Academic librarianship, 152–153 and tenure, 161–167 Academic libraries. <i>See also</i> Public libraries	Association of Research Libraries (ARL) directors, 268, 269, 272, 274. See also Academic library directors (ALD)
LibQUAL+ model for service quality of, 324, 327 Academic library director (ALD) career path study, 271–272 content analysis study for, 273–274 criteria for good, 266–268 data collection and analysis,	Bounded approach, 91 Bureaucracy, 44, 67n Business skills, 247–248 CAO. See Chief Academic Officer (CAO) Career length, 108
278–279 hiring administrators, 270–271, 276–278 colleagues perception for, 274–276 ranking attributes, 281–294 added new, 294–297 comparison with ratings of, 302–305 role of, 272–273	Career path study, 271–272 Carnegie classification, 279–281 ranking attributes by, 281–286 Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 280 Center for Rural Librarianship, 237 Center for Rural Librarianship at Clarion University, 212 Chief Academic Officer (CAO), 274 Chief Information Officer (CIO), 275 Chief Student Affairs Officer (CSAO),
search committees for, 268–270 Accidental librarianship, 224–226 ALD. See Academic library director (ALD) American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 152 American Library Association, 210	274 Churchill process, 322–323 CIO. See Chief Information Officer (CIO) Commitment, 49–50 Common Federal Rule, 88 Community
Analytic induction, 83 ARL directors. See Association of Research Libraries (ARL) directors Association of American Colleges (AAC), 152	organization structure as, 46 Compensating wage differential models, 154–158 Confidentiality protection in social network research, 86–88

Consortium of Academic and Research Libraries in Illinois (CARLI)	Gallup poll for service quality, 318
institutions by Carnegie class, 104	Gender affinity, 96
library staff distributions within, 104,	Gender-based discrimination,
105	237–240
population, 98–99	Geographic isolation, of librarians,
Content analysis study	241–243
for ALD, 273–274	Graph analysis
Corporate culture, 42–43	of social networks, 78
background, 43–45	Grounded theory, 84
environmental changes in	
organization, 45–46 purposeful, 46–55	Harris County Public Library system, 218–219
The Corrosion of Character, 56	Harvard Business Review, 320
Cronbach's alpha, 98	Hawthorne effect, 64
CSAO. See Chief Student Affairs	Heterogeneous social networks,
Officer (CSAO)	118
Cultural fit, 58, 69n	Higher education administrators.
Customer service skills,	See Hiring administrators
248–250	Higher Education Directory,
	278, 280
Data collection	Hiring administrators
within social network analysis, 81–82	colleagues perception for ALD, 274–276
Degree centrality, 101	for hiring ALD, 270–271
Descriptive statistics, 102	Hiring narratives, 224
	Hispanic small community library
Egocentric approach, 91. See also	directors
Personal social network	in Texas, 214
Egocentric network analysis, 83	
"Emotional intelligence", 68n	<i>I-Share</i> libraries,
Environmental change	103–104
in organization, 45–46	In Search of Excellence, 53
Environmental Scan: Pattern	India, 320
Recognition, 324	Individuals
Ethical issues	role in organizational development,
in use of social networks analysis,	55–57
85–89	Innovation, 73
Ethics, of caring, 218–221	item-total statistics for indicators of, 147
Fit, cultural, 58, 69n	professional advice networks and
Flexibility of	receptivity to, 75–126
individual responsibilities, 51–52	measurement of, 92–93, 110–113

Subject Index 363

Institution size ranking attributes, 288–292	Theme Verification Form for, 215, 249, 260–262
Intentional librarianship, 226–227	Librarianship, 215
intentional noralianship, 220–227	accidental, 224–226
Job satisfaction, 217	ethic of caring, 218–221
Job Satisfaction, 217	intentional, 226–227
W : M OII : (WMO)	Library
Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure,	and organizational development,
114, 148	57–63
KCLS. See King County Library	qualitative principles in, 60–61
System (KCLS)	
King County Library System (KCLS),	Library analysis process, nine-step
324	perceptions battery, 345–346
high-level conceptual framework model, 326	Library management system (LMS), 103
Knowledge areas	Library service quality model,
added, 296–297	326–328
Carnegie classification, 285–286	Library survey architects, 326, 328, 331
comparison of ALD lists for,	Local governing officials, resistance
301–302	from, 240–241
comparison of ALD ratings, 305	
extenuating circumstances,	Managerial attributes
293–294	added, 296
funding type, 286–288	Carnegie classification, 281–283
institution size, 292	comparison of ALD lists for,
Kruskal–Wallis test, 119	298–299
Loodonship 40	comparison of ALD ratings, 303–304
Leadership, 49 Learning, 51	extenuating circumstances,
LibQUAL+ model	292–293
for service quality of academic	funding type, 286
library, 324	institution size, 292
Librarians, 214	Managerial skills, 247–248
business and managerial skills of,	Master's degree in library and
247–248	information science (MLS). See MLS
customer service skills, 248–250	degree
	Mentoring
geographic isolation of, 241–243	for non-MLS librarians, 229–233
importance of personal relationships	Minnesota, 274
for, 250–252	
professional identity of,	Mixed-methods approach
222–224	to social network analysis, 84–85
role for community, 218–222,	MLS degree, 210, 233–237, 258n
243–247	Moore's Law, 323
success guidelines for, 243-250	Motivation, 49–50

Name generator procedure, 91–92 and receptivity to innovation, 75–126 Narratives, hiring, 224 measurement of, 92-93, 110-113 National Center for Education density, 101 Statistics, 258n measuring, 92 Networking with peers, 230-231 structural characteristics of, 91-92 Non-MLS librarians Professional development in Texas, 211-212 and mentoring, 229-233 mentoring for, 230-233 evidence of, 252-256 Professional identity, 222-224 small library management training Public libraries. See also Academic program, 228 libraries service quality, 323-333 Organizare, 67n The Organization Man, 49 SERVQUAL model, 324-325 survey for assessing service quality, Organizational development 325-326 aspects of successful, 49-55 in library context, 57-63 Purposive sampling, 90 individuals and, 55-57 theories of, 44-46 Race and Ethnicity, 96, 109 Ranking attributes Carnegie classification, 281-286 Participation, 53-55 extenuating circumstances, Patriarchy 292-294 working within, 237-240 funding type, 286-288 Peer influence, 46-47 institution size, 288-292 Perceptions of Libraries and Information RATER dimensions, 321 Resources, 324 Reengineering, 47-48 Personal attributes added, 296 Regional system staff, 231–232 Relational approach Carnegie classification, 283–285 comparison of ALD lists for, to social network analysis, 80-81 299-300 Research problem, 154 comparison of ALD ratings, 304-305 Risk-taking, 52 extenuating circumstances, 293 Role set, 79 Royal Library of Alexandria, 323 funding type, 286 institution size, 292 Rusaw Multifactor Assessment Personal relationships, 250-252 instrument, 76, 93, 95 Personal social network, 82-83, 91 attitudinal dimensions assessment, 136-137 Philippines, 320 Positional approach for social networks analysis, 78-80, 81 "Sacred cow", 74 "Positive psychology", 68n Search committees Power analysis, 93-94 for ALD, 268-270 Professional advice networks, 75. SEM. See Structural equation modeling See also Social networks analysis (SEM)

Subject Index 365

analysis and evaluation of,
114–121
measures of receptivity to
innovation, 110–113
reliability assessment, 113-114
respondent demographic data,
107–110
methodological issues in, 81-83
methodology, 89-103
questions related to the composition,
135
survey instrument, 138–146
various approaches to, 83–85
views/traditions of, 78–81
Social proximity, 79
Spearman rank correlation coefficient
test, 279 Statement of Principles on Academic
Freedom and Tenure, 152
Structural cohesion, 79
Structural equation modeling (SEM),
324
Structuration theory, 81
•
Teamwork, 53–55
Tenure in academic libraries
a probit analysis, 173–176
a regression analysis, 176–179
empirical results, 179–186
the wage model, 186-194
union wage premium, 194-198
Tenure in higher education, 158–161
data selection, 168–169
hypotheses for testing, 171–172
study variables, 172–173
survey of ARL libraries, 169-171
variable selection, 172
Texas
Hispanic small community library directors in, 214
non-MLS library directors in, 211–212
Texas A&M University, 324

Texas Library System, 211, 212, 228, 254, 258n Texas Library Systems Act, 231-232 Texas State Library & Archives Commission (TSLAC), 212, 228, 254, 258n Texas study, 212-213 and Bushing's study, 215-216 caring behavior of female librarians, emotional attachment with library work, 217-218 gender-based discrimination, 237-240 interview of library directors, 214-215 job satisfaction, 217 resistance from local governing officials, 240-241

Theme Verification Form for librarians, 215, 249, 260–262
Theme Verification Form for librarians, 215, 249, 260–262
Theoretical sampling, 89–90
"Theory of chunks", 53
TSLAC. See Texas State Library & Archives Commission (TSLAC)

Washington, 227

The Wealth of Nations, 44

Western council of state libraries library practitioner certification program, 258n

Whole network analysis, 83, 91