma(\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}) = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$, the linear space $\langle \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over \mathbb{R} , i.e. $\langle \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a 3-dimensional real linear space (it is the real linear space $\langle \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a 3-dimensional real linear space (it is the real linear space $\langle \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{C} \rangle$). Fix $o \in \langle \{p_1, p_2, o_1, o_2\} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that o is not in the linear span of any proper subset of $\{p_1, p_2, o_1, o_2\}$. Let $\ell_o : \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{o\} \to \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ denote the linear projection from o. Since $o \in \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R})$, ℓ_o is defined over \mathbb{R} and $\ell_o^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{P}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{o\}$. By Sylvester's theorem we have $o \notin \sigma_2(Y(\mathbb{C}))$. Thus $X(\mathbb{C}) := \ell_o(Y(\mathbb{C}))$ is a smooth and nondegenerate rational curve defined over \mathbb{R} . Since $Y(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, we have $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$. The complex linear space $V_{\mathbb{C}} := \ell_o(\langle \{p_1, p_2, o_1, o_2\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a plane containing exactly 4 points of $X(\mathbb{C})$ (the points $\ell_o(p_1)$, $\ell_o(p_2)$, $\ell_o(p_3)$ and $\ell_o(p_4)$, because any r+2 points of $Y(\mathbb{C})$ are linearly independent. Set $L := \langle \{\ell_o(p_1), \ell_o(p_2)\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $R := \langle \{\ell_o(p_1), \ell_o(p_2)\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $L \neq R$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathbb{C}}$, the set $L \cap R$ is a unique point, q. Since $\sigma(L) = L$ and $\sigma(R) = R$, we have $\sigma(q) = q$, i.e. $q \in \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$. Since $q \notin X(\mathbb{C})$ and $\ell_o(p_1), \ell_o(p_2) \in X(\mathbb{R})$, we have $r_{X(\mathbb{R})}(q) = 2$ and hence $r_{X(\mathbb{C})}(q) = 2$. Since $\{\ell_o(p_1), \ell_o(p_2)\}, \{\ell_o(p_3), \ell_o(p_4)\} \in \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{C}), q)$, we have $W_q(X(\mathbb{C})) = \{q\}$. Using that any r+2 elements of $Y(\mathbb{C})$ are linearly independents, we get that $\{\ell_0(p_1), \ell_0(p_2)\}$ and $\{\ell_o(p_3), \ell_o(p_4)\}\$ are the only elements of $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{C}), q)$. Thus $W_q(X(\mathbb{R})) = \langle \{\ell_o(p_1), \ell_o(p_2), \ell_o(p_3), \ell_o(p_4) \} \rangle$ $\ell_o(p_2)\}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a line. Since $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{R}),q)=\{\ell_o(p_1),\ell_o(p_2)\},q$ is $X(\mathbb{R})$ -identifiable. This is not the first example of some $q \in \mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{R})$ which is identifiable over \mathbb{R} , but not over $\mathbb{C}[1,2]$.

Note

References

- E. Angelini, On complex and real identifiability of tensor, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) 8 (2) (2017) 367–377.
- [2] E. Angelini, C. Bocci, L. Chiantini, Real identifiability vs. complex identifiability, Linear Multilinear Algebra 66 (6) (2018) 1257–1267.
- [3] E. Angelini, L. Chiantini, N. Vannieuwenhoven, Identifiability beyond Kruskal's bound for symmetric tensors of degree 4, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 29 (3) (2018) 465–485.

¹ The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

- [4] E. Ballico, Finite defective subsets of projective spaces, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 4 (2013) 113-122.
- [5] E. Ballico, Finite subsets of projective spaces with bad postulation in a fixed degree, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 54 (1) (2013) 81–103.
- [6] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi, Decomposition of homogeneous polynomials with low rank, Math. Z. 271 (2012) 1141–1149.
- [7] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi, Stratification of the fourth secant variety of veronese variety via the symmetric rank, Adv. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (2) (2013) 215–250.
- [8] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi, M. Christandl, F. Gesmundo, On the partially symmetric rank of tensor products of W-states and other symmetric tensors, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 30 (2019) 93–124.
- [9] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano, M. Idà, Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors, J. Symbolic Comput. 46 (1) (2011) 34–53.
- [10] G. Blekherman, Typical real ranks of binary forms, Found. Comput. Math. 15 (2015) 793-798.
- [11] G. Blekherman, R. Sinn, Real rank with respect to varieties, Linear Algebra Appl. 505 (2016) 340–360.
- [12] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy, Real Algebraic Geometry, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [13] L. Chiantini, G. Ottaviani, N. Vanniuwenhoven, An algorithm for generic and low rank specific identifiability of complex tensors, SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 35 (2014) 1265–1287.
- [14] G. Comas, M. Seiguer, On the rank of a binary form, Found. Comput. Math. 11 (1) (2011) 65–78.
- [15] P. Comon, G. Ottaviani, On the typical rank of real binary forms, Linear Multilinear Algebra 60 (6) (2011) 657–667.
- [16] Ph. Ellia, Ch. Peskine, Groupes de points de P²: caractère et position uniforme, in: Algebraic Geometry (L'Aquila, 1988), in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1417, Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 111–116.
- [17] B. Gross, J. Harris, Real algebraic curves, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 14 (2) (1981) 157–182.
- [18] A. Iarrobino, V. Kanev, Power Sums, Gorenstein Algebras, and Determinantal Loci, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman.
- [19] J.M. Landsberg, Tensors: Geometry and Applications, in: Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 128, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 2012.
- [20] J.M. Landsberg, Z. Teitler, On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors, Found. Comput. Math. 10 (2010) 339–366.
- [21] M. Michałek, H. Moon, Spaces of sums of powers and real rank boundaries, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 59 (2018) 645–663.
- [22] M. Michałek, H. Moon, B. Sturmfels, E. Ventura, Real rank geometry of ternary forms, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 196 (3) (2017) 1025–1054.
- [23] B. Adlandsvik, Joins and higher secant varieties, Math. Scand. 62 (1987) 213–222.
- [24] J. Rathmann, The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p, Math. Ann. 276 (4) (1987) 565–579.

Corresponding author

E. Ballico can be contacted at: ballico@science.unitn.it